

LCKCmax1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 -----x
4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

20 CR 330 (AJN)

5 GHISLAINE MAXWELL,

6 Defendant.

Jury Trial

7 -----x
8 New York, N.Y.
9 December 20, 2021
10 8:40 a.m.

Before:

11 HON. ALISON J. NATHAN,

12 APPEARANCES
13 DAMIAN WILLIAMS
14 United States Attorney for the
15 Southern District of New York
16 BY: MAURENE COMEY
17 ALISON MOE
18 LARA POMERANTZ
19 ANDREW ROHRBACH
20 Assistant United States Attorneys
21 HADDON MORGAN AND FOREMAN
22 Attorneys for Defendant
23 BY: JEFFREY S. PAGLIUCA
24 LAURA A. MENNINGER
-and-
25 BOBBI C. STERNHEIM
-and-
COHEN & GRESSER
BY: CHRISTIAN R. EVERDELL
Also Present: Amanda Young, FBI
Paul Byrne, NYPD
Sunny Drescher,
Paralegal, U.S. Attorney's Office
Ann Lundberg,
Paralegal, Haddon Morgan and Foreman

LCKCmax1

1 THE COURT: Preliminary matters to take up, counsel?

2 MS. MOE: Not from the government, your Honor.

3 MS. MENNINGER: Not from the defense, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Let me just confirm that we have to be
5 docketed or had been docketed all admitted exhibits with the
6 tailored redactions proposed.

7 MR. ROHRBACH: Your Honor, the pursuant to the Court's
8 order that they've been made public through the United States
9 Attorney's Office's press office, so the exhibits for both
10 parties are publicly available.

11 THE COURT: There was one that the letter indicated
12 the defense would propose a more tailored redaction that was --

13 MR. EVERDELL: Is that AF-1, your Honor?

14 THE COURT: AF-1.

15 MR. EVERDELL: There is AF-1R, which is the publicly
16 available version that's going to be made public.

17 THE COURT: Great. And we've sorted the availability
18 for the public of the visual portion of the closing to be in
19 redacted form shortly after the completions of closing.

20 MS. MENNINGER: Yes, your Honor. I'm not sure whether
21 we will be able to take out the slides that have the sensitive
22 materials within two hours. I hope to be able to just redact
23 the part that's -- but I'm not sure we'll have enough time to
24 check it. With the Court's permission, we'll tender the one
25 that has none of the sensitive slides and then replace them

LCKCmax1

1 with redacted ones as soon as we can and confirm it with the
2 government.

3 THE COURT: I think that caution is worth it, but
4 balanced against the need to provide public access to what will
5 occur in court with appropriate tailored redactions.

6 Anything else to take up?

7 MS. MOE: Not from the government, your Honor.

8 MS. MENNINGER: Your Honor, can I just confirm the
9 timing which --

10 THE COURT: That's a great point. I thought through
11 it a little bit more and what we've done is we've ordered an
12 early -- taking Ms. Sternheim up on her suggestion, the
13 government will go and Ms. Moe, in your absence, they committed
14 you to an hour.

15 MS. MOE: I guess I'll have to talk even more quickly
16 than I normally do.

17 MS. STERNHEIM: Judge, if I can share, the first trial
18 I had before Judge Motley, she did that to 17 lawyers who
19 started ripping pages out.

20 THE COURT: Ms. Moe, does it look like two?

21 MS. MOE: Somewhere between two and two and a half
22 hours, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: It won't be more than two and a half.

24 MS. MOE: Of course, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: And Ms. Menninger.

LCKCmax1

1 MS. MENNINGER: Yes.

2 THE COURT: It won't be more than two and a half?

3 MS. MENNINGER: It will what?

4 THE COURT: Not be more than two and a half?

5 MS. MENNINGER: Correct, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. So here's what we're going to
7 do: The government will go straight through, we'll then break
8 and I will have the jurors' lunch -- an early lunch at that
9 point. It will be short, 20 to 30 minutes. We come back, the
10 defense goes all the way through. We take a short comfort
11 break, ten minutes, and then government rebuttal and my charge
12 and begin deliberations if we have time.

13 I had told the jury to prepare to stay until 6:00 in
14 case we needed that to get through what we need to do, that
15 remains true. As is my practice, what I'll tell them is they
16 can let us know when they would like to leave for the evening,
17 if they're in agreement to continue their deliberations until
18 they indicate to us that they're ready to call it a night.

19 MS. MENNINGER: And then does your Honor have the
20 practice of counsel being within a certain --

21 THE COURT: Oh, yes.

22 MS. MENNINGER: -- in the building or what's your
23 Honor's preference?

24 THE COURT: Look, we need to be able to --

25 MS. MENNINGER: Yes.

LCKCmax1

1 THE COURT: So, Ms. Williams should be able to get you
2 back here within a few minutes.

3 MR. EVERDELL: Your Honor, on the logistics about
4 read-backs, we provided the government with a proposed set of
5 redacted transcripts which I think they still need to review,
6 it was late last night, but we'll get that approved. So in
7 case there are read-backs, we'll have a preset redacted
8 transcript.

9 THE COURT: Okay. And I know you're working with
10 Ms. Williams on finalizing the exhibit list. There were a few
11 items missing. She'll get you the new version with the
12 additions once we've checked them and then, presumably, at the
13 lunch break, I'll get verification from both sides that the
14 list is complete and accurate. Okay?

15 MR. ROHRBACH: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: And then exhibits going back to the jury,
17 I had asked the parties to confer on how they'd like to handle
18 that. Where are we on that?

19 MR. ROHRBACH: Yes, your Honor, with exception of the
20 physical exhibits, the parties are going to create a flash
21 drive with exhibits from both parties and indices for those
22 exhibits, which will be available for the jury.

23 THE COURT: So not paper, just the flash drives and
24 then physical exhibits are not going back?

25 MR. ROHRBACH: With the exception of the physical

LCKCmax1

1 exhibits which can't be loaded to the flash drive, I think they
2 are going back to the jury.

3 MS. MENNINGER: Right. That's right.

4 THE COURT: So it will be a flash drive and the
5 physical exhibits and that's it. And you've gotten that, the
6 flash drive. Everybody's looked at it so you can confirm you
7 know what's going back?

8 MR. ROHRBACH: The parties agreed on what should be on
9 the flash drive and it's been created. I don't think the
10 defense has had an opportunity to look at it yet.

11 THE COURT: Who's handling this, Ms. Menninger?

12 MR. EVERDELL: I will be handling it, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Let's be ready again at the lunch break to
14 just confirm on the record that that's ready to go.

15 Let me check if I have anything else. I don't think
16 so. The box is turned. Okay. All right. We'll wait for our
17 jurors and let my staff know if you need me for anything before
18 we get underway.

19 (Recess)

20 (Continued on next page)

LCKVMAX2

1 THE COURT: All right. We have our jury.

2 Anything to raise before we bring them in?

3 MS. MOE: Not from the government, your Honor.

4 MS. STERNHEIM: No, your Honor. Thank you.

5 THE COURT: Thank you.

6 Ms. Moe, you can take your place at the podium.

7 MS. MOE: Thank you, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And bring in the jury.

9 (Jury present)

10 THE COURT: Good morning, members of the jury. Thank
11 you so much for your timeliness. It's good to see everyone.

12 As I indicated, we are at the summation or closing
13 argument phase of the trial. Here's how we'll do the schedule:

14 The government, Ms. Moe, will present the closing
15 argument for the government. She's going to go through and
16 finish her argument. We'll then break early for lunch. It
17 will be a short lunch, and then we'll come back -- 20 to 30
18 minutes for the lunch break. We'll come back.

19 Ms. Menninger will present the closing argument on
20 behalf of the defense. We'll take a short break at that point.
21 We'll come back. Rebuttal closings by the government, and
22 instructions from me. So that's the schedule for the day, just
23 to give you a sense of where we are.

24 With that, I ask you to please give your full
25 attention to Ms. Moe on behalf of the government.

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 MS. MOE: Ghislaine Maxwell was dangerous. She was a
2 grown woman who preyed on vulnerable kids, young girls from
3 struggling families. She targeted a girl whose father had just
4 died. She targeted a girl whose mother was an alcoholic. She
5 targeted a girl with a single mom who was struggling to raise
6 her daughters. Maxwell was a sophisticated predator who knew
7 exactly what she was doing. She ran the same playbook again
8 and again and again. She manipulated her victims and she
9 groomed them for sexual abuse. She caused deep and lasting
10 harm to young girls. It is time to hold her accountable.

11 Over the last few weeks, you've seen and heard a lot
12 of evidence. You've heard from witnesses from all walks of
13 life. You heard powerful testimony from women who told you
14 about traumatizing events from their childhoods. You heard
15 from people they talked to years ago about those events who
16 corroborated their testimony. You heard from law enforcement
17 officers who searched the properties where these crimes
18 happened. You heard from employees who worked for Maxwell and
19 Epstein.

20 In addition to those witnesses, you've seen documents,
21 phone messages, FedEx records, a household manual, and a little
22 black book with victim names. You also saw bank records
23 showing the \$30 million that Jeffrey Epstein paid to Ghislaine
24 Maxwell.

25 Ladies and gentlemen, this summation is our

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 opportunity to explain how all of that evidence fits together,
2 because the proof is in. It's clear, it's consistent, and it
3 points to only one conclusion: Maxwell is guilty.

4 So today, I want to talk to you about eight different
5 reasons that you know Maxwell is guilty. After that, I'll
6 discuss the charges in this case, and then I'll address some of
7 the arguments the defense has made to you throughout this
8 trial.

9 So let's start with the eight reasons that you know
10 Maxwell is guilty.

11 The first reason that you know Maxwell knew exactly
12 what she was doing when she recruited and groomed young girls
13 for abuse is that Maxwell and Epstein were partners. They were
14 partners in crime who sexually exploited young girls together.
15 So let's talk about the relationship between Maxwell and
16 Epstein and how it shows you that Maxwell committed these
17 crimes together with Jeffrey Epstein.

18 The evidence at this trial showed you that Maxwell was
19 Jeffrey Epstein's right hand. For many years they were in a
20 romantic relationship; they were partners. In 2002, Maxwell
21 wrote this essay; it's Government Exhibit 422. Now, you know
22 that Maxwell wrote this essay because you saw the metadata that
23 showed that the author of this document was G. Max, the
24 defendant. And the document was on a computer that was
25 registered to Maxwell. Here's that metadata.

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 So in this essay, here's how Maxwell described her
2 relationship with Jeffrey Epstein: Jeffrey and Ghislaine have
3 been together, a couple, for the last eleven years. They are,
4 contrary to what many people think, rarely apart. I almost
5 always see them together. Jeffrey and Ghislaine complement
6 each other really well, and I cannot remember one without the
7 other. On top of being great partners, they are also the best
8 of friends.

9 A couple for eleven years. Great partners. Rarely
10 apart. Best of friends. Does that sound like a personal
11 assistant compartmentalized from Jeffrey Epstein's life? Of
12 course not. What Maxwell described in this essay is the
13 relationship that you heard throughout this entire trial.
14 Close partners who operated together. And, ladies and
15 gentlemen, when you're with someone for eleven years, you know
16 what they like. Epstein liked underage girls. He liked to
17 touch underage girls. Maxwell knew it.

18 Make no mistake. Maxwell was crucial to the whole
19 scheme. Epstein could not have done this alone. A single
20 middle-aged man who invites a teenage girl to visit his ranch,
21 to come to his house, to fly to New York, is creepy. That sets
22 off alarm bells. But when that man is accompanied by a posh,
23 smiling, respectable, age-appropriate woman, that's when
24 everything starts to seem legitimate. And when that woman
25 encourages those girls to massage that man, when she acts like

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 it's totally normal for the man to touch those girls, it lures
2 them into a trap. It allows the man to silence the alarm bells
3 and get away with molesting those girls.

4 Maxwell was the key to the whole operation.

5 At this trial, you saw photographs that showed how
6 close Maxwell and Epstein were over a span of many, many years.
7 And the relationship that you saw in those photos was the same
8 relationship that Maxwell described in an essay. They were
9 close. They were partners. They were rarely apart. Let's
10 take a look at some of those.

11 You saw many photos for the many years that Maxwell
12 and Epstein were partners. And what you're looking at here is
13 a couple. Arms around each other, doting looks on their faces.
14 Here are three more photographs. As you look through these,
15 notice that they are getting older. Their haircuts change;
16 time appears to be passing. But it's the same relationship the
17 whole way through. Cheek-to-cheek, arms wrapped around each
18 other.

19 Here are three more. These photographs show you what
20 their relationship was really like. They were incredibly close
21 for many years.

22 I want to show you two more photographs. That's
23 Government Exhibit 313 and 342. On the left, you're looking at
24 Maxwell and Epstein swimming naked together in a pool. They
25 are not alone; someone else is taking the picture. They're

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 laughing. On the right, that's Maxwell massaging Epstein's
2 foot with her breasts. She's smiling. A third person is
3 taking the photograph.

4 What you're looking at in these two photographs are
5 two people with a sexual relationship. They were partners.
6 And throughout this trial, you heard witnesses tell you how
7 Maxwell made this kind of sexual behavior feel normal and
8 casual. That's exactly what you're looking at in these
9 photographs.

10 Now, being the right hand to a multimillionaire came
11 with serious benefits to Maxwell. As his partner, she had
12 access to enormous wealth and she lived his luxury lifestyle.
13 She spent her weeks flying around on Epstein's private jet from
14 his mansion on the Upper East Side, to his ranch in New Mexico,
15 to his villa in Palm Beach, to his apartment in Paris, and to
16 his private island in the U.S. Virgin Islands. Together, they
17 moved in a social circle of rich and famous people.

18 Now, Maxwell was not just Epstein's partner; you
19 learned that she was the lady of the house. You heard from
20 Juan Alessi, the house manager in Palm Beach, who told you all
21 about Maxwell's place in that household from the early 1990s,
22 until Alessi left in December of 2002. Here's Alessi's
23 testimony about that.

24 Juan Alessi told you that the day Maxwell came to the
25 house, she took over right away; and she told Alessi that she

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 was going to be the lady of the house. And what Alessi told
2 you about Maxwell is backed up by the household manual, that
3 document full of rules that you saw at trial. Those were rules
4 that Maxwell put in place. You know she put those rules in
5 place. Alessi testified that Maxwell gave him this manual.

6 During Juan Alessi's testimony, you saw many pages
7 from this manual, and you saw all the sections that talked
8 about Maxwell and Epstein: What to serve them for breakfast,
9 how to arrange their desks, where to put their stationery. I'm
10 not going to go through all of those pages with you now, but
11 here's just one example. This section tells employees to make
12 sure to set out two different sizes of Maxwell's notepads, one
13 marked "Lady Ghislaine."

14 Now, you can read through that entire manual when you
15 deliberate, but you're just going to find two names throughout
16 that whole household manual, it's Maxwell and Epstein's guests,
17 Maxwell and Epstein's phone directories, Maxwell and Epstein's
18 breakfast preferences, Maxwell and Epstein's phone messages,
19 Maxwell and Epstein's phone lines, Maxwell and Epstein's
20 residence. These were Maxwell and Epstein's rules. This
21 manual was clear. She was the lady of the house.

22 And no matter how hard the defense has tried to
23 suggest throughout this trial that Maxwell was just an
24 employee, that she didn't know what was going on, this document
25 tells you otherwise. This manual makes crystal clear who

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 mattered in that household in Palm Beach. It was Maxwell and
2 Epstein together. Of course, Maxwell knew what was going on in
3 that house. She had a firm grip on everything that was
4 happening there. She was Epstein's partner.

5 Now, the household manual isn't the only document that
6 you saw at this trial that showed you that Maxwell had a firm
7 grip on that household and that she knew everything that was
8 going on there. I want you to take a look at Government
9 Exhibit 420. This document is dated in 2002. And the metadata
10 tells you that it was written by, you guessed it, G. Max. This
11 document shows you that Maxwell wrote out a detailed list of 13
12 different oils and lotions for massages in Palm Beach. She was
13 intimately involved in all of the details of Epstein's
14 so-called massages.

15 In fact, even the sex toys in the massage room had to
16 be returned to a basket in Maxwell's bathroom closet. That's
17 what Mr. Alessi had to do. Here's his testimony about that.
18 He told you about having to wash off dildos after the massages
19 and how he would return them to a basket in Maxwell's closet,
20 because that's where they were kept. Again and again, the
21 evidence at this trial showed you how closely Maxwell was
22 involved in Epstein's so-called massages. She managed all of
23 the details right down to the lotions and the oils. She was in
24 on the whole thing.

25 So we were talking about the household manual. What

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 else does the household manual tell you? You remember the
2 disturbing warning in that manual. Here it is: Remember that
3 you see nothing, hear nothing, say nothing, except to answer a
4 question directed at you. Respect their privacy.

5 You learned that this powerful warning to employees
6 was effective. Here's Mr. Alessi's testimony about that. He
7 explained that this rule was a kind of warning that he was
8 supposed to be blind, deaf, and dumb, to say nothing of their
9 lives.

10 Ladies and gentlemen, now that you've sat through this
11 entire trial, you know exactly why Maxwell told workers in the
12 house to see nothing, hear nothing, and say nothing. It's
13 because she was Epstein's partner in crime. And in that house,
14 behind closed doors, Maxwell and Epstein were committing
15 horrifying crimes.

16 That brings us to the second reason. The second
17 reason that you know that Maxwell is guilty is that she ran the
18 same playbook over and over and over again as she exploited
19 young girls. The similarities between what happened to Jane
20 and Annie and Carolyn and Kate are incredibly powerful evidence
21 of the defendant's guilt. So I want to talk to you about the
22 playbook that Maxwell ran again and again and again.

23 Before we talk about this, remember that you heard
24 from Dr. Rocchio, an expert psychologist who specializes in
25 treating victims of sexual abuse for the trauma they suffered

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 as a result of their childhood experiences. She told you that
2 childhood sexual abuse typically occurs in an established
3 relationship by a perpetrator who's known to the victim. Dr.
4 Rocchio explained to you that perpetrators are able to abuse
5 kids by using a series of techniques called grooming. She
6 walked you through the stages of grooming. Here they are:

7 Identifying and selecting the child.

8 Obtaining access and isolating the child.

9 Engaging in manipulation in order to build trust and
10 attachment.

11 Desensitizing the child to physical touch and sexual
12 content.

13 And finally, maintaining control over the child to
14 continue abuse and decrease the likelihood that the victim will
15 report.

16 So let's talk through the ways that Maxwell and
17 Epstein ran this exact playbook.

18 First, the ways that they selected these girls tells
19 you that they were targeting vulnerable kids. It is not an
20 accident that Jane and Kate and Annie and Carolyn all came from
21 single-mother households. It is not an accident that all of
22 their families were struggling in different ways. What that
23 tells you is that Maxwell and Epstein selected these girls
24 carefully.

25 When Jane was 14, her father had just died and her

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 family was struggling financially. She didn't have her own bed
2 to sleep in. Things were really tough at home. She had big
3 dreams of working in the arts one day. She was talented.

4 When Annie was 16, she lived with her mother who was
5 supporting her daughters by herself on a limited income. Annie
6 was hoping to go to a good college.

7 When Kate was 17, she lived with her mother who had
8 been sick. Things were difficult at home and Kate was alone a
9 lot. And she was dazzled by this impressive woman who made her
10 feel special.

11 When Carolyn was 14, she lived with her mom, a single
12 mom who was an alcoholic. She had previously been sexually
13 abused by family members and she told Maxwell about that.

14 Make no mistake. Selecting these girls was predatory
15 behavior. Maxwell and Epstein picked vulnerable girls. They
16 found kids who needed something. They were exploiting that
17 need.

18 So what was the next stage of the playbook? It was
19 isolating the girls. Maxwell and Epstein got them alone in
20 Epstein's enormous houses, alone on trips to Epstein's
21 sprawling ranch, his mansion on the Upper East Side. They got
22 them alone in massage rooms. They were away from their
23 parents.

24 Remember how Jane told you that her mother was never
25 invited when she would spend time with Maxwell and Epstein?

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 That was by design. It was Maxwell's design. Maxwell was
2 hanging out with these girls alone, isolating them and
3 befriending them.

4 And remember how Annie's mom told you that Epstein
5 called her to invite Annie to New Mexico? Remember how Annie's
6 mom explained that Epstein said about 20 to 25 girls would be
7 there and so would his wife, Ghislaine. And remember how Annie
8 told you that when she got to New Mexico, she found herself
9 alone with Maxwell and Epstein? They were isolating these
10 girls for a reason.

11 Then came the next step in the playbook: Making these
12 girls feel special, giving them gifts, making friends, giving
13 them money, promising to help with their futures, promises like
14 sending Annie on a trip to Thailand or helping to pay for
15 Jane's voice lessons and tuition. They were building a
16 relationship. They were building trust for what was going to
17 come next.

18 Once the girls were manipulated this way, Maxwell
19 helped Epstein normalize sexual situations and sexual touching.
20 For Annie, it started with Maxwell telling her how to massage
21 Epstein's feet. And it escalated to Maxwell touching Annie's
22 breasts in a so-called massage. For Kate too it started with
23 Maxwell asking her to rub Epstein's feet. For Jane it started
24 with Maxwell being topless by the pool, and then Maxwell
25 talking about boyfriends and sex with Jane. And it escalated

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 to sexualized massages. Again and again throughout this trial,
2 you heard about how these girls were asked to perform
3 sexualized massages on Jeffrey Epstein.

4 While we're talking about physical touch, let me just
5 pause here and point out that Annie, Carolyn, and Jane all
6 testified that Maxwell touched their breasts. They all had
7 very specific memories about that.

8 Here's Carolyn's testimony about that: You remember
9 that she told you about Maxwell coming into the massage room
10 when Carolyn was naked. Maxwell touched Carolyn's breasts and
11 told her that she had a good body. Jane told you about Maxwell
12 touching her breasts too during sexual encounters with Maxwell
13 and Epstein during so-called massages.

14 Maxwell did it to Annie, too. Here's her testimony
15 about that. Here's what she said about how that made her feel:

16 I was very uncomfortable and fearful and wanted to get
17 off the table, that massage table, and wanted it to be over
18 with.

19 Ladies and gentlemen, Maxwell touched these girls'
20 bodies. Three different women told you about Maxwell touching
21 their breasts when they were kids in massage rooms on massage
22 tables and in the context of so-called massages. It's not an
23 accident. It happened again and again and again. It is
24 powerful evidence of Maxwell's guilt.

25 And the woman they all described to you when they took

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 that stand, when they talked about their memories of her,
2 ladies and gentlemen, they were describing the same woman. Not
3 just her name and her physical appearance, but what she was
4 like. These girls knew her. They knew Maxwell. Charming,
5 sophisticated, engaging, impressive. A woman who moved in a
6 social circle that was intimidating. These witnesses were
7 describing the same woman, Ghislaine Maxwell. They knew her.

8 The relationships that Maxwell cultivated with these
9 girls were essential to the scheme. Those relationships helped
10 Maxwell and Epstein maintain control of these girls for years.
11 Jane didn't become Epstein's so-called goddaughter by accident.
12 Maxwell helped establish a close relationship that became a
13 cover for sexual abuse.

14 The patterns you saw throughout this trial, the
15 playbook that Maxwell ran for years, is just one of the many
16 ways that you know that Maxwell is guilty.

17 I want to talk to you now about what Maxwell and
18 Epstein did to Jane. That's the third way that you know that
19 Maxwell is guilty.

20 This is Jane. Jane turned 14 years old in the summer
21 of 1994, when she met Maxwell at a summer camp for kids.
22 Maxwell and Epstein told her that Epstein was a wealthy donor
23 who gave scholarships. But you learned that meeting Maxwell
24 and Epstein at summer camp was the beginning of years of sexual
25 abuse. What Jane told you about meeting Maxwell in summer camp

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 in 1994 is backed up by documents. So let's talk about it.

2 Here's Jane's application to Interlochen for the
3 summer of 1994. She weighed 90 pounds and she just finished
4 the seventh grade. There can't be any question that Jane was
5 at Interlochen that summer. And there also cannot be any
6 question that Maxwell was there that summer, too.

7 Let's take a look at the flight records. They show
8 you that on August 18th, 1994, Jeffrey Epstein flew to Traverse
9 City, Michigan. That's where Interlochen is. And sure enough,
10 two days later, on the 20th, Maxwell was on the flight home
11 with him. She's right there in the flight records, "GM."
12 She's listed on it as a passenger on the plane ride home.
13 These flight records prove to you that Maxwell was there that
14 summer. That's when she met Jane. That's how it all started.

15 You also know that Epstein and Maxwell were there in
16 August of 1994 because Epstein had donated a scholarship lodge.
17 Here's the letter you saw from Interlochen; it's dated February
18 1994. And they are thanking Epstein for donating the money to
19 build a scholarship lodge. Government Exhibit 745 is a
20 photograph of that lodge.

21 And most importantly, you know that Maxwell was at
22 Interlochen that summer because Maxwell got a letter from
23 Interlochen in December 1994, just months after she'd met Jane
24 at camp. The folks at Interlochen were writing Maxwell to tell
25 her that they found an envelope while they were cleaning the

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 Epstein lodge and they wanted to return it to her. So when
2 Jane told you about meeting Maxwell and Epstein at summer camp
3 in 1994, you know that's the truth.

4 What Jane didn't know that summer, but what you
5 learned at this trial, is that Maxwell and Epstein were
6 targeting her for sexual abuse. By the time she started
7 spending time with Maxwell and Epstein in Palm Beach, she was
8 an 8th grader. She was in middle school. Epstein told Jane's
9 mother that he would help Jane; that he gave scholarships.
10 That was the cover.

11 But once Maxwell and Epstein started spending time
12 with Jane alone, they started grooming her for abuse right
13 away. Jane described how Maxwell acted like she was an older
14 sister figure; how she went to the movies with Maxwell and
15 Epstein. They took her shopping. They bought her white
16 underwear. Epstein gave her money. She saw Maxwell topless by
17 the pool. And by the way, you know that's true because now
18 you've seen Maxwell topless by the pool too.

19 Jane told you about how Maxwell would chitchat with
20 her, talking about school and then about boyfriends and sex.
21 There was a purpose for all of this. Maxwell and Epstein were
22 setting the stage for what was going to come next.

23 Jane told you about how one day after this initial
24 grooming, Epstein walked her down to the pool house. He pulled
25 her onto his lap and started masturbating on her. Here's her

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 testimony about that: She was frozen in fear. She had never
2 seen a penis before. It was horrifying.

3 What did Maxwell do next? She started teaching Jane
4 how to massage Epstein. Here's her testimony about that:
5 Maxwell would show her how Jeffrey likes to be massaged. And
6 you know what happened next: Fully ramping up, breaking down
7 barriers, making it all seem okay. Maxwell and Epstein started
8 sexually abusing Jane during these so-called massages.

9 Maxwell played an essential role in these abusive
10 massages. As Jane told you, Maxwell was the person most
11 frequently in the room when Epstein molested her. Along with
12 Epstein, Maxwell gave Jane instructions on how to massage
13 Epstein. Here's her testimony about that: Showing you, you
14 know, what he likes; what -- you know, what men, what women
15 like, sort of touching on breasts and touching his penis.

16 And while all of this was happening, while Jane was
17 being abused, Maxwell was right there acting casually and
18 behaving like all of this was normal. She was doing that
19 because she was trying to normalize sexual abuse.

20 Here's Jane's testimony about that: It seemed very
21 casual, like it was -- like it was very normal, like it was not
22 a big deal. She's describing how Maxwell would act during
23 those massages.

24 She said, It made me feel confused because that did
25 not feel normal to me. I'd never seen anything like this or

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 felt any of this and it was very embarrassing. You know, it's
2 all these mixed emotions. When you're 14, you have no idea
3 what's going on.

4 Ladies and gentlemen, none of this was normal. It was
5 not okay. It was deeply disturbing. They were molesting an
6 underage girl. That's what Maxwell did. And Jane told you
7 about all the ways in which she was sexually abused during
8 these so-called massages. You saw in her face how hard it was
9 for her to talk to you about that. It was hard to hear.

10 She told you about having to touch Epstein's penis,
11 how Epstein touched her vagina and used vibrators; how he put a
12 back massager on her vagina, even when she said it hurt. She
13 told you that Maxwell touched her breasts, that there were
14 hands everywhere. She also told you that Maxwell and Epstein
15 would sometimes involve her in horrifying group encounters with
16 other women.

17 The sexual abuse didn't just happen in Florida. You
18 learned that Maxwell and Epstein started taking Jane on trips
19 to Epstein's house in New York. She flew to New York on
20 commercial flights, but also in the private jet. Ask
21 yourselves, does it seem normal to you that two adults were
22 spending their weekends on trips with a 14-year-old girl?
23 Absolutely not. There was nothing normal about that. And your
24 common sense tells you they weren't bringing her to New York
25 for some kind of scholarship or mentoring program. They were

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 bringing her to New York to be molested, and that is exactly
2 what happened.

3 And by the way, you know that Maxwell and Epstein
4 weren't simply charitable, wealthy people who were just trying
5 to help young girls. There are real ways, official ways, that
6 you can help and mentor young people, but that's not what they
7 did at all. There wasn't some scholarship foundation, there
8 certainly weren't any scholarship boys. There were no
9 applications or legitimate selection criteria. To qualify, you
10 just had to be a pretty, young, vulnerable girl. That's who
11 they were targeting.

12 Jane told you about those trips with Maxwell and
13 Epstein to New York when she was 14 and 15 and 16. She told
14 you about the abuse that happened there. He would use
15 vibrators on me. He would put his fingers in my vagina. He
16 would start to masturbate and he would ask me to straddle his
17 face. He would ask me to, like, squeeze his nipples really
18 hard while he came.

19 Let's talk about the house in New York where that
20 happened. Here's the massage room in New York. As you look at
21 these photos -- we're going to look at two -- let me read to
22 you from the transcript what Jane testified about this room.
23 And you're going to see she's describing this very same massage
24 room. Here's what she said: It was off the master bathroom.
25 Notice the bathroom on the left. And it looked like it was

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 maybe supposed to be like a giant walk-in closet. And it was
2 very dark. There was a built-in bookcase on the right-hand
3 side, and there was a stereo system and there was, like, music
4 playing. And I don't know if it was painted dark, but -- or
5 maybe that was the lighting, but it sort of had this red mood.
6 And then there was just a giant black massage table in the
7 middle of it.

8 Ladies and gentlemen, you know that Jane has been in
9 this massage room because it looks exactly like she remembered.

10 During the years that Maxwell and Epstein abused Jane,
11 she was literally growing up in front of their eyes. She
12 turned 15 and 16 and 17. This went on for years. They
13 maintained a coercive relationship with her into her early
14 twenties.

15 Now, I've already talked about some of the ways that
16 Jane's testimony was corroborated. I want to talk to you now
17 about the many other ways that Jane's testimony is backed up by
18 all of the other evidence in this case.

19 First, you heard from Juan Alessi, who remembered
20 Jane. Here's his testimony about that. He remembered that she
21 looked about 14 or 15, and that she spent time with Maxwell and
22 Epstein at the house. Here's his testimony about that: Alessi
23 remembered the school that Jane went to because he would be
24 sent to pick her up there sometimes. He also remembered
25 driving Jane to the airport with Maxwell and Epstein. Here's

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 part of his testimony about that. You know that Jane traveled
2 with Maxwell and Epstein because Jane told you that. But you
3 also know it because Alessi told you about that. And you know
4 it because the flight records show it too.

5 So let's take a look at Government Exhibit 662. So in
6 the flight records, you learned that the pilots didn't always
7 see the passengers or learn their names; sometimes they just
8 wrote one female or one passenger. Here's an example from
9 January of 1995. And, of course, you know that Maxwell and
10 Epstein wouldn't have been eager to have a pilot log the name
11 of the kid they were bringing on trips. They wouldn't be eager
12 to introduce her to the pilots until she got older.

13 But Jane is listed on several flights in these
14 records. Here's the first one where her name appears. It's
15 November 1996, when Jane was just 16 years old. Here she is on
16 a flight from Palm Beach to Teterboro Airport. That's the
17 airport they would use when they were flying to New York.

18 What you're looking at is cold, hard proof that Jane
19 was an underage girl being transported to New York. And while
20 Maxwell isn't listed on the flight log for the flight there,
21 you know she was there because you look -- if you look at the
22 second entry right below that, she's on the flight log leaving
23 New York the very next flight. That's how you know that
24 Maxwell was in New York too. She's right there.

25 Here's the next flight where Jane's name appears.

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 It's May 1997. A flight from Teterboro to New Mexico. They
2 were traveling from New York to New Mexico. On the day of this
3 flight, Jane was still just 16 years old. The flight log here
4 shows just Maxwell, Epstein, and Jane. And Jane told you about
5 going on a trip alone to New Mexico with just Maxwell and
6 Epstein. Why on earth were Maxwell and Epstein flying alone to
7 New Mexico with a 16-year-old girl? They were doing it for the
8 same reason they did that to Annie Farmer, ladies and
9 gentlemen. That's the playbook.

10 Let's take a look at just one more flight. And
11 remember, these aren't the only trips that Jane took; they are
12 just the ones that happen to be captured in the flight logs
13 when she flew on the jet.

14 So here is Jane in April 1998 flying with Maxwell and
15 Epstein from Palm Beach to Teterboro, a trip to New York when
16 Jane was 17. And by the way, remember when defense counsel
17 showed you pictures of an older woman with Jane's first name,
18 who worked as a personal assistant, and they suggested to you
19 that maybe that's the Jane on these flight logs? That's the
20 photo they showed you. Ladies and gentlemen, that was
21 completely misleading.

22 The pilots told you that there were only ever two
23 passengers with that first name, and they met the second
24 person, the person in this photo, years later. And you heard
25 testimony from someone from the DMV to show you that this adult

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 woman in that picture was 11 old when these flights took off.
2 She obviously wasn't working as a personal assistant when she
3 was 11. It's not her. It's Jane on those flight logs. You
4 know the girl on those flights was Jane.

5 In addition to all of that corroboration, you've also
6 heard testimony from Matt, who dated Jane for several years in
7 the mid 2000s. He told you that he had conversations with Jane
8 more than a decade before this case. And what Jane told him
9 back then is consistent with what she told you at this trial.
10 She told Matt that she had a godfather named Jeffrey Epstein
11 who paid for things when she was growing up. It started when
12 she was 14, and she had to do things she didn't want to do.
13 She told him it involved massage and that there was a woman who
14 made her feel comfortable.

15 Here are two portions of Matt's testimony: She said
16 that it started at 14. There was a woman in the house who made
17 her feel comfortable. You know that woman is Maxwell, because
18 when Matt learned that Maxwell had been arrested in this case,
19 he called Jane and asked her, Is that the woman you told me
20 about all of those years ago? And Jane told him that it was.
21 Now, Jane didn't tell Matt all of the details; she just told
22 him the money wasn't free. And Matt told you about how when
23 Jane would talk about this, she was -- and I'm quoting from the
24 transcript -- ashamed, embarrassed, horrified.

25 Jane couldn't share all of the details with Matt; it

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 took her a long time to be able to do that. You heard expert
2 testimony at this trial from Dr. Rocchio who told you about
3 just how common that is. Dr. Rocchio has spent literally
4 decades treating real-world patients for trauma caused by
5 sexual abuse. She's an expert. And she told you that it's
6 actually uncommon for kids to disclose that they've been abused
7 when it happens.

8 Here's her testimony about that. In fact, as Dr.
9 Rocchio told you, victims are less likely to tell, and they are
10 more likely to delay telling the closer they are to the
11 perpetrator.

12 And you heard about how Maxwell was like a big sister
13 to Jane; how Epstein was Jane's so-called godfather. It was
14 this close relationship that made it hard for Jane to talk
15 about the abuse, especially given everything that you learned
16 about what was going on at home for Jane and what her mother
17 was like.

18 Remember how Matt told you he was there when Jane
19 confronted her mother years later? Here's his testimony about
20 that. Jane told her mother that she -- that the money was not
21 free, and that there was no way she couldn't have known that it
22 wasn't free.

23 At this trial, you heard expert testimony from Dr.
24 Rocchio about how it's hard for victims of childhood sexual
25 abuse to tell someone that they've been abused. And when they

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 do tell, here's what Dr. Rocchio explained to you. She said,
2 Disclosure is a process that unfolds over time. So individuals
3 will typically begin the disclosure maybe by alluding to what's
4 happened in a general sense or the gist of what's happened.

5 Let me pause here and say that's exactly what happened
6 with Matt. She's alluding to what happened, giving the gist of
7 it, but not all the details. It's just too hard.

8 Here's the rest of her testimony. And then it's only
9 over time they will begin to talk more specifically about what
10 has happened. And even in therapy, oftentimes disclosure of
11 the most intimate or difficult details is something that's very
12 hard and individuals are very reluctant to do. Dr. Rocchio has
13 explained to you that's what happens in these cases, and she's
14 an expert in the psychology of sexual trauma.

15 Now, the defense cross-examined Jane about why she
16 didn't immediately tell someone about the abuse she suffered
17 when she was 14 and 15 and 16, as if that would have been easy.
18 And, in fact, when the defense points out that Jane wasn't able
19 to tell people what really happened to her, they're actually
20 pointing to what makes this a textbook case of child sexual
21 abuse.

22 How else do you know that Jane told you the truth?
23 It's because her testimony is corroborated by the testimony of
24 Annie and Carolyn and Kate, whose experiences were strikingly
25 similar, as we've already discussed. It's not a coincidence.

LCKVMAX2

Summations - Ms. Moe

1 You can consider all of the witnesses' testimony as
2 corroborating testimony as you consider what happened to each
3 one of them.

4 Let me just say one last thing about Jane. Defense
5 counsel asked Jane in cross-examination whether she remembered
6 some of the first names of people who were present for group
7 sexualized encounters. She remembered five names: Emmy,
8 Kelly, Sophie, Eva, and Michelle. And keep in mind, she was a
9 kid, right; it's not like she's taking people's IDs in the room
10 when she's being sexually assaulted, but that's what she can
11 remember.

12 The defense seized on two of those names, Eva and
13 Michelle. Here's the transcript where they asked about this on
14 cross.

15 (Continued on next page)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 MS. MOE: So in the defense case, they happened to
2 find two people with the first names, Michelle and Ava, and
3 they brought them down to court to try to discredit Jane. But
4 here's the thing, Jane never testified that Michelle Healey and
5 Eva Dubin were in the room when she was abused. She did not
6 say that.

7 Defense counsel asked her about a Michelle and an Eva.
8 They expressly did not ask Jane if she knew those people's last
9 names. They didn't show Jane pictures of Eva Dubin or Michelle
10 Healey to see if those were the people she was referencing.
11 They left it really vague on purpose. But trying to discredit
12 Jane this way makes no sense. Your common sense tells you that
13 those aren't the only Michelles and Evas in the whole wide
14 world.

15 And you can take a look at excerpts from Government
16 Exhibit 52. That's the black contact book. Remember, there
17 were pages from Epstein and Maxwell's contact book, and we're
18 going to talk more about this book later. But you're going to
19 see this book had another Eva in it and three Michelles. Of
20 course there were other Michelles and Evas out there and other
21 people with those names in Epstein's life. Calling these women
22 to testify was completely meaningless and it was a total
23 sideshow. Don't be distracted by that. It was meaningless.

24 Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence tells you that Jane
25 told you the truth at this trial because you could see and hear

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 her yourself. You met Jane. It was powerful testimony and it
2 was difficult to hear, but you know from your direct
3 observations that she was telling you the truth.

4 You also know it was true because her testimony was
5 extensively corroborated by Alessi, by Matt, and by documents
6 like the flight records, school records, and camp records. And
7 you know it because you recognize the same playbook they ran
8 with Jane when you compare it with what Epstein and Maxwell did
9 with the other witnesses at this trial, and the lengths the
10 defense went to, to try to discredit Jane, just tells you how
11 devastating her testimony was. It proves Maxwell is guilty.

12 Jane was a kid in middle school. She was sexually
13 exploited by Ghislaine Maxwell and Jeffrey Epstein. If you
14 believe Jane's testimony, the defendant is guilty on counts One
15 through Four of the indictment.

16 I want to talk to you now about Annie.

17 The fourth reason that you know that Maxwell is guilty
18 is Annie Farmer. Here's Annie. Annie told you about meeting
19 Jeffrey Epstein in New York in December 1995. She was 16 years
20 old. Epstein said that he was taking an interest in her as a
21 student and he talked to Annie about college. Then Epstein
22 took her to the movies with her sister, Maria. During that
23 movie, he held Annie's hand and rubbed her arm. He hid it from
24 Maria, but he was rubbing Annie's arm during the movie. He was
25 trying to desensitize Annie to his touch.

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Here's part of Annie's teenage diary entry about the
2 trip to the movies. It tells you a few things. First, this
3 diary tells you that this isn't a new story. It's right there
4 in her teenage diary, her high school diary from 1986. And
5 what you saw in Annie's diary was just how confusing this
6 experience was for a teenage girl. This diary entry was a
7 perfect illustration about how kids being groomed for sexual
8 abuse wrestled with understanding that confusing experience.
9 Annie wrote about how she went back and forth about how this
10 was weird, and you can see her in her diary struggling to
11 rationalize what is obviously inappropriate behavior, because
12 this adult was being nice to her, he had made promises, he was
13 important to her sister's career, and he held a position of
14 trust. That's exactly how grooming works.

15 I want you to notice one thing more about this diary.
16 You'll notice towards the bottom that Annie wrote down, the one
17 thing that kind of weirded me out about it was that he let go
18 of my hand when he was talking to Maria. Annie told you about
19 how in the movie theater when Epstein was touching her, he had
20 to hide it from Maria. I want you to remember that, because
21 we're going to talk about it in a few minutes.

22 Now, Maxwell wasn't there for the trip to New York,
23 but you know that she was absolutely there for what happened
24 next, as she and Epstein tried to escalate things with Annie.

25 In the spring of 1996 when Annie was 16, she flew all

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 by herself to New Mexico to stay with Maxwell and Epstein. She
2 thought it had to do with a potential scholarship, and so did
3 her mother, who took the witness stand and told you that she
4 remembered Annie going on this trip with Maxwell and Epstein,
5 and that she thought it was a trip for multiple scholarship
6 students who would be chaperoned by Ghislaine Maxwell. But
7 when Annie got to New Mexico, she was alone. She was alone
8 with Epstein and Maxwell. She was alone with them, with no
9 chaperone, no other students. She was alone with two sexual
10 predators.

11 Over the course of the weekend, Maxwell engaged in
12 textbook grooming behavior with Annie. First, Maxwell starting
13 chatting her up, asking her about her life. She was charming
14 her. Then Maxwell took Annie on a shopping trip. They bought
15 her boots and Maxwell picked out a hair product for Annie.

16 What came next? Maxwell and Epstein took Annie to a
17 movie theater where Epstein began holding Annie's hand and
18 rubbing her foot and her arm. He was doing the same thing he
19 had done in New York, but now he was doing it openly. He was
20 doing it openly because this time he was doing it with Maxwell,
21 and he didn't have to hide it from Maxwell because she was in
22 on the whole thing.

23 So what did Annie tell you about next? Well, Maxwell
24 started rationing things up to the next level. Here's her
25 testimony about that.

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 It was decided that I would learn how to give Epstein
2 a foot massage. Maxwell wanted to show me how to rub his feet,
3 and so that was something I should learn how to do. And so she
4 sat and held one of his feet and instructed me to hold his
5 other foot and showed me how to rub it. Maxwell started to
6 teach Annie how to give Epstein a foot massage.

7 Now, did Maxwell know that Epstein liked foot
8 massages? Of course she did. You saw that photo of Maxwell
9 massaging Epstein's feet with her breasts.

10 Ladies and gentlemen, your common sense tells you, it
11 is not normal for an adult woman to teach a 16-year-old girl to
12 rub a middle-aged man's feet. That is not part of some
13 mentoring program, it's not part of a scholarship entry
14 program. You know exactly what that was about, and when
15 Maxwell did it, she knew exactly what she was doing, she was
16 trying to get Annie to touch Epstein. They were confusing her
17 boundaries. They were moving the line slowly and gradually for
18 what would come next.

19 This was classic grooming behavior. It's what Maxwell
20 did to Jane and it's also, by the way, what Maxwell did to
21 Kate. So let's take a moment to look at Kate's testimony about
22 that.

23 Kate told you that when Maxwell introduced her to
24 Epstein, she said, why don't you give his feet a little squeeze
25 to show him how strong you are. Why was Maxwell asking these

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 teenage girls to touch Epstein's feet? It's obvious. She was
2 trying to get these girls to touch Jeffrey Epstein. She was
3 trying to normalize touch. She was doing it because she was a
4 predator.

5 For Annie, things didn't stop with foot massages.
6 Maxwell pushed things further. Annie told you about how
7 Maxwell insisted on giving Annie a massage, and during that
8 massage, Maxwell folded down the sheet, exposing Annie's
9 breasts, and began touching her breasts.

10 Ladies and gentlemen, there are a lot of things you
11 can say about a woman in her 30s groping the breasts of a
12 16-year-old girl, but a therapeutic massage is not one of them.
13 That's not on a list of spa treatments anywhere. What Maxwell
14 was doing was the same thing that she did to other girls - she
15 was introducing touch, she was normalizing sexualized massages,
16 she was breaking down barriers, she was moving the line
17 forward, slowly, but surely.

18 And what happened next is exactly what Maxwell was
19 trying to set up. Towards the end of the trip, Epstein came
20 into Annie's room and got into her bed saying that he wanted to
21 cuddle. A man in his 40s was in her bed. He wrapped his arms
22 around her and pressed his body against her. Just imagine how
23 terrifying that would be for a 16-year-old girl. She's alone
24 on a ranch in the middle of nowhere, and the adults in charge
25 are the woman who groped her breasts and the man who's now in

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 her bed trying to cuddle her.

2 You learned that Annie got away. She got out of bed
3 and she hid in her bathroom. What Maxwell and Epstein were
4 trying to do, what they successfully did with other girls, it
5 didn't work on Annie. And Annie told you that, after that, on
6 the last day, Maxwell suddenly seemed very disinterested in
7 her. Ladies and gentlemen, Maxwell lost interest in Annie
8 because her scheme didn't work. When Annie wouldn't cuddle
9 with Epstein, when they couldn't take things further, Maxwell
10 dropped the act. She didn't have to pretend to be charming
11 anymore because she didn't have any use for Annie anymore, and
12 that was the end of the trip. After that, Annie never spoke to
13 Maxwell or Epstein again. She went to her junior prom and then
14 she spent the summer in Thailand on a trip that Epstein had
15 paid for.

16 And by the way, Annie and her high school boyfriend,
17 David Mulligan, and Annie's mom all remember that Annie went to
18 Thailand that summer.

19 During the defense case, the defense called someone to
20 testify about border patrol records, but don't let any spotty
21 border records from the 1990s distract you for a minute. She
22 obviously went to Thailand that summer. We're looking at a
23 photograph of it right here.

24 When Annie got home from Thailand, her mother had
25 asked her what had happened in New Mexico, she wanted to know

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 what had happened on that trip.

2 Here's Janice Swain's testimony about that. She told
3 you that Annie said, I don't want to talk about it, I'm just
4 not going to let it ruin my life.

5 But not long after this happened, Annie told her high
6 school boyfriend what Maxwell and Epstein had done. She told
7 him about meeting Maxwell on the trip to New Mexico.

8 You heard from David Mulligan at this trial. Here's
9 his testimony about what Annie told him. She said that Maxwell
10 was very charming, very pretty, she greeted her when she
11 arrived, and I remember that they had a day around town where
12 Maxwell took her shopping. He even remembered that Annie said
13 that Maxwell had bought her cowboy boots. But most
14 importantly, Annie told him that Maxwell had given her a
15 massage and touched her breasts.

16 Here's his testimony about that. He remembered what
17 Annie told him, that Maxwell had touched her, that she had
18 touched her breasts during the massage, and in 2006, Annie told
19 the FBI the same thing.

20 Here's her testimony about that. She told them about
21 Maxwell in 2006 - 15 years ago. That's one of the many ways
22 that you know that Annie is telling the truth. She has been
23 consistently describing since the 1990s what Maxwell did to
24 her, and what Maxwell did to Annie is powerful evidence of her
25 guilt.

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 I want to talk to you now about what Maxwell and
2 Epstein did to Carolyn. That's the fifth way that you know
3 Maxwell is guilty.

4 This is Carolyn when she was a teenage girl. Carolyn
5 met Maxwell through a girl she knew named Virginia Roberts. So
6 I'm going to start by talking to about Virginia Roberts.

7 This is Government Exhibit 113 and 114. That's
8 Virginia. This is the same girl that Juan Alessi remembered.
9 He told you about how he was driving to Mar-a-Lago one day. He
10 was driving Maxwell one day by Mar-a-Lago, and Maxwell saw this
11 girl and told him to pull over. Maxwell got out of the car and
12 went to go talk to that girl.

13 Here's his testimony. She told me to stop - John,
14 stop - and I stopped the car and she opened the door and she
15 went towards this girl as she was coming down the ramp. She
16 went to go talk to this girl. And sure enough, he saw Virginia
17 at the house later that day. When she arrived, Alessi brought
18 her to see Maxwell. After that, he remembered that Virginia
19 started coming to the house and spending time with Maxwell and
20 Epstein. He told you about that.

21 We'll talk more in a moment about Virginia, but I want
22 to be very clear that the Virginia Roberts that Carolyn told
23 you about is the very same Virginia Roberts that Juan Alessi
24 told you about. It's the same girl that Maxwell pulled over to
25 talk to.

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Now Carolyn testified that when she was about 14,
2 Virginia told her about a way to make money giving a massage to
3 a wealthy man. They went over to that man's house in Palm
4 Beach and met Maxwell there.

5 Here's her testimony about that. Virginia said this
6 was her friend, Carolyn, and Maxwell responded, you can bring
7 her upstairs and show her what to do. You know exactly what
8 Maxwell meant, sexual contact was about to happen in the
9 massage room, and that's exactly what happened next.

10 Virginia and Carolyn got undressed and started
11 massaging Epstein in the massage room, and then Virginia and
12 Epstein started having sex in front of Carolyn in the massage
13 room. Carolyn sat on the couch in the massage room while it
14 happened.

15 This is the massage room. That's the couch on the
16 left. On the walls on the left, there were nude drawings.
17 Ladies and gentlemen, this was not a place for a therapeutic
18 massages, it is a place where Maxwell and Epstein's victims
19 were sexually abused.

20 You take a look at the drawings on the left, here is a
21 zoom-in from the search video, there are the drawings. And
22 while we're talking about this space where things happened, I
23 want to pause here and show you the entrance to the master
24 bedroom in the Palm Beach house close by the massage room.
25 You're going to notice a large photo of a young girl pulling

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 her underwear down, and when you see that, you'll understand
2 what Maxwell understood, that Maxwell understood what was
3 happening in that house.

4 The first visit for Carolyn to this house was the
5 beginning of years of sexual abuse. Carolyn told you about how
6 Maxwell would call her to set up appointment times for these
7 so-called massages, and sometimes Sarah Kellen would call, too.

8 Here's her testimony about that. She told you that
9 Maxwell would call and set up appointment times. She said for
10 the first year or two, Maxwell would call, and then Sarah would
11 call after that point. And you know that's true because
12 Carolyn said that twice when she gave deposition testimony
13 under oath in 2009.

14 Here's the first one.

15 This is Carolyn's deposition testimony from 2009.

16 "Q. In fact, Mr. Epstein himself did not contact you on each
17 occasion and request you to come, did he?

18 "A. No. He would have Sarah or Maxwell call me."

19 Here's the second one.

20 "Q. And on these occasions that you called to see if you could
21 go over there and give him a massage, did you talk to him or
22 did you talk to others at his house?

23 "A. I talked to Sarah or Maxwell. I've also talked to -- I
24 don't know if it's a cook or someone else that was there that
25 took phone messages."

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 She said it twice.

2 In 2009, Carolyn testified under oath that Maxwell
3 called her to schedule sexualized massages. And you know all
4 of this is true because you have heard testimony from Sean,
5 Carolyn's boyfriend at the time, who told you that Carolyn
6 started making money by going over to Jeffrey Epstein's house.
7 He remembered that happening a couple weeks after he met
8 Virginia Roberts in 2001 when Carolyn was just 14 years old.

9 He also remembered that Carolyn told him that she
10 interacted with Maxwell inside of Epstein's house. Here's his
11 testimony about that. Sean even remembered how Carolyn
12 couldn't pronounce Maxwell's first name.

13 When Carolyn went to that house, she had conversations
14 with Maxwell. Maxwell asked her if she'd ever used sex toys,
15 and she said no. Maxwell asked her about her plans for the
16 future. They talked about personal things in Carolyn's life.
17 Carolyn told Maxwell about her upbringing and her home life,
18 that her mother was an alcoholic and that Karen had been
19 molested by her grandfather when she was younger.

20 Maxwell also talked to Carolyn about travel. Maxwell
21 invited her to travel with them, and Carolyn told her that she
22 couldn't, that she was too young, she didn't have a passport,
23 and her mother wouldn't let her go. It should come as no
24 surprise to you, ladies and gentlemen, that Maxwell asked this
25 young girl to travel with them, because that's what Maxwell and

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Epstein had been doing for years at this point - trips with
2 Jane when she was 14, 15, 16; spending a weekend in New Mexico
3 with Annie when she was 16.

4 And we're about to talk in a few minutes about just
5 how many flight records there are that show that Virginia
6 Roberts flew with Maxwell and Epstein when she was 17 years
7 old. This was Maxwell's playbook for years, and she tried to
8 get Carolyn to travel, too.

9 What happened to Carolyn in the years that she was
10 abused in that house in Palm Beach is hard to talk about. You
11 remember her testimony. She told you about being paid to give
12 sexualized massages to Epstein, how he touched her breasts, put
13 a vibrator on her vagina, and how every massage ended with
14 Epstein ejaculating. Ladies and gentlemen, that's what
15 happened to Jane, too. It's one of the many ways that you know
16 that Carolyn is telling the truth.

17 Now, remember when Carolyn told you that Epstein sent
18 her packages, that he sent her lingerie? You know that's true
19 because you saw FedEx records that proved that Epstein sent her
20 packages.

21 This is Government Exhibit 803. It's a record of a
22 package sent to Carolyn in October of 2002, when she was 15.
23 It's a package from New York from Epstein's office, and it
24 wasn't the only package. Here's another, Government Exhibit
25 801. Again, Carolyn was 15. And here's another, Government

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Exhibit 802. Again, Carolyn was 15. Carolyn told you that she
2 got packages from Epstein from New York, and she did. You can
3 see that here in black and white. That's Government Exhibits
4 801, 802, and 803.

5 Who else was sending packages from Epstein's office
6 from this very same time period? Maxwell. She used the same
7 account to send packages. You see Maxwell's name on each of
8 these FedEx account invoices. Maxwell was a part of the
9 operation just like Carolyn told you.

10 You also learned about phone messages that Carolyn
11 left in the Palm Beach house on those message pads. Those
12 phone messages corroborate Carolyn's testimony. They prove to
13 you that she was there.

14 So let's talk about two of them.

15 First, this is Government Exhibit 608. Carolyn
16 testified that this was her mother's phone number. She wrote
17 it down so she wouldn't have to say it out loud at trial, and
18 we marked it as an exhibit. That same phone number is all over
19 the message pads from the Palm Beach house, phone message after
20 message.

21 This is Government Exhibit 1-B. It's the same phone
22 number, and that's Carolyn's full name, first and last. It's
23 from 2004. And remember, we were just looking at FedEx records
24 from 2002. What's the message here? That she wants to work
25 for Epstein today. What you were looking at is a phone message

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 from an underage girl who needs money and is being exploited.

2 This is Government Exhibit 3-E. It's the same phone
3 number and that's Carolyn's full name. What's the message?
4 It's that Kim wants to work. Just like Carolyn told you, she
5 brought other friends to the house to give massages.

6 Ladies and gentlemen, Carolyn's testimony was
7 extensively corroborated in this case. Her name is on FedEx
8 records and phone messages. She has been on the record since
9 2009 about Maxwell calling her for appointments. And her
10 exboyfriend, Sean, confirmed that Carolyn went to that house to
11 make money for years and that she interacted with Maxwell at
12 that house.

13 You know that Carolyn told you the truth. That's what
14 the evidence tells you. Her testimony was backed up by the
15 other evidence in this case and it was corroborated by what
16 Annie and Kate and Jane told you about Maxwell and how she
17 operated for years. What Carolyn told you is powerful evidence
18 that Maxwell was conspiring with Epstein to abuse underage
19 girls. Maxwell sent a teenage girl into a massage room with an
20 adult man. She knew exactly what she was doing. If you
21 believe Carolyn's testimony, the defendant is guilty on counts
22 One, Three, Five, and Six. And I'll talk about those counts
23 later on.

24 That brings us to reason six. The sixth way that you
25 know Maxwell is guilty is because Maxwell and Epstein kept a

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 little black book with their victims' names in it. It is a
2 powerfully incriminating document.

3 So I want to start by going back to the household
4 manual. And what the manual shows you is that Maxwell and
5 Epstein had a phone directory, and they kept several copies of
6 it in the house. There was a copy in the pool area, there was
7 a copy in their cars, there was a copy of it in the master
8 bedroom, and most importantly, there was a copy right on
9 Maxwell's desk.

10 Here's the part of the manual that tells you that.
11 There was a copy on her desk. And they're not just any
12 directories. In the manual, they're the JE and GM telephone
13 directories. Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell. When Juan
14 Alessi testified, he was asked about a little black book and he
15 testified that he recognized it as one of those books.

16 So let's take a look at Government Exhibit 52-G, which
17 is a page from that book. Here it is. So the section on this
18 page is titled "Massage Florida." I'm going to highlight a few
19 specific entries in a moment, but first let's just start with
20 the basics. You'll notice just how many names there are. Who
21 needs that many masseuses? That's the first sign that
22 something is off here. And a second thing that you're going to
23 notice is that all of the names here are female.

24 The third thing that you're going to notice is that
25 there are notes next to some of the names, things like mom,

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 dad, or parents. Use your common sense, ladies and gentlemen.
2 When you contact a professional masseuse, you don't need to
3 call her mom or dad. Just looking at this page tells you that
4 none of this was legitimate. These were millionaires who could
5 hire the top massage therapists in the whole world, but Maxwell
6 had a book with dozens of entries in a massage section that
7 makes absolutely clear that these were not real massages by
8 professional masseuses. In fact, this book makes clear that
9 some of the entries were for kids.

10 So let's talk about one of those entries. The entry
11 here on the left is Virginia, parents. I want you to take a
12 look at the phone number, ladies and gentlemen. You've seen it
13 somewhere else at this trial, and here it is. This is
14 Government Exhibit 823. It's the employment record for Sky
15 Roberts. You saw Virginia Roberts' birth certificate, so you
16 know that Sky Roberts is Virginia's dad. He was an employee at
17 Mar-a-Lago, which, as Juan Alessi testified, is the place where
18 Maxwell met Virginia Roberts. Take a look at the phone number
19 listed for Sky Roberts on this document. It's the same number
20 on Government Exhibit 52-G, the contact book.

21 And Virginia is also, by the way, on flight records
22 with Maxwell when she was 17 years old. Let's take a look, and
23 as we do, you're going to see the initials "G.M." for Ghislaine
24 Maxwell on every single one.

25 Here's the first one. Two flights, JE, GM, ET,

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Virginia. JE, GM, AT, Virginia. It's from 2000. She was 17
2 years old. Here's another page. JE, GM, ET, Virginia Roberts.
3 Here's another, JE, GM, ET, Virginia Roberts. There is another
4 one, JE, GM, ET, Virginia Roberts. This is 2001 when Virginia
5 Roberts was 17. They were flying around with a 17-year-old
6 girl.

7 But back to the black book, there is more to talk
8 about. Remember Sean, Carolyn's boyfriend? There is an entry
9 for him, too, under an entry for Carolyn, Carolyn's boyfriend's
10 house. That entry has Carolyn's first and last name. That
11 same phone number that you're looking at here is on three
12 messages from the message pads, and here they are. It's
13 Government Exhibits 2-U, 2-P, and 2-O. And if you take a look
14 at the date on the two messages on the left, they're from 2003
15 when Carolyn was 16. There's the phone number. It's the same.
16 In fact, the message in the middle is from just a month past
17 Carolyn's 16th birthday. There is more. There is an entry in
18 here for Carolyn with her full name. That number is on phone
19 messages from 2003, too. Here they are. One says Carolyn, two
20 say Caroline, but you know it's the same person, you know it's
21 Carolyn because the phone number is the same.

22 Who else is in this book? Remember how Sean told you
23 that he would bring a 16-year-old girl named Melissa to the
24 house with Carolyn? It's this girl in the photograph with
25 Carolyn. She's the one right next to Carolyn. That's

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Melissa's birth certificate. Sean told you this is the girl.
2 And sure enough, there are two entries under massage for
3 Melissa, Carolyn's friend. Here they are.

4 Again, remember how you learned that, by this point,
5 things operated like a pyramid scheme. Virginia brought
6 Carolyn, Carolyn brought Melissa and other girls. One girl
7 would bring another, who would bring another.

8 Ladies and gentlemen, this book, Maxwell's book, it
9 proves to you that Maxwell is guilty. What you'll see in this
10 book corroborates the witnesses who testified at this trial.

11 She had victim names written in a little black book. This
12 document is powerful evidence of the defendant's knowledge and
13 intent. These were not real massages. What was happening was
14 sexual abuse with underage girls. Maxwell knew it, she was
15 part of it, she was responsible for it, and the fact that she
16 had a little black book with her victims' names in it proves to
17 you that she is guilty.

18 That brings us to the seventh reason that you know
19 Maxwell is guilty. It's the money. Now, we've already talked
20 about Epstein's luxurious lifestyle and all of the perks that
21 Maxwell got from being his partner in crime, but it wasn't just
22 getting to live in the mansions and flying on the private jets,
23 Maxwell got millions of dollars from Epstein. You learned that
24 between 1999 and 2007, Jeffrey Epstein gave Maxwell about \$30
25 million, and you know exactly what that money was for.

LCKCmax3

Summation – Ms. Moe

First, in 1999, Epstein sent Maxwell \$18.3 million. \$18.3 million – here's the transaction. And then, in 2002, Epstein paid Maxwell \$5 million – here's the transaction. And last but not least, in 2007, Epstein paid Maxwell \$7.4 million. \$18.3 million, \$5 million, \$7.4 million. It's a total of \$30.7 million.

At this point, you got to ask yourselves, what was Maxwell doing for Epstein that was worth more than \$30 million? Your common sense tells you that you don't give someone \$30 million unless they're giving you exactly what you want, and what Epstein wanted was to touch underage girls. When Maxwell took that money, she knew what it was for and now you do, too. It was payment for committing terrible crimes with Jeffrey Epstein.

That brings us to reason number 8 that you know that Maxwell is guilty. When you zoom out and look at the big picture, the timeline over the years, it's obvious that Maxwell spent a decade aiding and abetting Jeffrey Epstein's crimes, that they were coconspirators, partners crime.

Let's talk about the timeline the big picture.

In 1994, Maxwell met Jane. In that same year, Maxwell and Epstein started sexually abusing Jane, and that often happened in the context of massages. That same year, in 1994, Maxwell met Kate, too, and Epstein initiated sexual contact with Kate also in the context of massages, massages in which

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Maxwell delivered Kate to Epstein.

2 In 1995 and 1996, Maxwell was still in contact with
3 Kate, encouraging Kate to travel to see her and Epstein, and in
4 that same period, Maxwell was traveling with Jane, still
5 exploiting Jane, in Palm Beach, in New York, and in New Mexico.

6 And in the spring of 1996, Annie Farmer went to New
7 Mexico where Maxwell groomed her for sexual abuse, where
8 Maxwell massaged Annie's breasts.

9 In 1999, Epstein sent Maxwell \$18.3 million, and the
10 abuse continued.

11 Maxwell recruited Virginia Roberts at Mar-a-Lago. In
12 2000, Virginia Roberts was 17 years old and flying with Maxwell
13 and Epstein on Epstein's private jet. And in 2001, Virginia
14 Roberts was still 17 and still flying on the jet with Maxwell
15 and Epstein. They were flying around with a teenage girl.

16 In 2001, Virginia brought Carolyn to the Palm Beach
17 house. Carolyn was 14 years old. That's when Carolyn met
18 Maxwell and Epstein, and that was the beginning of the pyramid
19 scheme of abuse, the scheme that no longer required Maxwell to
20 personally find young girls for Epstein, girls like Carolyn
21 incentivized by extra cash were now bringing their friends,
22 girls who needed money.

23 And one year later in 2002, Epstein paid Maxwell
24 \$5 million.

25 In 2002, 2003, and 2004, Carolyn was sexually abused

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 in Epstein's Palm Beach massage room. Maxwell called to
2 schedule some of the appointments. Carolyn was 15, 16, and 17
3 years old.

4 Remember how the defense tried to suggest to you that
5 because Maxwell had started dating some other guy named Ted
6 Waitt around 2004, she was no longer around Epstein. You know
7 that's not true and here's why. You saw that household manual,
8 Government Exhibit 606, that was dated in February of 2005, the
9 manual that outlined what Epstein and Maxwell demanded in the
10 Palm Beach house. She was still the lady of the house. And in
11 2005, the Palm Beach Police Department searched Epstein's
12 house. And what did the police notice during their search?
13 They found a desk with Ghislaine Maxwell's stationery on top.

14 And in 2007, two years later, Epstein paid Maxwell
15 \$7.4 million.

16 When you take a step back and you look at this
17 timeline and think about the big picture, it is crystal clear
18 that Maxwell knew about and was deeply involved in Epstein's
19 sexual abuse of children.

20 Take a moment and just reflect on how deeply strange
21 this whole situation was. For years, Maxwell took trips on
22 Epstein's private planes with Epstein and teenage girls. For
23 years, Maxwell was right by Epstein's side as numerous teenage
24 girls came to visit him inside his homes. There were teenage
25 boys, by the way. For years, Maxwell watched a parade of these

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 girls come to massage him. For years, she kept a list in her
2 little black book with dozens of female names, definitely no
3 boys. For years, Maxwell lived in houses with Epstein that
4 were decorated with nude females, including sexualized pictures
5 of girls. For years, Maxwell slept in a bedroom in Palm Beach
6 that had two bathrooms attached to it, one filled with nude
7 drawings and stocked with a massage table and all the different
8 types of massage oils and lotions that Epstein liked, and the
9 other, Maxwell's bathroom where the dildo was stored. And over
10 those years, Epstein paid Maxwell millions and millions of
11 dollars. Ladies and gentlemen, look at the big picture and use
12 your common sense. She knew, she was complicit, she is guilty.

13 Ladies and gentlemen, that's eight reasons why you
14 know the defendant is guilty. Let's turn and talk about the
15 charges against the defendant.

16 Here are the six counts. I'm going to talk through
17 them briefly, but I want you to keep in mind that Judge Nathan
18 will give you detailed instructions about the law, and you
19 should listen closely when she does. What Judge Nathan says
20 about the law controls here.

21 First, I want to talk to you about something called
22 aiding and abetting. For counts Two, Four, and Six, which
23 we're going to discuss in just a moment, Maxwell is guilty if
24 she committed those crimes herself, but you can also find her
25 guilty under an aiding and abetting theory. In other words, if

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 you find that Epstein committed these crimes and that Maxwell
2 assisted him, she is just as guilty as if she had committed
3 those acts herself. And we talked about the overwhelming
4 evidence that Maxwell aided and abetted these crimes. She was
5 an essential accomplice, complicit in an extensive scheme to
6 abuse young girls.

7 So let's talk first about Count Two, which is
8 enticement to engage in an illegal sexual activity. This count
9 is about Jane and how Maxwell and Epstein enticed her to travel
10 in interstate commerce, in other words, to go across state
11 lines to New York to be abused.

12 The first element of that crime is that the defendant
13 knowingly persuaded, or induced, or enticed, or coerced Jane to
14 travel in interstate commerce. In other words, did the
15 defendant in some way cause Jane to travel across state lines.

16 You know that Maxwell induced Jane to travel because
17 Jane told you about traveling with Maxwell to New York. Jane
18 flew on commercial flights and also on the private jets. She
19 told you about how Maxwell assisted with her travel
20 arrangements, and Juan Alessi confirmed this, because he
21 remembered driving Jane with Maxwell, Epstein, and Jane right
22 up to the plane. He watched them board together.

23 Ladies and gentlemen, Jane didn't end up in New York
24 by accident, it was a direct result of Maxwell's actions,
25 aiding and abetting Epstein as part of an ongoing pattern of

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 abuse.

2 You also know that Maxwell enticed Jane to New York
3 because Jane told you about the pattern of her relationship
4 with Maxwell and Epstein. She told you that Epstein gave her
5 money and gifts and paid for school. That money wasn't free,
6 and part of the cause was getting Jane on the plane with them
7 to go to New York where she was sexually abused. That is
8 inducement, that is enticement, that is coercion.

9 The second element is that Jane traveled in interstate
10 commerce. We've already discussed how you know that Jane
11 traveled from New York from out of state. You know that from
12 Jane's testimony, from Juan Alessi's testimony, and from the
13 flight records.

14 The third element is that the defendant intended that
15 Jane would engage in sexual activity for which any person can
16 be charged with a criminal offense under New York law, and
17 you're going to learn that it's a crime to engage in sexual
18 contact with a kid under 17 who is too young to consent. And
19 the evidence shows you that Maxwell absolutely intended that
20 Jane would be abused in New York. That was the whole point of
21 bringing her there, so that Epstein could have access to Jane.
22 They weren't traveling for mentorship or scholarships, they
23 weren't traveling with an underage girl because they were
24 friends, they were getting her to travel so that she could be
25 molested and that's exactly what happened. The evidence shows

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 you that the defendant is guilty on Count Two.

2 Now let's talk about Count Four, it's transportation
3 of an individual under age 17 to engage in illegal sexual
4 activity. And again, this count is about Jane.

5 The first element is that the defendant knowingly
6 transported Jane in interstate commerce. Here we're talking
7 about the travel itself. The last count we looked at was about
8 enticement to travel, this one is about the travel itself.
9 We've already talked about how Jane was transported to New
10 York, and for this count, all that's required is that the
11 defendant was actively engaged, either personally or through an
12 agent, in making travel arrangements, or that she aided and
13 abetted Epstein.

14 THE COURT: Ms. Moe, closer to the mic, please.

15 MS. MOE: Thank you, your Honor.

16 Maxwell did not need to physically transport Jane
17 herself or even be present for transportation, but again, Jane
18 told you that Maxwell helped with her travel arrangements and
19 that Maxwell was on flights with Jane. Juan Alessi confirmed
20 that. The flight records confirm that.

21 The second element here is that the defendant
22 transported Jane with the intent that Jane would engage in
23 sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a
24 criminal offense under New York law. We've already talked
25 about this one and how the evidence conclusively proves that

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Maxwell intended for Jane to be sexually abused on these trips.

2 I want to emphasize here that there is no requirement
3 that abuse in New York actually happened or that the defendant
4 was the one who committed the abuse. The crime is transporting
5 Jane with the intent that she will be abused.

6 Ladies and gentlemen, when Maxwell was helping arrange
7 travel for Jane, when she got on that plane with Jane, Maxwell
8 knew exactly what she was doing, and she knew exactly what
9 Epstein was going to do to Jane. The crime happened the moment
10 they crossed state lines. And to be very clear, when Epstein
11 flew Jane to New York and Maxwell aided and abetted him, that's
12 enough, too.

13 The last element is that the defendant knew Jane was
14 less than 17 years old. And you know that the defendant knew
15 that Jane was under 17, ladies and gentlemen, she met her at a
16 summer camp for kids. She was too young to drive. Maxwell
17 knew this girl for years, when she was 14 and 15 and 16. She
18 would talk to Jane where they would spend time together.
19 Maxwell absolutely knew that she was under 17.

20 And through all these elements, when we're talking
21 about the defendant's knowledge and intent, I want you to think
22 about Kate. She told you about how the defendant asked her to
23 deliver tea to Epstein wearing a schoolgirl outfit. That is
24 striking evidence that Maxwell knew Jeffrey Epstein had a
25 sexual preference for schoolgirls. And after Kate delivered

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 tea in that schoolgirl outfit and Epstein initiated sexual
2 contact with Kate, later that day, Maxwell asked Kate if she
3 had fun. Maxwell told Kate that she was a good girl.

4 Kate also told you about how Maxwell complained about
5 Epstein's need to have sex three times a day, how Maxwell was
6 constantly looking for someone to give him blowjobs. That
7 makes it crystal clear exactly what Maxwell intended when she
8 was taking Jane on these trips. Jane was there to satisfy
9 Epstein's constant need for sexual gratification. The evidence
10 proves to you that Maxwell is guilty on Count Four.

11 Now let's talk about Count Six, it's sex trafficking
12 an individual under age 18. This count is about Carolyn.

13 The first element is that the defendant knowingly
14 recruited, enticed, harbored, transported, provided, or
15 obtained Carolyn. You heard about how the defendant called
16 Carolyn for massage appointments with Epstein. She was
17 recruiting, enticing, providing, and obtaining Carolyn every
18 single time she did that.

19 The second element is that the defendant knew that
20 Carolyn was under age 18. Ladies and gentlemen, Carolyn was a
21 14-year-old girl. She came to the house over and over again
22 and interacted with Maxwell. She talked about her home life,
23 she told Maxwell she was too young to travel, that her mother
24 wouldn't let her go on trips. She was a girl who had just
25 dropped out of middle school. There just can't be any question

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 that Maxwell knew Carolyn was underage.

2 And we're talking about the counts one by one, but I
3 want to step back and ask you to keep in mind, you don't have
4 to set aside all of the evidence you heard in this case when
5 you examine each count, it's the opposite. The pattern
6 throughout the case tells you that the defendant knew these
7 girls were under age and she knew that they were going to be
8 molested. You should consider all of that evidence as you
9 examine each count.

10 The third element of Count Six is that the defendant
11 knew Carolyn would be caused to engage in a commercial sex act.
12 And here, a commercial sex act just means a sex act in exchange
13 for money. That's what happened to Carolyn. She was paid
14 hundreds of dollars every time that she was abused. Sometimes
15 Maxwell handed over the cash herself.

16 And we've been talking all morning about the
17 overwhelming evidence that Maxwell knew these girls would be
18 sexually abused in those so-called massages. She absolutely
19 knew.

20 Finally, there is a fourth element here. In
21 interstate commerce here means something a little different
22 than the first two counts we talked about. We're not talking
23 about travel across state lines. Instead, we're talking about
24 an effect on interstate commerce.

25 So when Carolyn got packages from New York, when she

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 was abused on a massage table that was manufactured in
2 California, that proves that there was at least a minimal
3 effect on interstate commerce, which is all that's required for
4 this count.

5 Finally, there are three conspiracy counts that charge
6 Maxwell with conspiring with Epstein to commit the three crimes
7 that we just talked about. I expect Judge Nathan will instruct
8 you that a conspiracy just means an agreement to break the law.
9 The crime is the agreement and taking some step to carry it
10 out. So the question for you here is, did Maxwell agree with
11 Epstein to commit these crimes. The conspiracy counts are
12 counts One, Three, and Five.

13 The first two conspiracy counts are from 1994 to 2004.
14 Those counts are about Jane, Carolyn, and Annie. Those counts
15 charge a conspiracy related to enticement and travel, as we've
16 just discussed. We've already talked about how Maxwell and
17 Epstein completed these crimes as to Jane. For the conspiracy
18 charges, even though Carolyn and Annie were not sexually abused
19 in New York, everything about the defendant's and Epstein's
20 interactions with those girls makes it clear that that is what
21 they both intended. Maxwell groomed both Annie and Carolyn as
22 part of a broader agreement with Epstein to provide him with
23 underage girls for abuse. You heard about all of the steps the
24 defendant took in those years in furtherance of that
25 conspiracy, how Maxwell traveled with Jane and groomed Annie

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 for abuse after she had already visited Epstein in New York,
2 how the defendant asked Carolyn to travel, too. This went on
3 for years.

4 Count Five is the sex trafficking conspiracy count
5 which spans from 2000 to 2004, and this count relates to
6 Carolyn and Virginia. You heard about the steps the defendant
7 took in those years with Carolyn and Virginia. She was taking
8 steps to traffic girls for sex, recruiting Virginia Roberts at
9 Mar-a-Lago, transporting Virginia to other states with Epstein,
10 sending Carolyn up to the Palm Beach massage room on her first
11 visit, calling Carolyn for massage appointments in Florida so
12 that she could engage in commercial sex acts. The defendant
13 took so many steps in furtherance of the conspiracies charged
14 in the indictment, the evidence about that was overwhelming, it
15 went on for years.

16 But let me say this, because it's very important. For
17 each of the conspiracy counts, to find the defendant guilty,
18 you only have to find that she did it once, that there existed
19 one moment in time in all of those years where she agreed to do
20 this, and that Maxwell or Epstein took some step to carry out
21 the agreement. That's it. If you find that one moment
22 happened, the defendant is guilty.

23 Let me say one last thing about the law. For each of
24 the counts, I expect Judge Nathan will instruct you about
25 something called venue. We're required to prove that it's more

LCKCmax3

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 likely than not that just one act in furtherance of the crimes
2 took place here in the Southern District of New York. There
3 just cannot be any question about that.

4 For the first four counts, you heard about Jane's
5 trips to Manhattan, which is in the Southern District of New
6 York. For counts One and Three, you also heard about Annie's
7 trip to Manhattan. And for counts Five and Six, you saw the
8 packages sent from Manhattan, you heard about Maxwell calling
9 Carolyn to schedule sexualized massages when Maxwell was in New
10 York.

11 (Continued on next page)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 MS. MOE: That's more than enough for venue on each
2 count.

3 So that's all that I'm going to say about the law.
4 We've proved to you that the defendant has committed all six
5 crimes charged in the indictment.

6 I want to switch gears and talk to you about one last
7 topic. I want to talk to you about the arguments the defense
8 has made to you at this trial. Now, before I get into this,
9 let me be clear that Maxwell has no burden to put on a defense
10 or to put on any witnesses or evidence on her behalf. The
11 government has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
12 We embrace that burden and we've carried it. But in this case,
13 Maxwell chose to cross-examine witnesses, to make arguments,
14 and to call witnesses. You can -- and should -- scrutinize
15 that carefully.

16 In her opening statement, defense counsel said
17 something to you about Maxwell being blamed for something a man
18 did. Let me be very clear. The evidence at this trial showed
19 you that Ghislaine Maxwell made her own choices. She committed
20 crimes hand-in-hand with Jeffrey Epstein. She was a grown
21 woman who knew exactly what she was doing. And now she's
22 sitting here in this courtroom being held accountable for
23 breaking the law. That's what this trial is about. That's
24 what the evidence tells you.

25 And at the beginning of this trial, defense counsel

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 said to you that this case was about manipulation, money, and
2 memory. And you know what? Defense counsel was exactly right,
3 but not in the way she meant. Not at all.

4 This case was absolutely about manipulation. You
5 learned about how Maxwell manipulated young girls, making them
6 believe that she was their friend, making them feel special,
7 all so they could be molested by a middle-aged man. And you
8 heard from Dr. Rocchio, an expert psychologist, and you learned
9 about how perpetrators manipulate their victims in a process
10 called grooming. The evidence in this case overwhelmingly
11 shows you that that's exactly what Maxwell did to these girls.

12 And make no mistake, this trial was absolutely about
13 money. The evidence showed you that Maxwell and Epstein were a
14 wealthy couple who used their privilege to prey on kids from
15 struggling families.

16 Let me stop and say this: I want you to think about
17 the few \$100 that Carolyn got every time that she was sexually
18 abused. And I want you to think about the \$30 million that
19 Ghislaine Maxwell got from Jeffrey Epstein. This case is about
20 the way that Ghislaine Maxwell and Jeffrey Epstein exploited
21 kids from struggling families. So when defense counsel talks
22 to you about money, just think about that.

23 This trial was also about memory. When the witnesses
24 took that witness stand, they told you about searing memories
25 of childhood sexual abuse, traumatic memories that they've

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 carried with them for years. That is what the evidence at this
2 trial showed you.

3 Next, the defense has tried to argue to you that
4 Maxwell, that maybe she was just too busy to commit these
5 crimes. So let's talk about that.

6 The employees you heard from at this trial told you
7 that Maxwell had all kinds of people who worked for her. She
8 had personal assistants who she interviewed in the back of a
9 limo, a woman to walk her dog. But despite all of this, the
10 defense tried to argue that maybe Maxwell was so busy managing
11 all of the details of Epstein's life, that maybe she wasn't
12 involved in these crimes; that maybe she was so busy ordering
13 sand to be delivered to Epstein's private island, that she just
14 didn't know what was going on right in front of her. That's
15 the argument.

16 The argument that Maxwell did not know what was going
17 on is flatly contradicted by the evidence in this case.
18 Maxwell participated in the abuse; of course she knew what was
19 happening. And in the moments where she wasn't in the room,
20 she absolutely knew what was going on. The argument that
21 Maxwell didn't know what was happening in the houses that she
22 managed and lived in with the man she was sleeping with, what
23 was going on with young girls she met in person and interacted
24 with frequently, that argument is absolutely absurd and you
25 should reject it completely.

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 So next, the defense has repeatedly attacked Jane,
2 Annie, Carolyn, and Kate, claiming that they are liars or that
3 their memories are faulty or maybe it's both. They argued both
4 to you during this trial. They are doing that because the
5 testimony of the witnesses in this case is devastating evidence
6 of Maxwell's guilt; because if you believe them, Maxwell is
7 guilty.

8 You are the finders of the fact. You're the judges of
9 the truth. And your common sense tells you that only one of
10 three things can be true about Kate, Jane, Annie, and Carolyn:
11 Either they are all misremembering the same thing, or they're
12 outright lying, or they're telling the truth.

13 So I want to talk through those one-by-one.

14 First, the defense has suggested to you that perhaps
15 Jane and Kate and Annie and Carolyn are all talking about fake
16 memories. But that's what they testified about, that they are
17 getting it wrong; that their memories are faulty. Four women
18 have testified at this trial about Maxwell. They all describe
19 the same woman, the same playbook. They didn't imagine what
20 happened to them. Your common sense tells you that just cannot
21 be true. They are not all somehow suffering from the same mass
22 delusion.

23 And while time has passed, they told you very clearly
24 that they remember being exploited by Maxwell and Epstein.
25 Your common sense tells you that being molested is not

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 something that you forget ever. You remember an adult woman
2 groping your breasts. You remember a middle-aged man touching
3 your vagina. You remember feeling scared and frozen and
4 trapped and confused. Your common sense tells you these women
5 know what happened to them in their own lives. They know it.
6 These are powerful memories of trauma, and they've carried
7 these haunting memories with them for years.

8 But when the defense talks about memory, they want to
9 talk about experiments, experiments that Professor Loftus
10 performed in a lab, experiments like the one where she tried to
11 convince people that they saw Bugs Bunny at Disneyland;
12 experiments where she told people that a stop sign was a yield
13 sign. Don't be distracted by that.

14 Loftus has made a career of being a witness for the
15 defense. And she said in her book by that name, she doesn't
16 even pretend to be an impartial expert. She admits she is an
17 advocate for the defense. And over the years, as she has
18 admitted, she has been paid by criminal defendants to testify
19 on their behalf. She's made a name for herself as a witness
20 for the defense in high-profile trials. And most importantly,
21 this case isn't about Bugs Bunny, it isn't about stop signs;
22 it's about sexual abuse, repeated sexual abuse of teenage
23 girls. Loftus told you, never done a study about that.

24 So all of that testimony she gave about implanted
25 memories or corrupted memories, it was totally irrelevant to

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 the facts of this case. And that's because those experiments
2 are nothing like what happened here. And Loftus was basically
3 forced to admit that on cross-examination.

4 Remember that study she described about telling people
5 that they were lost in a mall? Remember that she only was able
6 to trick 25 percent of the participants about that?

7 But, more importantly, another researcher then did a
8 follow-up study, and that researcher tried to implant two false
9 memories. She tried to implant the lost-in-the-mall story, and
10 also a story about an unpleasant bodily intrusion, a rectal
11 enema. Now, some people were tricked about getting lost in the
12 mall, but no one had a false memory about getting a rectal
13 enema. Why is that? Your common sense tells you that kind of
14 experience is the sort of thing you can't trick someone into
15 believing. You cannot suggest that. You can't make it up. A
16 rectal enema is the kind of thing you'd only remember if it
17 really happened, kind of like sexual abuse.

18 And again, Loftus basically had to admit this on
19 cross-examination. She said the core memory of trauma is
20 stronger than other types of memory. She admitted that.
21 People might forget some of the peripheral details, but the
22 core of the traumatic event, those memories are much stronger.
23 You don't need a paid expert to tell you that, ladies and
24 gentlemen. It's just common sense. You remember something
25 like this.

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Her testimony was a total distraction. You saw the
2 witnesses yourself. They were not talking about one time that
3 they saw a fake car crash. They were telling you about how
4 they were sexually abused again and again and again. You heard
5 them tell you about their worst memories, and that's why this
6 is a case about memory.

7 As jurors, you understand how memory works from your
8 own lives, and that's how you know it just doesn't make any
9 sense to say that Jane and Annie and Carolyn and Kate all
10 imagined this, or that they're experiencing some kind of false
11 memory of something that never happened. Again and again at
12 this trial you saw the lengths the defense went to in order to
13 try to discredit the witnesses, because their testimony was
14 devastating evidence of Maxwell's guilt.

15 I want to talk about that for just a moment. Let's
16 talk about just two examples.

17 Remember that in a cross-examination of Jane, when the
18 defense repeatedly tried to suggest that Jane had said on her
19 application to Interlochen that nothing was difficult for her?
20 This is Defense Exhibit J-3. This went on for question after
21 question, as the defense tried to suggest that Jane was lying
22 about her difficult home life. Here it is. The defense
23 pointed her to the Interlochen application twice to suggest
24 this. Here's part of the transcript where that happened.

25 You wrote that. When asked about something difficult,

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 nothing has been difficult for me; correct?

2 I guess I did.

3 But then you saw the actual application, the whole
4 thing.

5 What was that question really about? List two
6 difficult works performed in orchestra, band, or an ensemble
7 within the past year. And Jane answered: Nothing has been
8 difficult for me.

9 So what does that mean? The defense spent a long time
10 trying to discredit Jane with a line that was really about
11 orchestra music. Even Jane didn't realize she was being misled
12 this way until she was shown the question itself on redirect.

13 Here's another example: Remember how Jane was asked
14 about a legal document called an interrogatory? She told you
15 that she'd never seen this document before and she had no idea
16 what it was. And the defense suggested to you that this legal
17 document means that Jane said nobody else besides Epstein had
18 been involved in her abuse. There's this long question here.

19 But guess what: Here's the portion that the defendant
20 didn't show you and didn't read to you. It says, Maxwell
21 facilitated the sexual abuse of Jane and was frequently present
22 when the sexual abuse of Jane occurred. It's right there in
23 that same document. They didn't read that part to you;
24 instead, they plucked parts out of context to create a
25 misimpression.

LCKVMAX4

Summation - Ms. Moe

1 Finally, I also expect the defense will make arguments
2 to you about property records in London. Those all came in by
3 stipulation on Friday. They'll say that Maxwell didn't live at
4 44 Kinnerton Street in 1994, when Kate met her; and that she
5 lived -- that Maxwell lived in another house nearby in London.
6 But that's just another distraction and here's why:

7 Maxwell herself testified under oath in a 2019
8 deposition, here it is, that she had lived at 44 Kinnerton
9 Street since 1992 or 1993. So either the defendant lived there
10 the whole time or she mixed up her two London houses and Kate
11 did too. Either way, it just doesn't matter.

12 So next, the defense has argued to you that Jane and
13 Kate and Carolyn and Annie are all lying. The defense has
14 asked you to believe that Jane and Kate and Annie and Carolyn,
15 that they are all lying for money. But none of that makes any
16 sense when you look at it closely. It doesn't make any sense
17 when you look at the evidence.

18 Now, all four women have testified that they submitted
19 claims to the Jeffrey Epstein Victim Compensation Program.
20 They were awarded millions of dollars. And that happened well
21 before this trial. Their civil lawsuits are over. Those cases
22 are done and the witnesses don't get anything out of testifying
23 at this trial. They were clear in their testimony. They have
24 no financial stake in the outcome of this trial. None.

25 And one of the other ways you know the witnesses

LCKVMAX4

1 aren't making this up is that multiple other witnesses backed
2 up what they told you. Think about all of the other witnesses
3 in this case. Juan Alessi, David Mulligan, Janice Swain, and
4 the witnesses called Matt and Shawn. Think about all the ways
5 their testimony was backed up by documents, all of the other
6 evidence in the case.

7 Your common sense tells you the witnesses are not
8 lying and they just cannot be all misremembering the same
9 thing. That just leaves one conclusion: That Maxwell is
10 guilty and you should convict her.

11 Let me take a step back and say this: Ladies and
12 gentlemen, look at the evidence together, all of the evidence,
13 and use your common sense. The evidence points to only one
14 conclusion: That Ghislaine Maxwell sexually exploited young
15 girls. She trafficked underage girls for sex. When you
16 consider all of the evidence and use your common sense, you
17 will reach the only verdict consistent with the evidence:
18 Maxwell is guilty.

19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Moe.

20 Members of the jury, we are going to take that break
21 for lunch. It will be a short break, 20 to 30 minutes.
22 Ms. Williams is having it set up now. My law clerks are going
23 to assist you getting back.

24 I just want to pause one moment. I repeat this, even
25 though we're at the later stages of the case. No discussions

LCKVMAX4

1 with each other or anyone else about the case. Wait until you
2 hear the remainder of the arguments to come, my instructions,
3 and you begin your deliberations. And continue to keep an open
4 mind until we get through all of the stages of the case.

5 Enjoy your brief lunch. We'll see you in 20 to 30
6 minutes. Thank you.

7 (Jury not present)

8 THE COURT: Any matters to take up?

9 MS. COMEY: No, your Honor.

10 MS. STERNHEIM: One brief matter, Judge.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MS. STERNHEIM: And I preface this by saying that I
13 haven't had an opportunity to research it, but during the
14 closing argument, Ms. Moe indicated that because a massage
15 table came from California, that affects interstate commerce.
16 It is not my understanding that that is accurate. If that were
17 the case, then any dwelling that contained any property that
18 had come out of state would have affected interstate commerce.
19 There is no evidence that the table was purchased, when it was
20 purchased, and whether it was purchased in connection with the
21 offenses charged. It is unlike the cases where property is
22 stolen, and that property is for resale and affects interstate
23 commerce. This is not that situation, and I think that the
24 statement is incorrect.

25 THE COURT: Application?

LCKVMAX4

1 MS. STERNHEIM: One moment, please.

2 (Counsel conferred)

3 MS. STERNHEIM: Judge, if I may just from here, I
4 think our application would be a limiting instruction
5 correcting the misstatement.

6 THE COURT: Specifically?

7 MS. STERNHEIM: That the statement that the massage
8 table having been manufactured or sent from California is a
9 sufficient element to satisfy the interstate commerce clause of
10 Counts Five and Six.

11 MR. ROHRBACH: Your Honor, just reading from the
12 Court's charge, it affects interstate commerce to use products
13 that traveled in interstate commerce, that's exactly the
14 situation here.

15 THE COURT: You pulled away from the microphone.

16 MR. ROHRBACH: Just reading from the Court's charge,
17 it affects interstate commerce to use products that traveled in
18 interstate commerce, that is exactly what Ms. Moe said here.
19 And, of course, the massage table was used in the course of the
20 crime here.

21 MS. STERNHEIM: I'd also add that there was no
22 identification of that specific massage table as the one that
23 was used in connection with these offenses.

24 MR. ROHRBACH: At a minimum, your Honor, this is the
25 massage table seized in 2005. The jury can infer it was used

LCKVMAX4

1 in 2004 during the sex trafficking conspiracy.

2 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.

3 Anything else?

4 MR. PAGLIUCA: Yes, your Honor.

5 This relates to Exhibit 52, which are the pages from
6 the book that were admitted. The Court admitted those over our
7 hearsay objection with the limiting instruction. And the
8 government assured the Court, when the Court was making this
9 decision, that they weren't going to argue the truth of the
10 matter contained in any of the books.

11 And what we heard in closing argument was exactly
12 that, that there are names in the books. And you can then
13 infer from those names that those might be the people that were
14 being discussed by Jane as having the sexualized massages; that
15 they were reading the words mom, dad, phone numbers, and
16 suggesting that that's how Ms. Maxwell had to have known that
17 these individuals were minors. Again, that's the truth of the
18 matter asserted; it's not for the limited purpose that the
19 Court instructed the jury.

20 My request, your Honor, my application, first, is that
21 the Court declare a mistrial based on the misuse of that
22 evidence. If the Court is not inclined to do that, I believe
23 the Court should reinstruct the jurors about the limited
24 purpose, instruct the jurors that they can't infer what the
25 government was suggesting they could infer from that argument.

LCKVMAX4

1 And then we go from there.

2 I also object to the use of what I thought the Court
3 prohibited, which was the grooming-by-proxy argument, which was
4 re-raised in closing argument, suggesting that somehow Ms.
5 Maxwell was grooming these women for Mr. Epstein, which I
6 thought had been precluded.

7 THE COURT: That's easy to overrule.

8 My precise conclusion was the expert couldn't testify
9 to it in part because -- well, not in part. The expert
10 couldn't testify to it; but, of course, counsel could make
11 arguments along that regard from the facts in the evidence.

12 MR. PAGLIUCA: Understood, your Honor.

13 Those are my remarks and requests about the closing.

14 THE COURT: Exhibit 52.

15 MS. MOE: Yes, your Honor.

16 The government's arguments with respect to Government
17 Exhibit 52 were entirely consistent with the Court's ruling.
18 In particular, the arguments were about knowledge and intent,
19 how it would be obvious, looking at a document, that none of
20 this was legitimate, that they weren't real masseuses, things
21 like mom and dad, we have that effect. And when a document is
22 offered not for its truth, that is certainly a proper
23 inference.

24 When we compare the numbers in Government Exhibit 52
25 against the message pads, the language was the number here is

LCKVMAX4

1 the same number on this document. That's certainly permissible
2 and a matter of common sense. We just showed two documents and
3 showed they were the same phone numbers.

4 What I didn't say is, This is Carolyn's phone number,
5 you know, it's the real phone number. It was a common sense
6 inference between two phone numbers that were the same.

7 THE COURT: I deny the request for mistrial. I
8 overrule the objection. It is consistent with my -- both my
9 conclusion in allowing it with respect to the limited purpose
10 for which the document was entered as indicated in my limiting
11 instruction at the time. And for those reasons, the motion
12 is -- the application is denied.

13 Anything else?

14 MS. STERNHEIM: No, thank you.

15 MS. MOE: Not from the government, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. See you in about 15 -- I want
17 to make sure everybody has enough time for a quick lunch, but
18 my plan is to resume in 20 minutes. Thank you.

19 (Luncheon recess)

20 MS. MENNINGER: We have technical difficulty, your
21 Honor. The screen is not working.

22 However, we're working on it.

23 THE COURT: Be seated please.

24 How about a laptop?

25 (Pause)

LCKVMAX4

1 THE COURT: Let the record reflect my suggestion was
2 paper.

3 (Pause)

4 THE COURT: I'll ask Ms. Williams to line up the jury.

5 Ms. Menninger, are you ready now?

6 MS. MENNINGER: Yes. We are now.

7 Thank you, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Bring in the jury.

9 Ms. Menninger, please do stay close to the mic
10 throughout, if you can. I know sometimes it starts that way
11 and then you back up. One backs up.

12 MS. MENNINGER: One does.

13 THE COURT: One does. Thank you.

14 MS. MENNINGER: One will try not to.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Bring in the jury.

16 (Jury present)

17 THE COURT: Everyone may be seated.

18 All right. Thank you, members of the jury. I hope it
19 was a good -- even if speedy -- lunch.

20 I'll ask you to now please give your full attention to
21 Ms. Menninger, who will deliver the closing argument on behalf
22 of Ms. Maxwell.

23 Go ahead, Ms. Menninger.

24 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 MS. MENNINGER: Good afternoon. Ghislaine Maxwell is
2 an innocent woman wrongfully accused of crimes she did not
3 commit. The government has failed to prove any charges beyond
4 a reasonable doubt, and the just and only correct verdict in
5 this case is not guilty on each and every count.

6 The evidence presented at trial has established
7 exactly what we told you it would during openings, that the
8 stories relied on by the government are the product of
9 erroneous memories, manipulation, and money. But, in this
10 case, the order is reversed. The money brought the accusers to
11 the FBI with their personal injury lawyers sitting right there
12 next to them. The lawyers manipulated their stories and the
13 government accepted those stories at face value without ever
14 testing them or corroborating them or checking with other
15 witnesses to see if they were accurate. And suddenly, the
16 women recovered memories years later, they recovered memories
17 that Ghislaine was involved, that Ghislaine was there, that
18 Ghislaine is the culprit.

19 Just as we predicted in our opening statement, the
20 government spent a lot of time focusing you on Epstein, on his
21 character, on his lifestyle, on his flaws, and they certainly
22 proved to you that Epstein had abused his money and his power.
23 They proved to you that he was a master manipulator. That has
24 nothing to do with Ghislaine and everything to do with Jeffrey
25 Epstein.

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 We are not here to defend Jeffrey Epstein, he is not
2 my client. The government played you a montage of Epstein's
3 houses, his bank accounts, his artwork, his cars, his planes,
4 his helicopters, his bank accounts, his message pads, just like
5 a sensationalist tabloid would. His private island, his
6 photos, and those, ladies and gentlemen, are for things that
7 Epstein did, things that Epstein had, and perhaps Epstein's
8 crimes, but as we told you in our opening, Ghislaine is not
9 Jeffrey Epstein.

10 The government in this case has now pivoted because
11 Epstein is not here and they said: Her, too; her, too.
12 Ghislaine was there, she must have known. They said, let me
13 show you a bunch of photos of them together, undated photos
14 from unknown times with unknown hairstyles. You've seen them
15 together. She must have known. Ladies and gentlemen of the
16 jury, you heard that the government seized somewhere near
17 38,000 photographs, and they brought you a handful of Jeffrey
18 Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell together. Where are the other
19 37,960 photographs? Who were in those photographs? Was it
20 other girlfriends? Was it other women? Who was it? You don't
21 know. They didn't bring you those photos.

22 You saw in the drawers, where these photos were kept,
23 the binders. You saw the discs where they were kept. And they
24 brought you the most innocuous photos of a couple that once was
25 together, and they didn't even tell you when. This is proof of

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 nothing. Do your former boyfriends or girlfriends still have
2 some photos of you somewhere in their drawer? Does that make
3 you a sex offender if they are? This is straight up
4 sensationalism, your Honor and ladies and gentlemen of the
5 jury.

6 Judge Nathan will instruct you at the end of this case
7 that you are to consider the evidence and you are also to
8 consider the lack of evidence presented to you by the
9 government to meet the highest standard of proof we have in our
10 system, proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Those two concepts
11 are equally important, the evidence and the lack of evidence.
12 What you heard, and more importantly, what you did not hear
13 over the last three weeks is going to convince you that the
14 only correct verdict is not guilty.

15 These accusers in this case had stories to tell about
16 Jeffrey Epstein and, decades later, they inserted Ghislaine
17 Maxwell into their narrative. There were FBI interviews, civil
18 complaints, depositions, interrogatories, settlements, all came
19 and went over those decades. Jeffrey Epstein died and then
20 everyone lawyered up. Every one of the accusers got themselves
21 a lawyer before they first walked in, in September of 2019 in
22 connection with this case, to talk to the FBI. You don't need
23 a lawyer to go talk to the FBI unless you want to get money.
24 Those lawyers sat down with their clients, they met with them,
25 it's all shielded by privilege what they talked about, but we

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 know that the clients talked to each other. You heard that
2 from the witnesses. You know they emailed each other, you know
3 they met up after court appearances with Jeffrey Epstein, and
4 you heard, for example, about Jane's lawyer, Robert Glassman.
5 He told her, before this case was ever charged, that Glassman
6 stated before the government charged the case, Jane had
7 discussed whether to cooperate -- and reminding you, she didn't
8 want to cooperate before Epstein died, but he advised her that,
9 to cooperate, would quote, help her case, meaning her civil
10 case. She hired Robert Glassman two weeks before she first
11 talked to the FBI in September of 2019.

12 So these women, with their lawyers, walked into the
13 U.S. Attorney's Office, they filed their civil lawsuits at the
14 same time, and the lawyers, like Boies Schiller, helped set up
15 the Epstein Victims Compensation Fund. Annie told you that.
16 On that fund application, it asked you, are you cooperating
17 with a criminal investigation, have you filed a civil suit,
18 because if you are, we'll just assume you're a real victim,
19 even though we, the fund, aren't going to ask you any questions
20 or put your story to the test. And all of these ladies had
21 lawyers, went to the FBI, and filed a civil suit and filed a
22 civil claim with the fund and they each took home millions, and
23 now they are stuck with the stories that they told.

24 That's the money piece. Now for the manipulation, a
25 manipulation of the truth and the evidence.

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 As we go through the stories of each of these accusers
2 and each of the witnesses, you need to keep your eye on the
3 thing that the government hasn't, how these stories have
4 changed dramatically over time.

5 Carolyn, you heard, had a lawyer back in 2008, and she
6 started out giving a very clearcut story about Jeffrey Epstein
7 and also about a woman named Sarah Kellen, who she also sued.
8 She had no trouble articulating her claims. She had a lawyer,
9 she filed a lawsuit, a lawsuit that didn't mention, in 290
10 paragraphs, Ghislaine Maxwell one time.

11 The same is true with Jane. She told stories about
12 Epstein. Initially, she said she wasn't sure that Maxwell was
13 ever in the room. And don't be fooled about this difficulty in
14 disclosing the details of it. She had two male lawyers. She
15 had no difficulty disclosing it to them before she ever met
16 with the government. And when she walked in to talk to the
17 government, she told them she wasn't sure if Maxwell was ever
18 in the room. But her lawyer told her it would help her with
19 her case if she cooperated with this prosecution, and so she
20 did, and the lawyers kept asking, the FBI kept asking, are you
21 sure that maybe the person who had a foreign accent was perhaps
22 Ghislaine Maxwell? Are you sure maybe he groped your breasts
23 instead of massaging your upper chest? Are you sure there
24 wasn't sexual abuse in New Mexico? Perhaps you met him when
25 you were only 14? Perhaps you didn't just meet Epstein then,

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 maybe you met Ghislaine Maxwell there, too?

2 Every last one of the government's interviews with
3 these accusers - and there were dozens you heard - was not
4 recorded. There is no transcript. There is no record of the
5 exact questions that were asked or who asked what questions or
6 whether the lawyers suggested maybe their clients had something
7 more to add or another person to add, and that was by design so
8 none of us have a transcript of what actually took place in
9 these interviews with the FBI.

10 So it was left to us, the defense, to ask the hard
11 questions of these witnesses when they took the stand. And
12 it's not easy to ask someone, well, that's not exactly what you
13 said before, is it, when you told your story the first time,
14 you didn't mention Ghislaine, and you only added that later.
15 Why would you go decades without mentioning Ghislaine Maxwell
16 and suddenly when you have your personal injury lawyer, you add
17 her to the mix. The government never asked them, wait a
18 second, you said you were traveling with Epstein and Maxwell
19 when you were 14 and 15 years old. We have these flight logs,
20 there's not a single record of you traveling with them when you
21 were 14 or 15 years old. How could that be? Where did you
22 live when this was going on? There is two different addresses
23 and maybe we, the FBI, should go check out the house you were
24 living in. You said you were poor, is that true? Or, where
25 are your boots that you said they bought you? The tough

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 questions weren't asked by the government, so they had to be
2 asked by us, and what you learn is that the truth was
3 manipulated and changed over time.

4 You also heard the manipulation of other storylines,
5 and I'll go through them, that Ghislaine is at the center of
6 all this, she's the right-hand woman, she's Cruella Devil and
7 the lady wears Prada all wrapped into one. This is a
8 manipulation of the truth as old as Hollywood, your Honor, and
9 ladies and gentlemen, and don't be fooled by it.

10 With the money on the line, the accusers' goal of
11 holding someone accountable for Jeffrey Epstein, who was dead
12 at the forefront, and the tape recorders turned off, the
13 accusers' memories started to shift.

14 Earlier, Carolyn said it was Sarah Kellen, now she's
15 says it was Ghislaine Maxwell. Before Jane said she met only
16 Epstein on a park bench at Interlochen, now she saw Ghislaine
17 walk by and then, oh, by the time she got to trial, it was
18 Ghislaine who stopped and talked to her. Before it was, I have
19 no specific recollection of Ghislaine being in the room when it
20 happened. That became, I remember it being once or twice or
21 all the time. Before it was, I can't remember anything about a
22 sexualized foot massage. Now Annie hears Jeffrey moaning with
23 pleasure.

24 Professor Loftus explained to you that's not how
25 memory works. You don't acquire a memory, retain it, retrieve

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 it with a few details, and then decades later, acquire new
2 details, acquire new people who were there. That's called
3 post-event suggestion, and that's what happened in this case.
4 Each of these women had talked to numerous individuals, had
5 watched media, shared their stories, talked to their lawyers,
6 and we're talking about events that supposedly happened 25
7 years ago. In Jane's own words, she said memory is not linear,
8 or how would I know, I was only 15.

9 Memories have been manipulated in aid of the money.

10 The government made a lot of promises to you on
11 opening, promises that they broke. The story of Jane didn't
12 pan out the way they opened. They told you she started
13 spending time alone with Epstein at his house as a 14-year-old,
14 but it turned out she told them years ago that when she first
15 started going, she went with her mother or her mother and her
16 brothers, exactly as Juan Alessi told you. And as Juan Alessi
17 and Larry Visoski told you, she wasn't 14 or 15 when she
18 started coming, she was older, a fully grown mature young
19 woman.

20 Ghislaine didn't target Jane as a predator, as the
21 government promised you. Jane's original story in 2015 to the
22 press is that she was sitting on a park bench when Jeffrey
23 Epstein came up and talked to her. Ghislaine didn't invite
24 Jane over for tea, she wasn't even there when she and her
25 mother went. Ghislaine didn't arrange for Jane to come to

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Epstein's house. She told you it was other people in Epstein's
2 office. And Ghislaine didn't encourage Jane to travel with
3 Epstein. You heard not one single word out of Jane's mouth
4 that Ghislaine encouraged or enticed her to travel anywhere.

5 The government's biggest promise that they repeated to
6 you over and over again with respect to Jane is that she was,
7 quote, sometimes in the room when it happened. But when Jane
8 got on the stand, she admitted, finally, that what she told the
9 government several times is she wasn't sure and had no
10 recollection that Ghislaine was ever in the room when Epstein
11 abused her. She said it in February 2020, and what she said on
12 the stand in front of you is, as you sit here today, you're not
13 sure whether you were ever in the room alone with Ghislaine and
14 Epstein, correct, and she said, no.

15 The government also promised you an array of
16 witnesses, another promise they broke. They said these
17 witnesses would back up the accusers' claims. They told you,
18 for example, that there were relatives from the victims that
19 you would hear from, and that those relatives would tell you
20 about the victims spending time with the defendant and Epstein
21 and traveling with them and receiving phone calls from them all
22 when they were between the ages of 14 and 17.

23 Let's break that down.

24 What relatives came to tell you about Jane spending
25 time with the defendant and Epstein? What relative was that?

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 You didn't hear from one. Jane said she went to Epstein's
2 house hundreds of times, once every week or two, for three
3 years. She lived with a mother and two brothers, and no one,
4 not one relative came and got on that stand and told you that
5 she spent time with Ghislaine and Epstein from the ages of 14
6 to 17. No relative of Kate's came to tell you that. No
7 relative of Carolyn's came to tell you that. Who are these
8 relatives that are going to come and tell you about the victims
9 spending time with the defendant and Epstein? Annie's mom
10 came. Annie's mom came and told you she only spoke to Epstein.
11 She never met or talked to Ghislaine Maxwell. She has no idea.

12 The government also said that these relatives would
13 come tell you about all the phone calls these females got. Did
14 you see those phone calls? Did you hear from those relatives?
15 Did you see a phone record? I didn't. These are broken
16 promises from the government in their opening. Lack of
17 evidence.

18 The government also told you that they were going to
19 tell you, you are going to hear from a bevy of employees who
20 would back up the accusers' stories, and again, the government
21 failed to deliver the goods. Instead, what you did you get?
22 You got some pilots. They told you the pilots would tell you
23 about flying some of the victims.

24 We did hear from pilots. We heard from Larry Visoski,
25 who said he never saw a woman on a plane who looked under 20

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 unless she was there with her parents. He met Jane once. He
2 remembered her striking eyes. He said she was fully mature
3 when he met her.

4 And by the way, does it make any sense to you that if
5 Epstein is showing off Jane, he keeps her undercover when she's
6 14 and 15 to put her on a flight log as a female and all of a
7 sudden, when she's 16, takes her up to introduce her to the
8 pilot? Does that make any sense based on the evidence that you
9 heard? No. No pilot came and told you they saw Carolyn on a
10 plane or Kate or Annie. And no flight logs show any of those
11 three women either.

12 What about the multiple promised Palm Beach employees
13 who would tell you about this culture of silence? They bombed
14 on this promise, too. There was no culture of silence. You
15 just got the sound of silence, ladies and gentlemen. You heard
16 from one employee from the Palm Beach house. You know there
17 were many, there were chefs, there were landscapers, there were
18 gardeners, there were assistants, assistants to assistants, and
19 you got a two-time burglar, obviously with an ax to grind
20 because you know he wasn't getting along with the boss. He had
21 a deeply flawed memory, Juan Alessi did. He couldn't remember
22 whether dates happened in '94 or 2000. He couldn't remember
23 the number of times he robbed a house. He couldn't remember
24 the ages of girls, whether they were 20 or 14. Where are all
25 these Palm Beach employees who are going to tell you about a

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 culture of silence? I didn't see them.

2 They told you you'd hear from law enforcement
3 witnesses and they promised photographs of the search of the
4 Palm Beach house, and that search in 2019 of the New York
5 mansion that would show that Epstein lived in mansions filled
6 with photographs of naked women with a massage room in each one
7 of those houses. You didn't see a single massage room with
8 naked women in it. I didn't. You saw a closet with photos of
9 friends and family in Palm Beach where the massage table was
10 stored, but there were no nude photos in the New York
11 residence, there were no massage rooms in New Mexico. Kate
12 said she saw a massage room in Ghislaine Maxwell's house in
13 London, but Cim Espinosa said she was there and there was no
14 massage room there. So law enforcement witnesses failed to
15 deliver on that promise. And you might have also noticed the
16 government didn't even call to the stand the two case agents in
17 charge of this investigation. Why would that be?

18 The government promised you evidence from these
19 searches, a massage table, a schoolgirl outfit, and nude
20 photographs. You saw the 20-year-old massage table sitting up
21 here in the courtroom. What did that tell you? You didn't see
22 a schoolgirl outfit, you didn't see all these nude photographs.
23 You saw some artistic drawings around a rich man's house.

24 You saw FedEx records that showed Ghislaine Maxwell
25 didn't send any underage girl anything. The same FedEx records

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 that had packages going to Carolyn from others showed Ghislaine
2 sending things to her family. That proves no involvement of
3 Ghislaine Maxwell in this enterprise.

4 And you saw no phone records. The government promised
5 you a playbook. It's an old gimmick. You get an expert on the
6 stand to tell you the stages of grooming and then you try to
7 build your evidence around what they said. But here, again,
8 the evidence didn't back up the government's promise. Their
9 headline read, Ghislaine targets daughters of single struggling
10 moms. What did you get instead? Maria Farmer introduced her
11 sister to Jeffrey Epstein and told her that he might help pay
12 for her college. Where was Ghislaine targeting Annie Farmer in
13 that storyline?

14 Epstein met Jane alone at Interlochen camp. At least
15 that's the way she told it for years. Where is Ghislaine
16 targeting her? Carolyn was introduced to Epstein by Virginia
17 Roberts, not Ghislaine Maxwell. And Kate was dating Ghislaine
18 Maxwell's classmate from Oxford, that's who introduced her to
19 him.

20 They promised you that these girls would tell you
21 about all of these discussions of sexual topics that normalized
22 the behavior. What did you get instead? Carolyn talked to
23 Virginia about making money going over to massage an older man.
24 Ghislaine wasn't even there.

25 Jane recalls one joke about grandfathering in old

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 boyfriends, and Annie didn't say any sexual topics were
2 involved? Where's the normalizing going on there? And by the
3 way, this story about normalizing and making it seem casual,
4 Jane told you when she was first abused she was taken alone
5 into a pool room -- pool house where Epstein masturbated on
6 her. Ghislaine wasn't even there and she's trying to make it
7 seem like she thought that was normal because she got one joke
8 about boyfriends? Use your common sense.

9 Then again, there's that promise that you would learn
10 that sometimes Ghislaine was in the room when it happened,
11 except Jane can't actually remember any one of those times or
12 what happened during those times.

13 Finally, the government promised you a motive, and the
14 motive that they came up with was that Ghislaine, a happy,
15 educated, beautiful woman in her 30s would just start and end
16 her career as a facilitator of sexual abuse for one man,
17 Jeffrey Epstein, because they said he was a means to support
18 her lifestyle and she needed to stay in a lifestyle to which
19 she had become accustomed. That's why they claim she became a
20 facilitator of sexual abuse, and where was the evidence they
21 delivered to you about that?

22 First, it was clear Epstein was a manipulator of
23 everyone around him. He's having Juan Alessi take down photos
24 of Ghislaine when he brings other women to the house. He's
25 dating women behind her back.

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 But in terms of Ghislaine Maxwell's lifestyle, do you
2 know what it was before she met Jeffrey Epstein? No, because
3 the government didn't tell you about that. Do you know what it
4 was after she left Jeffrey Epstein? No, because the government
5 didn't tell you about that. Do you know her financial
6 situation? Do you know whether she had luxury in her life?
7 You saw photos of her that they showed you wearing tartan or
8 hunting with dogs in the U.K., it looks from the pictures. So
9 maybe it was Jeffrey that needed Ghislaine and her connections
10 and not the other way around.

11 And does that motive even make sense for a woman in
12 her 30s, that she needs this lifestyle, so she's just willing
13 to drag 14-year-olds in for sexual abuse? Use your common
14 sense.

15 And if that's the case, your Honor and ladies and
16 gentlemen of the jury, then why wouldn't Eva Dubin be telling
17 you the same thing? She dated Jeffrey Epstein for a decade.
18 She saw nothing abnormal. She let her kids hang around with
19 Jeffrey Epstein. She went on to marry a billionaire.

20 Not one witness came in to tell you that Ghislaine
21 Maxwell needed Jeffrey Epstein's lifestyle so bad she was
22 willing to perpetrate on young females.

23 I'm going to take some time now, after talking about
24 the promises the government did not keep to you in their
25 opening, and go through each one of these women's stories and

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 then I want to talk about the lack of evidence that
2 corroborated each one of their stories.

3 Let's start with Jane.

4 The government started their opening with, this is the
5 story of Jane, and it was just that, quite a story, like an
6 actress who forgot her lines. She was supposed to place
7 Ghislaine Maxwell in the middle of Epstein's sexual abuse ring,
8 but she doesn't actually have a clear recollection of anything
9 that Ghislaine did. Time and time again, she couldn't remember
10 whether Maxwell ever touched her, kissed her, or even was in
11 the room when Epstein was supposedly abusing her.

12 When actually asked on the stand to retrieve memories
13 of whether Ghislaine Maxwell was in the room, they had to ask
14 her that specific question, were there times when Ghislaine was
15 in the room when it happened. She said yes to the government's
16 questions, but her body language said hesitation, I don't
17 remember it, I can't remember the details. Indeed, when I
18 asked her on the stand, as you sit here today, isn't it true
19 that you don't remember being alone in the room with Epstein
20 and Ghislaine, and she said, no.

21 In February 2020, they asked her if there were times
22 it was just her, Epstein, and Ghislaine in the room, and she
23 said she was not sure, she doesn't recall that now. I asked
24 her when she was talking to the government whether she ever
25 told them that she, Ghislaine, and Jeffrey were alone in the

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 room together, she was not sure that ever happened and she
2 doesn't recall that now, no. But now, as she sits on the
3 stand, she can't recall whether she was ever alone in the room.

4 And as we talked about in her interrogatory response,
5 she was asked in 2020 to identify all other persons, other than
6 Epstein, who had ever committed or attempted to commit sexual
7 misconduct. This is notable not only because she doesn't
8 identify Ghislaine, but she also doesn't identify all these
9 other women who were supposedly in these orgies with her when
10 she was 14 over a three-year period. She'd given names to the
11 government, like Eva and Michelle and Sophie, but she doesn't
12 tell them here in her sworn pleading.

13 You've seen this before, but I just want to bring up
14 Jane's actual birthdate as we talk through some of the timeline
15 so you can remember when she was 14, 15, or 16, and I want to
16 talk about the story of Jane, the one that's actually backed by
17 documents and facts.

18 It is true that her father died when she was 13, which
19 is a tragedy and is sad. She went on to tell you, though, that
20 she was so stricken by poverty that she had no lunch money at
21 times, and she was homeless. That's not the way it looked to
22 the outside world. She's applying to go to an arts camp with
23 her two brothers every summer for three years. That arts camp
24 costs \$4,000 per person, per summer, so \$12,000 per year, all
25 three of them go all three years. They don't even apply for

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 financial aid.

2 She went to public school. She was obviously talented
3 and performing well, and that sentiment was echoed in the
4 supporting materials to her applications, which you will have
5 with you in the deliberation room. That guidance counselor,
6 you know, the one that she supposedly had confided in the year
7 earlier, the one that she said she told about how she was
8 struggling with talking to her mom, that's the guidance
9 counselor that wrote her a letter of recommendation saying she
10 came from a loving and supportive family.

11 She received glowing reviews from people who were well
12 positioned in the community, a board member from the Palm Beach
13 school system who was formerly on the board of the professional
14 children's school where she ultimately went.

15 You see from her applications that she had two
16 different addresses, one that she lived in a house from '94 to
17 '95, and a third one in her application from 1996 when she was
18 16. She told you she lived in a two-bedroom house and she
19 moved into a three-bedroom house, and the three-bedroom house
20 was in a gated community in Bear Lake Estates. That's someone
21 who just described for you that she was destitute and homeless.
22 She recognized the street she lived on, but not the house with
23 her house number.

24 In 1995, she filled out the application again. And by
25 the way, this is in October of '94, she filled out the

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 application for the summer of '95. Again, she doesn't mention
2 that Epstein is providing her any support or paying for her to
3 go. By this point, in her budding career, she has been in
4 commercials, she has been in a Broadway production of Joseph
5 and the Technicolor Dreamcoat on the touring group that came to
6 Palm Beach. She's got more prestigious letters of
7 recommendation, still no application for financial aid, still
8 no mention of Epstein.

9 And after her second year at Interlochen, we see her
10 customs and border protection records which show how many times
11 when she was a teen that she was traveling internationally.
12 This person who said she didn't have lunch money, she's going
13 to Italy for an international trip she told you about, a vocal
14 competition with her school. And she's going on an
15 international trip to Europe with her family to see other
16 family back there.

17 And in 1996, she applies for Interlochen again. And
18 on that one, they actually go so far as to ask her, in this
19 yellow highlighted area, not only are you applying for
20 financial aid, which she says no, but does the student expect
21 to be the recipient of any funds from any individual
22 specifically for attendance at Interlochen Arts Camp, and she
23 says no, while she came in here and told you that Epstein was
24 paying for her.

25 The government touted, touted the trips in August to

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Interlochen on the flight logs. And you should take a look at
2 those, because Epstein went every year, pretty much, including
3 with Itzhak Perlman, a famous musician himself. And not only
4 did Epstein and Maxwell go to Interlochen in '94, they also
5 went in '96. And actually, they both went in 1996. And so,
6 while they claim the flight log from '94 establishes that Jane
7 met Epstein and Maxwell in '94, in fact, it's just as possible
8 that she met them in '96. And if she met them in '96, it
9 certainly makes a lot more sense given the rest of the
10 evidence, which we'll talk about now.

11 Because the next thing that happened is there is a
12 flight in November of 1996 which the government showed you, and
13 I'll show you again in a minute, that has Jane going on a
14 flight from Palm Beach, when she's 16, to New York. That's the
15 very first time someone with Jane's first name flies on a
16 flight, when she's 16, while she came and told you all that she
17 was flying repeatedly when she was 14 and 15, but there is no
18 records, miraculously, of those flights.

19 In March of 1997, Jane and her mother, when she's 16,
20 file a lawsuit against her voice teacher, her principal, and
21 her guidance counselor. That lawsuit went on for two years.
22 Jane said she remembered her teacher pulling her hair one time,
23 but she had no idea that her mother and she had sued her own
24 principal, guidance counselor, and voice teacher. Is that
25 credible, that a 16-year-old doesn't know she's in litigation

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 with the three most important people to her at her school? Is
2 that credible? It is not credible, I submit to you.

3 And if Jane is unable to tell her mother about sexual
4 abuse, but she's able to tell her mother that she got her hair
5 pulled one time and she gets a lawsuit out of it, what makes
6 her think her mother is not going to get her back. This sounds
7 like a whole lot of hindsight changing the stories around.

8 And where is her mother? Why didn't her mother come
9 to testify about this? Oh, I kept that lawsuit from Jane, I
10 didn't want her to know we were in a lawsuit for two years.

11 And by the way, if you're getting wads of cash from
12 Jeffrey Epstein every time you go over there, why do you need
13 to file a lawsuit?

14 There's a flight log entry with Jane's first name in
15 May of '97 that goes from New Jersey to New Mexico. She's
16 almost 17 at that point. And then in August of '97, she turns
17 17. Before that, though, while she's still 16, she takes
18 another international trip. She doesn't remember that trip
19 either when I asked her. She turns 17 in the fall of '97, The
20 Lion King is released. She told you originally her first trip
21 to New York was when The Lion King was released. It turns out
22 she was 17 when that happened. She got her dates wrong in a
23 case that's about dates and about travel. She got her dates
24 wrong by three years. And then in January of '98, she goes to
25 Europe again with her family. You can see the cities that she

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 goes to when you look at these flight logs because they're on
2 there. This destitute, homeless, penniless Jane.

3 In May of '98, she says she's singing to Mike Wallace
4 for his birthday, his 80th birthday, and she estimated she was
5 15 or 16 years old, but it turns out he turned 80 when she was
6 17, almost 18 years old. So she got that date wrong by a few
7 years, as well.

8 Upon graduation, she left for LA, but before that, she
9 went to high school, and this is the only document from 1998
10 when she's 18 that shows Mr. Epstein paying for anything for
11 her. High school, not Interlochen. She attends the
12 professional school and when she goes off to LA, she writes
13 back to Epstein, as you see on these photos, Jeffrey, thanks
14 for rocking my world, you're the best, love, Jane. She said
15 her mom made her send that photo, but again, you didn't hear
16 from her mom.

17 She continues to fly on Epstein's planes when she's 19
18 and 20 and 21. I asked her about those flights that were paid
19 for by the Shoppers Travel witness you saw, and she didn't
20 remember that she kept taking flights on his dime when she was
21 20 and 21.

22 And then you will see and hear from on the flight
23 logs, in a moment, that she said she was on numerous flights
24 with famous people. You may recall she said she flew with
25 Prince Andrew, for example, and Mark Epstein, and Epstein's

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 mom, that there is not a single flight log entry with her
2 flying with those famous people. And we'll get back to her
3 memory being tainted by those flight logs in a second.

4 Let's talk about her memory. She demonstrated a very
5 poor and inconsistent memory about things that if were true,
6 she would have remembered. Her memory is the underpinning of
7 this entire case, because the government has to prove to you
8 beyond a reasonable doubt that she was traveling, enticed to
9 travel, encouraged to travel, transported while she was under
10 the age of 17 in order to find Ghislaine Maxwell guilty of
11 counts One through Four.

12 And the government overlooks each one of these
13 significant memory gaps. They try to tell you, and I expect on
14 rebuttal Ms. Comey will tell you, she was too scared to
15 disclose the facts about what happened to her. Or, you know,
16 we overlooked that she's telling her male lawyers all these
17 facts for purposes of her civil suit. They brought up they had
18 to reduce the number of people in the room so she would feel
19 comfortable enough talking to them about it. You could judge
20 for yourself, her lack of discomfort and talking about it on
21 the stand.

22 But what she said in December of 2019 and in February
23 of 2020 was, I don't feel comfortable talking about this right
24 now. She said, I have no specific recollection of that or I
25 don't remember. If she doesn't remember something, the

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 government didn't confront her about it. The one time they
2 did, they brought up the lack of memory about The Lion King
3 date, and that certainly backfired. And so what they did was
4 say, okay, we'll just assume that wasn't your first trip to New
5 York, because your dates don't match, and then they stop
6 looking for other evidence that would corroborate her claims,
7 like, where are you on these flight logs.

8 Her lapses of memory pervade this case, and as
9 Dr. Loftus told you, very traumatic things one is unlikely to
10 forget, the where it happened, the who was there, the when it
11 happened, the how it happened, those would be core parts of her
12 story.

13 So, for example, a core part of her story would be
14 when and where was the first time you were sexually abused.
15 That's not something you forget. She told you that it was when
16 she was in a pool house in Florida, and she told you about
17 that. But remarkably, back in December of 2019, that's not
18 what she told the government. She said the first time she
19 experienced abuse was when she was about 14 years old in New
20 York. She met Epstein to take headshots and that is when he
21 masturbated. Those are two totally different stories, in a
22 pool house in Florida or in New York when you go to get your
23 photos taken. When I asked her about it, she said the FBI got
24 it wrong, I didn't write any of this, I've never read this
25 document before. What is beyond dispute, because the FBI agent

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 came in and told you that that is what she told them back in
2 2019, and that's a core detail you're not likely to forget if
3 it's true.

4 Let's take another example, whether there was ever
5 abuse in New Mexico. Jane told you, told the government
6 repeatedly, she had no specific recollection of abuse in New
7 Mexico. So what did the government do? They kept asking her
8 over and over again - remember, they're trying to prosecute a
9 case about transportation and enticement to cross state lines -
10 are you sure there was no evidence? There was no abuse in New
11 Mexico? I have no memory of abuse in New Mexico. Are you
12 sure? Maybe there was some abuse in New Mexico? And finally,
13 after they asked her four times, she suddenly got back a memory
14 of abuse in New Mexico that she testified to here on the stand,
15 that she said someone came to get her, she doesn't know who,
16 and that her heart sank when she was taken to Epstein's room
17 for the abuse. That's not how memory works. That's
18 suggestion.

19 And clearly, for purposes of this case, the most
20 important thing is what did Ghislaine know about what was going
21 on, if anything, between Jane and Jeffrey Epstein. Was she
22 ever in the room as the government told you over and over? And
23 when I asked her, she does not recall if she was ever alone in
24 the room with Ghislaine and Jeffrey for any of the abuse.

25 And not only does she not remember being in the room

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 specifically, she's not sure -- you heard the government say
2 their stories were all remarkably similar because they each had
3 their breasts touched. In the trial testimony, she told you
4 she was not sure that Maxwell ever touched her during these
5 encounters. She does not have a recollection, she said in
6 December of 2019, if Ghislaine touched her during these
7 encounters. At trial, she said she doesn't remember that, but
8 it's written here and the government notes, she's not sure
9 Maxwell ever kissed her.

10 She also, the government told you in their closing,
11 that Ghislaine was giving her directions about how to massage
12 Jeffrey Epstein, but that's not what she said on the stand.
13 She said she doesn't recall Ghislaine ever giving her a talk
14 about how to massage Jeffrey.

15 And what other things does she say she doesn't know
16 that Ghislaine ever saw? Never saw this oral sex she said she
17 had to perform in the orgy, she said she never saw hand jobs,
18 she said he never used sex toys on her, she said Ghislaine
19 never saw her have intercourse, and, in fact, she has no memory
20 of Ghislaine being present when Epstein engaged in sexual
21 contact with her. She told me, I don't remember.

22 If she doesn't remember ever being alone in the room
23 with him, then she also doesn't remember the story that she
24 told you on direct about the first time with Ghislaine. And
25 that's also what she confirmed to me on the stand, she had no

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 specific memory of the first time with Ghislaine until she got
2 up on the stand and told you one.

3 So the government kept asking her, was Ghislaine ever
4 in the room when it happened. I asked her, how many times, and
5 she said I don't know -- I'm sorry. The government asked her
6 how many times, and she said I don't know. Was it once, no.
7 Was it twice, no. How many times, I don't know, but more than
8 twice. That's the evidence they want you to convict Ghislaine
9 Maxwell on? I don't know? I don't remember?

10 She also told you the thing that happened more
11 frequently was being in these group sexualized massages, and
12 because that involves other witnesses, you have a right to
13 evidence about this. She said that this group sex massages –
14 she called them orgies – happened frequently, and that Epstein
15 would just summon people up to a room and they would all follow
16 him up there. And she said she was a 14-year-old girl when
17 these orgies were going on in his house, and she wasn't sure
18 how often Ghislaine was ever present for these orgies, but she
19 gave other names.

20 Apparently none of these other women thought it was
21 unusual that a 14-year-old was in an orgy with them and they
22 didn't call the police or report it, but naturally, being the
23 FBI when they got names of these other women, they ran right
24 out to try to corroborate those stories and be like, hey,
25 sounds like maybe you were in a group orgy with a 14-year-old

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 back in the '90s, can we talk about that. No. No, they didn't
2 do that.

3 Jane told about a woman named Sophie. She gave a
4 description. She was blond and pretty. She said Sophie
5 married a racecar driver and Sophie joined in these sexual
6 massages.

7 And then she told the government about a woman named
8 Eva, correct, she did not use a last name, but Eva. And this
9 wasn't just some disconnected Eva that showed up in an address
10 book from some unknown time in the future, Jane said that Eva
11 joined in with Sophie. She joined in with Sophie. Those were
12 your words, yes, Eva joined in with Sophie.

13 So where would Jane have gotten these names, Sophie
14 and Eva, if the massage didn't really happen and she was trying
15 to come up with some names? Well, let's look. Sure enough, on
16 her very first flight log entry that has her name on it from
17 November of 1996, she's on a flight with Sophie and Eva. What
18 are the odds that the very first flight she takes is with two
19 women who she claims are on a group sexualized massage?

20 Let's look at Eva. Eva is flying with her child and
21 her nanny. She told you on the stand that she just had a baby.
22 Do you think that Dr. Eva Dubin that you just saw on the stand
23 with a child and a nanny who's on a flight with Sophie, she
24 joined in with Sophie was in a group sexualized massage. Eva
25 told you she knows Sophie. Sophie was a professional masseuse.

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 They know each other. This isn't some Eva that the
2 government's now gone back and scrambled and found a name in an
3 address book.

4 The next group of people she talks about is Emmy. She
5 remembers Emmy was British and she was in the group sexualized
6 massages, and there was a woman named Michelle. And now the
7 government wants to suggest that Michelle is some random
8 Michelle and we don't know who Michelle is, and Michelle could
9 be any old Michelle, and look at this address book with
10 Michelle's name. There is Michelles everywhere.

11 But Jane told you from the stand it wasn't just any
12 old Michelle, it's the Michelle that she hung out with her and
13 Emmy. So it's Emmy's friend, Michelle, and she said it was
14 Emmy's friend, Michelle, that she hung out with. And you heard
15 Michelle on the stand say that's the Michelle, I'm the Michelle
16 that hung out with Emmy. I don't know any other Michelles that
17 Emmy hung out with. And no, we weren't involved in any group
18 sexualized massages. I'm a housewife.

19 What you see here is a pattern, you see a pattern of
20 Jane picking names out of people she knew she met in Epstein's
21 world at some point in time. The receptionist, the first
22 person you see when you come into his office, and she came in
23 there with her mom. That's the one now she remembers she was
24 in a group sexualized massage with or a person she saw in the
25 flight log with another person in a flight log.

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Did the government show Jane photos of any of these
2 women that they got in their address book now and say, hey, is
3 this the one you were in a group sexualized massage with, they
4 haven't done it, they haven't done it since they got those
5 names two years ago.

6 And just because I point out a few big problems with
7 the big parts of the story, don't be confused, Jane's story is
8 wrong, wrong, wrong on many, many points. She gave you a
9 description of the Palm Beach house. She said this massage
10 room that she went to hundreds of times, hundreds of times was
11 in the massage room that was off the master bathroom, and that
12 the house itself had creepy looking animals. We all sat
13 through the floor plan discussion that went on and on, and you
14 know what, there's not a massage room off the master bathroom.
15 The master bathroom was the massage room. So if she had been
16 there hundreds of times, do you think she would have gotten
17 that wrong?

18 She said it had a light beachy feel. It was light
19 because it was off the master bathroom and it had like a beachy
20 feel. What was in the room, I don't think I saw anything past
21 the massage table. You recall a massage room that was attached
22 to the bathroom, correct, that's my memory, yes. We saw the
23 room attached to the bathroom, it's a closet. We saw the floor
24 plan. There is no massage room off the master bathroom. And
25 we saw the bathroom, which is hardly a light beachy feel with

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 its white marble.

2 She was asked about the New York house.

3 Now, by the way, on the floor plans, as Juan Alessi
4 told you - and you'll have these exhibits back with you in the
5 deliberation room - this whole entire room has floor plans that
6 were major reconstruction being planned in 1994 with walls
7 being torn down. She never told you about any reconstruction
8 or being there during reconstruction or Epstein moving out, as
9 Larry Visoski told you he moved out for six to eight months for
10 the renovation, she didn't remember any of that.

11 What about the New York house? She did talk about the
12 room being dark, an old building and lots of stones, but she
13 also told you artwork, paintings of orgies, creepy animal head
14 things. And you know the government didn't show her any
15 photographs to try to match up her memory with any of those
16 photos.

17 And the government staff members from the New York
18 house to come in and say, yeah, that was a little weird when a
19 14-year-old was staying here alone at our house. Not one. All
20 this staff that you know is there, their chef, the doorman, the
21 assistants to assistants.

22 You did hear, however, from Cim Espinosa, who worked
23 in Epstein's office and she kept the calendar for the
24 apartments that Epstein had and he lent out to guests. And Cim
25 Espinosa told you she specifically booked Jane and her mother

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 and her brothers to stay in those apartments. Nothing about
2 Jane staying in Epstein's house.

3 And the same is true with the Santa Fe property. She
4 told you she stayed in this big grand house, a big, big
5 structure, big house. Annie told you she was there at roughly
6 the same time and there was no big ranch. It was a small
7 residence. Larry Visoski told you that big ranch wasn't built
8 until the late '90s, and guess what, there is a flight log
9 entry showing that Jane went there when she was 21 when the big
10 house was there. That's when Jane went to Santa Fe. She
11 didn't remember her other trips, her trips abroad.

12 We know her memory was contaminated post-event because
13 she was talking to her family members, her exboyfriend, Matt.
14 She was reading the news, she hired a lawyer to cancel all the
15 news about her, not to report this claim. She was reading the
16 news and now she's incorporating all of those facts into her
17 head and trying to make some kind of sense out of it.

18 She has deliberately, however, attempted to move the
19 timeline back to make herself younger when she was with
20 Epstein.

21 She did that, first, by telling the government that
22 she was in the same house for three years when she met Epstein
23 until the time she moved to New York. She was in the same
24 house. She lived in the same house. It was in a gated
25 community in Bear Lake Estates, the same house, a three-bedroom

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 house, but that house doesn't show that she was homeless, so
2 now she doesn't remember saying that, what she said to the FBI.
3 She said it might be a typo. Does that sound like something
4 the FBI can write a typo about, I lived in the same house in
5 Bear Lake Estates in a gated community? That's a really big
6 typo. And the Interlochen records show she didn't move there
7 until she was 16, and the flight records show that she could
8 have met Epstein in 1996.

9 She got the date wrong for Mike Wallace's birthday.

10 She told you she met Donald Trump when she was driven
11 there in a green car by Jeffrey Epstein before any of the abuse
12 happened, and you heard from Larry Visoski that Mr. Epstein
13 didn't get that car until the later '90s.

14 She's tried to explain why her mom's not here
15 essentially by telling you her mom was mean and oppressive, but
16 that doesn't square with what happened with her lawsuit and the
17 glowing recommendation of her guidance counselor who talked
18 about how great her family was and loving and supportive. And
19 you'll look at her grades. Her grades stayed the same all the
20 way through before she met Epstein until after she met Epstein.
21 She didn't miss a beat when she says all this is going on.

22 But most importantly, she's trying to insert Ghislaine
23 into this story well after the fact, and she's doing that
24 because she got this personal injury lawyer, Mr. Glassman, and
25 she promised -- he promised her that it would help her case if

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 she participated with the government, so she did and she got
2 \$5 million.

3 No one corroborates her story. There were people
4 everywhere - brothers, mother, friends from high school. And
5 she's just secretly flying all over the country, no one knows,
6 no one remembers her going to a commercial airport, there is no
7 record of her going on any commercial flights. That's just a
8 coverup for the fact that she's not on flight records until
9 she's older. None of her flight memories check out. The
10 people she said she flew with were not on those planes.

11 Let's turn to Annie Farmer now.

12 Annie Farmer is a psychologist who primarily works as
13 a therapist. Before Annie testified, the Court gave you this
14 instruction, I instruct you that the alleged physical contact
15 Annie says occurred with Mr. Epstein and Ms. Maxwell in New
16 Mexico was not, quote, illegal sexual activity, end quote, as
17 the government has charged in the indictment. So what she told
18 you is not illegal conduct as charged in the indictment,
19 despite the fact that the government wants you to think that
20 this shows a pattern of targeting of young women.

21 What you heard is that Annie's sister, Maria, is the
22 one that introduced her to Epstein, that Epstein purchased a
23 ticket for Annie to come to New York as a gift to her sister
24 who was working with Epstein, and while she was in New York,
25 she spent 99 percent of her time with her sister doing

LCKCmax5

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 sisterly-type things. They went to see a play, they went to
2 the Blue Man Group, they went to the Met, they went to a New
3 Year's party, they went to thrift stores, and she got a dress.

4 There were two instances she met Epstein while she was
5 in New York, and during those events, she and her sister sat
6 had in his office across a desk and discussed her college
7 applications. She told you she thought Epstein's home was
8 under renovation at the time, and she told you that there was
9 no sexual activity that occurred whatsoever in that home. She
10 told you Ghislaine Maxwell was not in the home, never came to
11 the home, no physical contact happened in the home, she wasn't
12 present, she wasn't there, and she didn't see anything that had
13 to do with Ghislaine Maxwell while she was in New York. So how
14 did Ghislaine target her somehow to come into New York to see
15 her sister? Where is Ghislaine Maxwell's role in any of that?

16 The second contact she had was in the movie theater
17 when they went to see a movie, she said she remembers was
18 called Five Monkeys. There isn't a movie called Five Monkeys,
19 there is a movie called 12 Monkeys, but that's what she
20 remembers. And while she was in Five Monkeys, Epstein caressed
21 her hand and held her hand, and as creepy as this is, what she
22 told the Victims Compensation Fund was that him holding her
23 hand was sexual abuse.

24 (Continued on next page)

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 MS. MENNINGER: She was awarded one and a half million
2 dollars for that.

3 But there's no dispute Ghislaine Maxwell had nothing
4 to do with getting her to New York, and nothing to do with
5 touching her in a movie theater. And there's no dispute that
6 the reason she went to New York was to see her sister. So the
7 purpose of her trip was to see her sister.

8 Ghislaine wasn't at the movies. She didn't meet
9 Ghislaine during the trip. She didn't fly her to the trip, she
10 didn't arrange for the travel, she didn't call her mother
11 before she traveled, she didn't encourage her to travel to New
12 York. She never even, as you know and I know, heard of
13 Ghislaine Maxwell when she went on that trip to New York.
14 Ghislaine was simply nowhere around.

15 And so Ghislaine couldn't have been making her feel
16 more comfortable or normalizing sexual talk. She wasn't even
17 there, as Annie's diaries confirm. Ghislaine is never
18 mentioned in those diaries. Even though we don't have all of
19 the diaries, she's clear that there is no mention in any entry
20 of any journal about Ghislaine Maxwell. And that's true from
21 the journal you saw some photos of, and that's true for the
22 ones she didn't show you.

23 She admitted that reviewing those journals helps her
24 remember things that happened in hindsight. She said her
25 memories are colored by hindsight, and that what happened to

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 her later affects how she perceives what happened to her in New
2 York, like the sexualized hand-holding.

3 She doesn't know how the trip to New Mexico was
4 planned, but she's clear that Ghislaine is not the one who
5 called her. And her mother is clear that Ghislaine never
6 called her. So what Epstein did, Epstein, who's hiding things
7 from women around him, including Ghislaine, is the one that
8 called Annie's mom.

9 You know that Ghislaine had no role in any of her
10 travel because she told you that. And she also told you her
11 original purpose or the original thought behind this trip to
12 New Mexico was that her sister was going to be there. That's
13 what she told the government in 2006. She's conveniently
14 forgotten that in the last 15 years. But what she told them in
15 2006 is her sister was supposed to accompany her on that trip.

16 She said she doesn't know whether Ghislaine saw
17 Epstein trying to hold her hand in the movie theater; she just
18 thought it was more blatant. She talked about the foot
19 massages. But she told the government in May of 2020, she
20 didn't remember those foot massages being sexualized.

21 She testified that Ghislaine gave her a massage; she
22 wasn't sure if she was topless or had her underwear on, excuse
23 me. But what she told you from the stand is that Ghislaine
24 massaged her chest and upper breast; and she confirmed for you
25 that that is where your pectoral muscles are. That's where she

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 got the massage. But then she turned around a month later and
2 told the victims' compensation fund that that was groping her
3 breast. Ghislaine never touched her nipples or never touched
4 around her nipple area. But on her victims' compensation fund,
5 she told them that was groping her breast, and that's exactly
6 the language that Ms. Moe adopted here today.

7 You heard about an incident where she says that
8 Epstein entered her room and Ghislaine was not in that room
9 when it happened. Epstein was not in the room when she got the
10 massage. And she told the compensation fund that she was
11 sexually abused in the movie theater. She told them her
12 breasts were groped. She told them that she had Mr. Epstein's
13 genitals pressed against her; and she, for that story, got one
14 and a half million dollars.

15 But what is the evidence about what Ghislaine Maxwell
16 knew about this trip? Originally, Maria was supposed to go on
17 the trip. And so Ghislaine had no role in planning the trip,
18 aiding, abetting the trip, conspiring to make the trip happen.
19 We only know that Epstein called Annie's mom and talked to her
20 about Ghislaine being there.

21 Imagine for a minute that you're Ghislaine Maxwell,
22 and you fly out to Santa Fe because you're going to meet with
23 some architects and plan the building of a new house. And all
24 of a sudden, some high school student shows up, was supposed to
25 come with her sister for some kind of trip, and then the sister

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 is not there, and you have no idea what's going on. Imagine
2 that. What are you going to do? Are you going to take them on
3 a tour of the ranch, spend a significant amount of time taking
4 them horseback riding, helping her get cowboy boots so she can
5 go horseback riding, talk to her about school? This isn't
6 Ghislaine Maxwell targeting someone. This is Annie showing up
7 at the ranch without Ghislaine's knowledge.

8 Annie told you about reconstructing her memory of when
9 this trip occurred. She said it was in April of '96, and she
10 said she thinks that because she thinks she saw *Primal Fear*,
11 which came out in 1996. She researched that on the internet.
12 And then she talked to her friends about how prom was later
13 that year. And it happened before she went to prom, so she's
14 pretty sure it happened in April of '96. I mean, she's a
15 doctor. She knows how to go back and research things and put
16 it together. And she reconstructed that memory.

17 But when you look at the flight logs, she told you
18 also it was a weekend trip, mind you, and her mother confirmed
19 it was a weekend trip. But when you look in April of 1996,
20 there is no weekend in April of 1996 that Ghislaine Maxwell and
21 Jeffrey Epstein are in New Mexico. It doesn't fit. There is a
22 March trip where just Jeffrey is in -- goes to Santa Fe for
23 like a day, and then there's a trip in the middle of May where
24 they both go to Santa Fe, but that's in the middle of the week.
25 There's no weekend trips in April or May of 1996. Okay. So it

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 doesn't work. She tried her best to reconstruct the time.

2 She's wrong. That's just the way it is. So if we had her
3 journals, we might know, but we don't.

4 So then if you look a year later, in April of 1997,
5 there is a trip where Jeffrey and Ghislaine go about that time
6 of year together to New Mexico in March or April of 1997.

7 Annie Farmer is 17, almost 18 years old. And there is a flight
8 log that shows them going at that time. So maybe she just got
9 it wrong by a year, meaning she's 17 when she went there.

10 She also says that trip to New Mexico happened right
11 before she went to Thailand. So naturally, one would look at
12 her border patrol records and figure out when did she go to
13 Thailand, because that would help us figure out when she
14 actually went. So when you look at those records, it shows her
15 very first trip out of the country and coming back into the
16 country was in July of '97, not in '96, when she was 18.

17 And you see she's coming back through a city called
18 Düsseldorf in Germany, which is not Thailand, I understand
19 that. But then you remember I asked her mom, Weren't you in
20 Germany when she was in Thailand? And she said, Yes, I was.
21 So Annie flies back from Thailand to Germany, where her mother
22 is, and then they fly back together when she's a senior, not a
23 junior, in 1997, and not 1996. That's what the documents show.

24 And don't let the government tell you these records --
25 I mean, the government is going to tell you that their own

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Customs and Border Patrol records are not accurate.

2 Okay. Well, that's kind of silly.

3 But if you look at Jane's records that come in the
4 same exhibit number, you'll see that they go back to January of
5 '96. So the records go back that far. There just isn't a
6 record of Annie going to Thailand in 1996. And so the
7 government didn't bring you the Customs and Border Patrol
8 records.

9 And finally, I want to consider one other piece about
10 Annie's story. She's saying that she wore -- she was bought
11 these boots by the people who sexually abused her; Epstein
12 bought her these boots. And she said that she kept them in the
13 closet, and then the government didn't ask for them in 2006,
14 excuse me. And you'll have the boots with you back in the jury
15 room, and you can see for yourselves how worn those boots are.

16 And so when the government finally got those boots in
17 June of 2021, even though they'd met with her repeatedly, and
18 she never told them anything about wearing these boots, she
19 just said they bought her these boots, and then when they
20 finally got them and looked at them and saw that she's been
21 wearing boots from the people that she says sexually abused
22 her, she came up with a new story, and that story was that she
23 resided to reclaim the boots. And that's the story she told
24 for the first time right before trial and what she told you on
25 the stand.

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Again, the government promised you relatives who were
2 going to come corroborate these stories. Where was Annie
3 Farmer's sister? Where were the people at the ranch? Where
4 was the chef? Where's the rest of her diaries?

5 What you got was a photograph of the front and back of
6 a diary, and you got photographs of several of the pages from
7 the diary. What you didn't get was the rest of the diary. You
8 don't even get to take the diary back into the room with you.
9 And she told you that she had diaries from Thailand. Why
10 didn't she share those diaries? Why didn't she give this diary
11 to the government?

12 Also, Ms. Farmer is the one who explained a lot of the
13 intersection of these lawyers and the witnesses. She told you
14 she first met with the Boies Schiller firm when they were
15 representing Virginia Roberts, and she was going to be a
16 witness. And then she hired them. And she told you that Jane,
17 who told you she had talked to Kate's lawyers, Brad Edwards --
18 and Brad Edwards was here in the courtroom when Kate was
19 testifying, Jane talked to him as well. And then Jack Scarola,
20 who represents Carolyn, and brought her to the government, he
21 also talked to the same other witnesses, and they all talked to
22 their family members and saw the media. That's the
23 contamination of memory that we were talking about.

24 Finally, with respect to Annie's story and the money
25 piece, she told the FBI that all of this was not sexualized

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 when she talked to them in May of 2006, and then again in May
2 of 2020. But then the Epstein Victims' Compensation Fund
3 opened, her lawyers had helped set it up on June 25th of 2020,
4 and the very next day she was first in line with her
5 application to get the money in saying that it all was
6 sexualized.

7 Let's talk about Kate.

8 I'm not really sure why Kate testified here because,
9 once again, the judge instructed you that any sexual conduct
10 she says occurred was not illegal activity. And you may not
11 convict Ms. Maxwell on the basis of Kate's testimony regarding
12 any sexual contact that she says she had with Mr. Epstein.

13 Again, the government told you that Ghislaine Maxwell
14 targeted a woman who had a single parent, and her mother was
15 sick, I think they said. That's not what she told you. She
16 told you she had a wealthy stepfather who lived -- had his own
17 plane. She lived in the South of France before moving to
18 Ghislaine's -- close to Ghislaine's home in the Tony section of
19 London known as Belgravia. She admitted to being fiercely
20 ambitious, spending 90 percent of her time thinking about her
21 next move. And when she was 17 and in Paris, she met
22 Ghislaine, who was with an Oxford classmate of hers, of
23 Ghislaine's. She was dating him. So she was dating a man that
24 was Ghislaine's age at the time that Ghislaine met her.

25 And then she said, basically, she wanted to be

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Ghislaine. Kate told you, I was quite excited to be friends
2 with her. And she was friends with a man I was dating. And
3 she seemed very exciting; and she seemed everything that I
4 wanted to be.

5 So Kate traded up from the one prominent gentleman to
6 the next, Jeffrey Epstein. Kate told you about her life in
7 this time period where she was abusing cocaine and sleeping
8 pills and alcohol for more than ten years. She was an
9 international model, she was in a movie with a prominent
10 English actor. She had a relationship with Epstein that
11 spanned decades. She was a billboard model, a lingerie model,
12 she was in tabloid magazines. She helped set up a man in a
13 tabloid magazine for asking him to get drugs in a conversation
14 that was recorded. That's the kind of information that Kate
15 shared with you about her life.

16 And whatever she did Epstein was above the age of
17 consent. She maintained contact with Epstein into her
18 thirties. He was in prison, and she was writing and sending
19 him emails offering to send him pictures while he was in
20 prison, while she was in her thirties. Use your common sense.
21 Is that someone who was abused by Epstein?

22 She signed those emails, and these are Exhibits K-8
23 and K-10. Best love always, Kate.

24 When confronted with a 2011 email she sent to Epstein
25 asking if she could stay at his place, she told you that she

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 felt compelled to contact him. She emailed Epstein for
2 decades, and there's not one single proof of her ever
3 contacting or being in touch with Ghislaine.

4 At the time she met with the government, her lawyer
5 provided a U visa form and asked if they could help with it. A
6 U visa is for people who are exceptional. And she said that
7 she is exceptional. She's a music therapist. And she was
8 starting a foundation, which opened right before Epstein
9 died -- after Epstein died, excuse me, and closed right after
10 she got her \$3.25 million from the fund.

11 She claims she was using a fake name during this trial
12 because of her child. But she had used her real name in
13 repeated media appearances and publicly in court about Epstein
14 after her -- after his death.

15 What Professor Loftus tells us about post-event
16 information and suggestiveness would suggest that Kate made her
17 own choices to engage with Epstein when she was over the age of
18 consent. And she made her own choice to blame Ghislaine. And
19 her memory is affected by a decade or more of substance abuse.

20 What I really want to emphasize about Kate though is
21 that she told you she met Ghislaine Maxwell at Ghislaine
22 Maxwell's home in London, and the address is 44 Kinnerton
23 Street. And she said that she met her in 1994. In order to be
24 awarded money from the victims' comp fund, you had to be 17 or
25 younger. So it was important to her story that she say that

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 she met Ghislaine in 1994, when she was younger than 17. And
2 so Kate was firm that that's the address she went to, the house
3 with the red door. It's actually Government Exhibit 702. So
4 when you see that house, you'll know that's the one that Kate
5 was talking about. It's across from something called The Nags
6 Head Pub.

7 But the problem is Ghislaine Maxwell didn't own that
8 home until 1997, not 1994. She owned that home when Kate was
9 20 years old, not 16 years old, not 17 years old. You will see
10 those exhibits, A-5 -- and A-5 refers you to MG-12 and MG-1.
11 And what these show is that Ghislaine Maxwell owned a home,
12 another home, at 69 Stanhope Mews; and that she sold that home,
13 and then she bought the next home at 44 Kinnerton Street. And
14 the purchase of that home, purchased from another family,
15 occurred in March of 1997, when Kate was 20 years old. So the
16 entire story about going to that home and there being a massage
17 room in that room at Kinnerton Street is just wrong. If it
18 happened at all, it happened three years after Kate said it
19 happened.

20 Now, the government showed you an offhand remark made
21 in another property dispute from 2019 that Ghislaine Maxwell
22 gave under oath when it was talking about a totally different
23 property. And they asked her in 2019, When did you start
24 living at Kinnerton Street? And she said, 92, '93. She didn't
25 have any documents in front of her. And she's talking about a

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 totally different property. The property records show when she
2 owned the home, and they showed that Kate's story is wrong,
3 something the government didn't want you to see. I still don't
4 know what Kate's story brings to this prosecution.

5 Carolyn. Let's talk about Carolyn.

6 Carolyn talked to two FBI agents in 2007, Agents
7 Kuyrkendall and Richards. And you saw Special Agent Richards,
8 he testified here last week. And he told you that he's trained
9 at Quantico on how to interview people; and when he talks to
10 people, he's taking down notes carefully; and he's writing down
11 what they say; and he's not limiting them in what they can talk
12 about; and that all these agents review their notes for
13 accuracy, and they check their other agents' notes, and they
14 are all making sure that what they are writing down is accurate
15 because, who knows, 14 years later, you might have to get on a
16 witness stand and talk about what someone told you in an
17 interview, right. So you've got to be pretty careful when you
18 work for the FBI and you're taking notes.

19 So he said he met with Carolyn and asked her what
20 happened. And Carolyn told him a whole long list of things
21 that happened.

22 And she told Special Agent Richards that she was
23 recruited by Virginia Roberts. Virginia Roberts told her how
24 much money she would make. Virginia Roberts brought her
25 inside. She saw a lady inside who had an unknown accent. She

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 told you that she knows what a British accent was. And she
2 didn't identify this person as Ghislaine back in 2007. And it
3 was Roberts who instructed her how to massage Epstein. And
4 Roberts and Epstein had sex while Carolyn watched. And Epstein
5 paid Carolyn. And Carolyn and Roberts left. And Carolyn
6 looked up Epstein's number in a phone book. And she called
7 Epstein. And either Epstein or Kellen would call her, she
8 said.

9 And on the second visit, the chef asked her if she was
10 hungry. And she was greeted by someone named Sarah. And Sarah
11 is the one that placed towels on the massage table. And Sarah
12 called to tell her about concert tickets. And Epstein sent her
13 gifts. And Kellen took pictures of her.

14 That's her story from 2007. Not one mention of
15 Ghislaine or Maxwell or Maxwell calling her or Maxwell trying
16 to touch her breasts. I mean, that would be something you
17 would tell the FBI who was there investigating you --
18 investigating a sex assault inquiry, right? She didn't mention
19 anything about Maxwell or Maxwell touching her breast.

20 And one year later, she files not one, but two civil
21 lawsuits. And exactly like her statement to Mr. -- Special
22 Agent Richards, she has lawyers, they draft up these long
23 lawsuits. And not one thing in those civil lawsuits, that go
24 on for 70 pages and 209 paragraphs, is there one mention of
25 Maxwell or Ghislaine.

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Twelve years ago she was asked under oath to answer
2 questions about who was involved in the trafficking of her or
3 the sexual abuse of her. And she had lawyers who helped her
4 answer those questions. And again, she didn't say anything
5 about Ghislaine Maxwell. And then she even updated her answers
6 again. So she's looking at her answers to make sure that they
7 are accurate. And once again, she does not mention anything
8 about Maxwell.

9 She had a third opportunity to talk about who was
10 involved in this operation at the Epstein home. She gave a
11 deposition. And the deposition went on for hours. And she was
12 asked in that deposition, Are all of the things in your civil
13 complaint accurate? Do they include everything? Are they
14 complete? Are they true?

15 And she said, Yes, they are.

16 Not one mention of Ghislaine Maxwell in hours of
17 deposition testimony back in 2009. She may have mentioned that
18 Maxwell answered the phone once. But guess what? The
19 government grabbed all of those message pads which they talked
20 to you about, and there are message pads and there are message
21 pads, and you will get all these message pads back in the jury
22 deliberation room. And you will see there's not one single
23 message for Ghislaine Maxwell having to do with Carolyn. She's
24 calling for Epstein.

25 And look at the name on GX-2T in the upper right-hand

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 corner. It's a French woman taking a message for Mr. Epstein
2 about Carolyn, a French woman with an accent. We're talking
3 about the 2000s now. So one might imagine that there are phone
4 records. There might be phone records about all of these phone
5 calls, right? But there's not. There's not a single phone
6 record showing any calls from Ghislaine Maxwell's cell phone to
7 Carolyn or from Carolyn to Ghislaine Maxwell.

8 And then Carolyn doesn't talk to anyone between -- in
9 the government between 2007 and 2020, okay. She gets a
10 settlement with Epstein, several hundred thousand dollars, that
11 money gets used up, and then she doesn't talk to anyone about
12 Maxwell.

13 And so then in 2019, her lawyer, civil lawyer, Jack
14 Scarola, calls up the government and says, Hey, let me put you
15 in touch with my client, because he's no dummy. And he knows
16 Epstein is dead; and he knows Epstein left behind a pile of
17 cash.

18 So she responds through her lawyer in July 2020, right
19 after the victims' compensation fund was opened. And that's
20 when her lawyer puts her in touch with the government, because
21 again, it helps you with your claim if you're cooperating in a
22 prosecution.

23 And in those 12 years when she wasn't saying anything
24 before about Ghislaine Maxwell, all through 2007, eight, and
25 nine, she didn't say anything about Ghislaine being there,

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 introducing her, taking her upstairs, anything like that. She
2 gave extensive testimony about it. And it happened shortly in
3 time after the events. She didn't say anything about Ghislaine
4 Maxwell. She had a therapist, Susan Pope, she met with for
5 years. She didn't tell her therapist about Ghislaine Maxwell.
6 She didn't tell the other doctor that she met with.

7 And soon after she files these lawsuits, she starts
8 mentioning Ghislaine. And that's the story that you heard from
9 the stand. For the first time in 17 years, all of a sudden,
10 her too; Ghislaine Maxwell's involved too. And she gets
11 several million dollars.

12 Is that the kind of evidence you would rely on in a
13 matter of importance to yourself?

14 She told you that she saw a photograph of Ghislaine
15 pregnant. There's no evidence that Ghislaine was ever
16 pregnant. No one so Ghislaine Maxwell pregnant, and there's no
17 photograph of Ghislaine Maxwell being pregnant. But that's
18 what Carolyn told you, that she saw a photograph of her
19 pregnant.

20 Money. The first time that Maxwell is accused of
21 anything by Carolyn is during this victims' compensation fund,
22 where she ultimately got \$2.8 million. The government argues
23 that Carolyn has no financial incentive to stick with her story
24 here, but that's not supported by the facts. The new story is
25 the one she told the fund. And the fund tells you, if you

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 don't tell the truth, they can prosecute you. So it's much
2 easier to just stick with her story here to keep the cash she's
3 already gotten.

4 Carolyn, 17 years later, now claims that Ghislaine
5 Maxwell called her. There's not one phone record showing you
6 that. She claims that Ghislaine sent her things. There's not
7 one FedEx record telling you that. The message pads don't show
8 any contact between Ghislaine and Epstein. She wasn't taking
9 messages for Carolyn, Evelyn was. And they show that Carolyn
10 was calling and her calls were not being returned. There would
11 be evidence if her story were true.

12 Let's talk about Shawn, her boyfriend, with his felony
13 convictions. He says that their visits happened in 2002 and
14 2003, not 2001 or 2004. He testified in response to questions
15 by the government that Carolyn never talked about Ghislaine.
16 They never talked about Maxwell.

17 Carolyn tried to minimize her drug abuse. But Shawn
18 told you that they were abusing -- let me find it, sorry --
19 were abusing marijuana, cocaine, ecstasy and other pills during
20 that time period. According to Shawn, he and Carolyn shared a
21 phone, and he identified three callers.

22 It would either be Epstein or Sarah Kellen calling;
23 correct?

24 Correct. Yes.

25 He knew it was Sarah, because Sarah told him she was

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 calling on behalf of Epstein, right? And he claimed to
2 remember some of what he thought was a French accent.

3 And in that meeting, he identified Sarah and then
4 another European with an accent that wasn't British that you
5 couldn't identify; correct?

6 Correct.

7 He met with the government five times. And in a fifth
8 meeting, he said he couldn't identify the accent. The truth of
9 the matter is Shawn never talked to Ghislaine Maxwell and never
10 heard her voice.

11 I want to turn to the other witnesses that came and
12 testified here.

13 The government talked to you about Dr. Rocchio, the
14 ultimate victim apologist who tried to explain away why these
15 accusers continued to have contact, including traveling with
16 Epstein or offering to send photos to him in jail 30 years
17 later. And to Dr. Rocchio, that's just proof that they are
18 victims. She's not trained as a treating therapist to question
19 accusers' accounts. She's not a lawyer or a judge or a juror.
20 She's a treating therapist.

21 The government has spent a lot of time and money on
22 Dr. Rocchio talking to you about grooming. There was no
23 evidence that Ghislaine Maxwell groomed anyone. Carolyn was
24 recruited by someone else. Annie met Ghislaine Maxwell one
25 time in her life. Kate was a sophisticated adult above the age

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 of consent who was dating a man Ghislaine Maxwell's age. And
2 Jane came from a prominent musical family with supportive
3 brothers and sisters and a mom and two older siblings as well.
4 She had a singing and acting career, and there's no evidence
5 whatsoever that Ghislaine Maxwell's chitchat with her, as she
6 called it, was grooming.

7 The government brought you these pilots. But the
8 pilots said they didn't see anyone underage. And nothing ever
9 once hinted to them that there was sexual activity going on
10 with underage girls. You heard Larry Visoski talk about how he
11 left his daughters -- he was happy to have his daughters go
12 horseback riding with Ghislaine Maxwell all the time. You
13 heard from the FedEx records custodian, Tracy Chapell was her
14 name. And again, she told you that there were no packages from
15 Ghislaine Maxwell to Carolyn or any other underage girls.

16 The government only showed you a few that went to
17 Carolyn, but she had gathered hundreds, and we provided with
18 you the rest of those, which just show that Ghislaine Maxwell
19 sent FedExes to her family. Not one of the accusers got a
20 package from Ghislaine Maxwell.

21 The government brought you some law enforcement
22 specialists. Kelly Maguire said she searched the 71st Street
23 residence and located hundreds of hard drives and tons of
24 photographs. Kimberly Meder said she scoured those 40,000
25 photos, and all they came up with were some old

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 girlfriend/boyfriend looking photos of Ghislaine and Jeffrey.

2 Stephen Flatley. Let's take a minute on Stephen
3 Flatley. He's the computer forensic guy. And he's the one
4 that pulled the metadata that the government just showed you on
5 their closing. And they are trying to argue that these
6 documents, because they came from a computer that was loaded up
7 originally by Ghislaine Maxwell, that she wrote every document
8 on that computer. You know what's easy as can be, doesn't take
9 the FBI to do it? You just pull up the dates of that metadata
10 when those documents were created, and you look and see from
11 the flight logs where Ghislaine Maxwell was when those
12 documents were created. And she's in about four or five
13 different places. So whoever had that desktop computer Stephen
14 Flatley told you about wasn't carrying it with them on
15 airplanes.

16 And if other people could use that Ghislaine Maxwell
17 computer, what on earth makes the government think that
18 Ghislaine Maxwell was writing an essay about herself in the
19 third person with Jeffrey Epstein? You don't know about that
20 document. Not one single person told you what that document
21 is, where it came from. You got some metadata from a computer
22 that's clearly being used by a number of different people,
23 because Ghislaine Maxwell is not in the house when documents
24 are being created. But the FBI didn't put that together for
25 you. They want to just say, This is Ghislaine Maxwell's

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 document because it's got her name in the metadata. Please.

2 They've got a list of massage oils. Ghislaine Maxwell
3 wasn't in town when that list of massage oils was created.
4 They've got a household manual that wasn't on Ghislaine
5 Maxwell's computer, by the way. No one's explained where that
6 thing came from, but it's dated in 2005. There's an email
7 between Ghislaine Maxwell and another woman talking about the
8 household manual and also about what a terrible job Juan Alessi
9 was doing. That's the one email off of those hundreds of hard
10 drives you saw and the pictures, one email the government
11 brought you for a ten-year conspiracy, complaining about Juan
12 Alessi's job performance. What a smoking gun.

13 The Palm Beach detectives came and they told you about
14 their walk-through at the Palm Beach house, the one that showed
15 the massage room, which was really the master bedroom --
16 bathroom, excuse me. There is no creepy animals that she
17 talked about or orgy paintings on the wall. They brought you
18 the massage table which proved nothing to you.

19 They showed you that photo up close of the girl with
20 her pants being pulled down that was on the wall. Go back and
21 compare that with the other photos. That's the same girl that
22 Eva Dubin identified as her daughter in the other photos in the
23 house, okay. This isn't child pornography. This is a photo
24 that was given to Jeffrey Epstein by Eva Dubin of his
25 goddaughter. Photo doesn't prove anything.

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 They talked to you about sex toys that were found on a
2 shelf in a guest room closet. What does that prove to you?

3 Then there's Virginia Roberts. The government wants
4 to try to claim that Virginia Roberts was also a victim.

5 Where is Virginia Roberts? Why didn't Virginia
6 Roberts come and get on the stand and tell you that she was a
7 victim? Use your common sense. If she could corroborate
8 Carolyn, you think they might have had her come in and do that?

9 What the government just told you is that Ghislaine
10 Maxwell basically picked her up off the side of the road.
11 That's not what you heard. Juan Alessi told you he --
12 Ghislaine Maxwell went to get a treatment at the spa at
13 Mar-a-Lago, and Virginia Roberts came out wearing a white
14 uniform, as though she worked there. And Juan Alessi also told
15 you and said in a deposition in 2009, she was a masseuse. He
16 understood her to be a masseuse. This isn't picking up a girl
17 off the side of the road.

18 And look at the records, okay. We put in Virginia
19 Roberts' school records. She was going to Survivor Charter
20 School from 2001 to 2002. She was 18 years old. That's where
21 Shawn says he met her. And in 2002 is when Virginia introduced
22 Carolyn to Epstein, when she was 18 years old. So Virginia is
23 the one who's taking underage girls to meet Epstein, not
24 Ghislaine Maxwell.

25 Virginia's presence on a flight log when she was 17,

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 rather than 18, tells you nothing about what she did or didn't
2 do. And if the government had proof to back up Virginia's
3 claims, they could have brought it to you and put her on the
4 stand.

5 As I said at the beginning, the government has really
6 struggled to explain why an Oxford-educated, proper English
7 woman would suddenly agree to facilitate sex abuse of minors.
8 And they told you it was to maintain her jet-setter lifestyle.
9 They've also suggested at least three other reasons.

10 One is this culture of silence theory, which is
11 dependent entirely on Juan Alessi and the mysterious household
12 manual. Now, Juan Alessi told you he threw that manual away
13 and never used it, so we don't actually have a single employee
14 who came and testified that they used this household manual.
15 The pilots didn't use it. The office people told you they were
16 never given directions like that and didn't know about it. No
17 one was told not to look anybody in the eye.

18 Larry Visoski did tell you he signed a nondisclosure
19 agreement. He told you that was common for people of wealth;
20 that he had signed it for other people of wealth because they
21 don't want them -- their pilots writing about the famous people
22 who are flying on their planes, like Bill Clinton or Donald
23 Trump or Senator John Glenn or any of the other famous people
24 who you will see on the flight logs. Nondisclosures aren't to
25 hide illicit sexual activity; and not one witness told you that

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 it was.

2 Secondly, the government makes a big show of these big
3 dollar transfers. And they claim it's the finances; that
4 Ghislaine Maxwell was basically being purchased to facilitate
5 sexual abuse because she got big dollar transfers of money that
6 you can see from bank statements.

7 That is some thin testimony.

8 What they are asking you to do is to speculate. And
9 speculation is not evidence. Putting up a bank statement that
10 shows transfers tells you nothing about what was going on and,
11 in fact, it's not even clear that Ghislaine Maxwell knew that
12 these transfers were being made in her name.

13 You saw evidence that Epstein bought a helicopter, and
14 he owned it through a company called Air Ghislaine. Dave
15 Rodgers told you that's totally common for wealthy people to
16 own their private planes through companies, because it limits
17 their liability. And that's exactly what the \$7.4 million
18 would have corresponded with, because the bank records show it
19 was Air Ghislaine. It's not like Epstein put all his assets in
20 Ghislaine's name. Larry Visoski told you that he put
21 several -- that Epstein put several of the cars in Palm Beach
22 under Larry Visoski's name.

23 You also heard that Epstein was generous with a number
24 of people. He donated to numerous charities. He was a sponsor
25 of the arts and individual artists. He gave money to build a

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 lodge at Interlochen. He paid for his employees' kids to go to
2 college. He gave Larry Visoski 40 acres of land on a ranch
3 free of charge so he could build a house there. He didn't ask
4 anything in return for this generosity. Education was
5 important to him, and he did it for a number of employees.

6 Everyone knew that Epstein was doing this. The
7 employees knew about the tuition payments. Everyone knew he
8 was building a lodge at Interlochen that was handicap
9 accessible. So to everyone, including Ghislaine, it looked
10 like Epstein wanted to use his money to further the ambitions
11 and education of those around him.

12 As far as these bank records, where they showed you
13 these big numbers, and Ms. Moe just argued that's essentially
14 buying off a sex abuse case, you saw that for all those
15 records, the person who signed for the accounts was Harry
16 Beller, who Cim Espinosa told you was Epstein's accountant. He
17 was signing all the checks. Beller had control over the
18 account, and he's the one signing for the accounts.

19 Who knows what that money was for. Did anyone from
20 the bank tell you what that money was for? Certainly no one
21 from JPMorgan did. What about all these other people around
22 Epstein who made the wire transfers or paid for it? Did they
23 come and testify?

24 The government wants you to speculate over and over,
25 and they want to put up big dollar signs and make you believe

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 that that turns someone into a sex abuser.

2 THE COURT: Ms. Menninger, we're just going to take a
3 real quick stretch break.

4 MS. MENNINGER: Sure.

5 THE COURT: Anyone who wants to stretch may do so.

6 MS. MENNINGER: You heard from the government that
7 Ghislaine was Epstein's right-hand woman; that she controlled
8 everything in his life; she knew everything, she saw
9 everything, she did everything, she's to blame for his sins.

10 That's not what the evidence showed you at all.

11 Everyone knew that Jeffrey was keeping secrets from
12 Ghislaine, except Ghislaine. The pilots knew it when they were
13 flying Epstein with other women. Her own assistant, Cim, was
14 sending flowers to other women for Mr. Epstein. Mr. Alessi
15 told you that when Epstein was coming with other women, he was
16 ordered to take the photos down off the walls of Ghislaine.

17 We can see the flight logs -- you'll see the flight
18 logs and the testimony of other witnesses like Dr. Dubin,
19 Nicole Hesse, Larry Visoski, that Mr. Epstein had many other
20 women in his life: Frances Jardine, Celina Midelfart, Sherry
21 Lewis, and who knows how many more. There are trips over and
22 over on those flight logs with these women and without
23 Ghislaine going to Palm Beach.

24 Check out the flight logs for yourself.

25 Someone like Jeffrey Epstein is always trying to

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 control the people around them. He used his position to
2 manipulate people and play them off against one another.

3 Juan Alessi told you -- despite their one quote the
4 government showed you about Ghislaine being the lady of the
5 house when she first started, that, in 2016, Mr. Alessi
6 testified it was Epstein who was his direct supervisor. And if
7 Epstein was in the house, he would never go to Ghislaine, he
8 would go directly to Epstein.

9 And let's talk a little bit about Mr. Alessi.

10 Mr. Alessi worked for Epstein for many years. But you
11 can tell from the one email exchange, Government Exhibit 424,
12 between Ghislaine and Sally Markham that Mr. Alessi was not
13 doing a very good job. In fact, I think she called it a truly
14 awful job. So we know the two of them weren't getting along.

15 But does being a tough boss make you an enforcer of a
16 code of silence? Mr. Alessi is simply not credible. He said
17 he didn't follow the household manual, which is dated years
18 later. He threw it away. Not one employee told you they
19 followed this household manual.

20 He's also the one that the government tried to get in
21 this little black address book from, right? He's the one. He
22 said he recognized it; it looked like the one he had seen. He
23 has no idea what year it's from. He doesn't know how accurate
24 it is; that people get added every year and taken away every
25 year. So somehow in 2021, a black address book shows up on the

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 stand with some names in it, and not one single person says
2 that that black address book is from the time we're talking
3 about and not Epstein's own address book from years later after
4 Ghislaine had nothing to do with it. Not one. If all these
5 employees are around the house all the time seeing these
6 address books laying everywhere, where are those employees and
7 where are all those address books? So Juan Alessi is the sole
8 guardian who can tell you about this black address book from
9 which the government wants to build their case.

10 What do we know about Mr. Alessi?

11 During his direct examination, the government asked
12 him about his burglary of Jeffrey Epstein's home. And he
13 turned on the stand and he looked at you in the eye and he
14 said, I will tell the truth. And then he proceeded to not tell
15 you the truth. He told you a story that he concocted to make
16 himself look better. He told you that he broke into Epstein's
17 home one time to try to help someone because he was out of
18 money. But he told the police back in 2003 that he broke in to
19 steal a gun.

20 He told you he stole the money because he needed it,
21 but then he told you he owned multiple rental properties. He
22 told you he broke in once. But the truth was, as he told the
23 police in 2003, he broke in twice. He went in, stole some
24 money, and then he came back a few weeks later and stole some
25 more money. So the man who turned and looked you in the eye

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 and said, I will tell you the truth, turned and didn't tell the
2 truth. You cannot trust this man's word, certainly not as
3 proof beyond a reasonable doubt, of anything.

4 And on top of that, his memory is all over the place.
5 He told different stories at different times. He couldn't
6 remember if he met someone in '94 or '99. He has no business
7 being the one corroborating witness for Jane. But even there,
8 he testified that he met her later in '98 or '99, when she
9 would have been 18 or 19.

10 He told you that he picked her up a few times, not the
11 hundreds of times she claims. He thinks he drove her to the
12 airport once, not the dozens of times she claims. And who
13 drove her to the commercial airport or back? Was that her mom
14 we didn't hear from? He did tell you he'd worked in
15 construction, and that the plans that he was shown demonstrated
16 that there was renovation that was about to start taking place
17 in the summer of '94.

18 Mr. Alessi does not have credible or complete or
19 accurate information. You should not rely on him in reaching a
20 verdict.

21 I want to talk a little bit about the defense case.

22 As you know, we called Dr. Loftus to the stand. She's
23 a prominent memory scientist. And she gave us a user-friendly
24 master class on how the brain works and how human memory works.
25 And I understand the government wants to minimize her

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 significant body of research and work because she wrote one
2 book called *Witness for the Defense*. But you will have her CV
3 and you can look at her eminent qualifications and all of her
4 research on how people can develop memories of things that
5 didn't happen or remember things differently from the way they
6 actually were and how people can develop false memories.

7 And what she told you is that memory is malleable.
8 Memory weakens over time. And memory can be impacted and
9 corrupted by post-event contamination. She talked about times
10 when false memories can be planted in a person's mind, and that
11 the person could then become just as emotional about these
12 created memories as other individuals who truly had the
13 experiences. And contrary to what most people think, memory
14 doesn't work like a recording device; you can't just push play
15 and it all comes back later.

16 Memories can be impacted by post-event information
17 that comes from all different sources. Interviews that use
18 words that are suggestive. You'll recall that she talked about
19 the study where they used the word "smashed." How fast was the
20 car going when it smashed into the other car; and that people
21 gave much higher rates of speed when they heard that word,
22 because it was suggested to them that it was fast.

23 And then think back about how none of the interviews
24 of these accusers were recorded and we don't have transcripts
25 and we don't know the words that were used in those interviews.

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 We know that the accusers talked to their lawyers; we
2 know that many talked to the media; we know that they saw the
3 media; we know that they talked to other accusers; we know that
4 they all had at least a plan of recovering money through their
5 lawsuits and the victims' compensation fund, and that works on
6 your memories.

7 She told you about the three different stages of
8 memories, and that one thing that can happen is what's called
9 autosuggestion. Basically, when people suggest things to
10 themselves, and then they start to remember things and they
11 start to draw inferences, and then they start to feel as if
12 those things are actual memories. But memories come from the
13 acquisition of the event, the retention of the information, and
14 the retrieval. And post-event information can impact a memory
15 at any one of those stages.

16 If you're under the influence of drugs or alcohol at
17 the time you acquire the memory, that affects the quality of
18 the formation of the memory in the first place. The older a
19 memory gets, the more susceptible it is to post-event
20 information. A little bit can come in, the older the memory
21 is, and it can cause a contamination or a distortion or a
22 supplementation. And news media in whatever form can include
23 re-dramatization.

24 Sometimes there's pressure to provide more and more
25 details about some particular subject. I don't know. Like the

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 government asking you four times, Are you sure there was no
2 sexual abuse in New Mexico? Could that possibly be pressure to
3 give more information that sometimes leads to corrupt memories?

4 And she explained to you that memory is a constructive
5 process; that we often takes bits and pieces of experiences and
6 try to put them together to make sense, which is exactly what
7 Annie Farmer told you she did when she figured out the April
8 1996 date and she happened to be wrong.

9 How vivid a memory seems does not make it more
10 accurate. She talked about false memories being planted in
11 people's minds. You remember things like being attacked by a
12 vicious animal or nearly drowning; and that people can have
13 rich false memories that have been planted in their minds. She
14 also talked about confidence malleability; that the more
15 confident a person gets if they receive confirming information
16 like from their lawyer or another accuser, then they go up in
17 their confidence.

18 Dr. Loftus has consulted with the Department of
19 Justice, the bosses of these prosecutors; the FBI, the people
20 who interviewed in this case; and the Secret Service. So to be
21 telling you that she's only a defense witness is simply not
22 true. And if the government had called her, she would have
23 told them the same information, it's just information they
24 didn't want to hear.

25 You remember the government asking questions of Dr.

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 Dubin to try to suggest that maybe her memory wasn't so good
2 because of a medical condition. But she was quite firm in the
3 one thing, she absolutely did not take part in sexual abuse
4 with Jane.

5 Science is science. And Dr. Loftus, Professor Loftus,
6 would say the same thing, no matter who called her to the
7 stand. You can look at her CV. You can't compare it to Dr.
8 Rocchio's, because Dr. Rocchio's is not in evidence. But Dr.
9 Rocchio told you she hasn't done any research. And you don't
10 even need an expert to tell you some basic facts. Memory fades
11 over time, manipulation can alter memories, and money is a
12 powerful manipulator.

13 Any claim to truth must be accompanied by the proof.
14 And here, these women are claiming to be telling you true
15 stories and true memories; but in many cases, if not most, they
16 are contradicted by the actual documents we have from the time
17 period.

18 I'm going to talk to you a little bit about the law,
19 as the government did.

20 As you heard, Ghislaine Maxwell is charged with six
21 counts, and you're going to have the opportunity to acquit her
22 of all of those. I'm going to walk you through this.

23 Counts One, Three, and Five are the ones that accuse
24 her of participating in a conspiracy. A conspiracy is an
25 agreement between two or more people to violate the law. It's

LCKVMAX6

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 not mere presence around someone else who is violating the law;
2 it's an agreement to help that person. It's different from an
3 actual violation yourself of the law. And Counts Two, Four,
4 and Six are the ones that charge her with actually being the
5 one to violate the law. So it's a little confusing, but these
6 come in pairs, as you see from the color coding.

7 One and Two are the conspiracy and the substantive
8 count for enticement or persuading someone under the age of 17
9 to travel to engage in a legal sexual activity. Count One is
10 the conspiracy, Count Two is the substantive count.

11 The same is true for Counts Three and Four; they are
12 the substantive and the conspiracy counts for transportation.

13 And Five and Six are the same for sex trafficking.

14 It's very important that you understand that certain
15 counts relate to certain accusers. So, for example, Counts Two
16 and Four are entirely based on Jane. If you don't believe
17 Jane, you just acquit Ms. Maxwell right away of Counts Two and
18 Four.

19 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax7

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1
2 MS. MENNINGER: And Count Six only relates to Carolyn.
3 So if you don't believe Carolyn or if you have a reasonable
4 doubt about Carolyn adding Ghislaine to the story, you just
5 acquit her on that charge, as well.

6 And there are numerous reasons why you should have
7 substantial reasonable doubt in this case.

8 But before I get to that, let's talk about conspiracy.

9 Judge Nathan will explain to you that conspiracy is an
10 agreement to accomplish something unlawful, and the
11 government's theory is that Epstein and Maxwell agreed that
12 they would encourage or arrange for females under the age of 17
13 to travel to New York to engage in sex acts with Epstein, and
14 New York law provides that an adult of someone under 17 cannot
15 legally consent to have sexual contact.

16 So, what did Annie Farmer and Kate and Carolyn have to
17 do with that violation of New York law? Nothing. Carolyn
18 never went to New York. She never traveled anywhere. Any
19 suggestion that she was asked to travel was something she added
20 in the last year after her first lawsuits were over and her
21 money was gone and she was adding Ghislaine Maxwell to the
22 case.

23 Annie came to New York through no involvement of
24 Ghislaine Maxwell. As she told you, quite clearly on the stand
25 and after she went to New Mexico, nobody asked her to travel

LCKCmax7

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 anywhere. While she went to New York the one time before New
2 Mexico, Ghislaine Maxwell wasn't there, had nothing to do with
3 it. And Kate, as we've already told you, nothing illegal
4 happened with Kate.

5 Counts Five and Six, the sex trafficking counts, these
6 are the counts that relate to Carolyn.

7 Now, the government wants you to believe that because
8 Ghislaine Maxwell traveled to Palm Beach at some points in that
9 period and helped to manage Epstein's properties, she was the
10 one orchestrating massages, despite the fact that you've seen
11 no proof of that, no message pads, no phone records, nothing.
12 You've seen Sarah Kellen was there.

13 The Judge is also going to explain to you that mere
14 presence is not enough -- mere presence at the scene of the
15 alleged crime does not, by itself, does not make someone a
16 member of the conspiracy. And she will also, I expect,
17 instruct you that knowledge without participation in the
18 unlawful plan is also not sufficient to convict. In other
19 words, you cannot conclude Ghislaine was a member of the
20 conspiracy simply because she visited Palm Beach in the 2000s,
21 nor can you convict her because you have some nagging sense
22 that she must have known. She must have known is not proof
23 beyond a reasonable doubt.

24 The law presumes, as you sit here today, as I stand
25 here today, that Ghislaine Maxwell is innocent of all the

LCKCmax7

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 charges against her. And Ms. Maxwell does not have to prove
2 her innocence to you. You already know it to be true as you
3 sit there. It is the government's burden to prove each element
4 of each of these crimes beyond a reasonable doubt. Those of
5 you that have worked in regulatory jobs or banking or finance
6 will be familiar with regulations and how there are knit-picky
7 rules. The Judge is going to give you the law. That's the law
8 that you'll follow. You'll get those instructions and you need
9 to follow those rules, each one of them, very carefully,
10 because if the government has failed to prove any element of
11 any count beyond a reasonable doubt, no matter how silly or
12 small it might seem to you, the Judge will instruct you, you
13 have to acquit. You only convict if you find the burden of
14 proof has been met as to each element of each count.

15 Reasonable doubt is something I've talked a little bit
16 about and the Judge, I expect, will give you the instruction
17 that you see here. So I'm just trying to point out a couple of
18 pieces of it, but obviously you'll need to read the entire
19 instruction and listen to all of the Judge's instructions
20 because they're all important. But when you're weighing the
21 credibility of the witnesses that you've heard from and you're
22 evaluating whether the government has met its burden of proof
23 as to each element of each count, you are to weigh whether or
24 not the government has satisfied their proof beyond a
25 reasonable doubt.

LCKCmax7

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 And what does reasonable doubt mean? I expect the
2 Judge will instruct you reasonable doubt is a doubt based in
3 reason in arising out of the evidence in the case or the lack
4 of evidence. It is a doubt that a reasonable person has after
5 carefully weighing all of the evidence in the case or the lack
6 of evidence. So every witness you didn't hear from, every
7 piece of document that you didn't see, every piece of evidence
8 that you didn't see, you can take that into account and decide
9 whether the government has met their awesome burden. In other
10 words, if you have such a doubt as would reasonably cause a
11 prudent person to hesitate to act in matters of importance in
12 his or her own affairs, then you have a reasonable doubt, and
13 in that circumstance, it is your duty to acquit Ms. Maxwell of
14 that charge.

15 What does that mean? It means something different to
16 every person. But what is a matter of importance in your own
17 affairs or someone else's own affairs? Is it whether to get
18 heart surgery? Is it whether to buy a house? What major
19 events in your own affairs do you need highly trustworthy
20 information to make a decision about?

21 And then ask whether the quality of the evidence, the
22 lack of the evidence, the evidence that you did get, the
23 evidence that you didn't get was of such a standard that you
24 would not hesitate to act in a matter of importance to
25 yourself. Would you hesitate to act in a matter of importance

LCKCmax7

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 to yourself based on the word of Carolyn? Would you hesitate
2 to act in a matter of importance to yourself based on the word
3 of Jane? Did they demonstrate to you that their stories were
4 credible? I submit to you that they did not.

5 All of these witnesses have changed their stories many
6 times. Why? Was it a lack of memory? Was it a motivation to
7 change their story? And each change of story should cause you
8 to hesitate to act, and should evidence, the lack of proof
9 beyond a reasonable doubt.

10 There are many reasons to hesitate and many reasons to
11 doubt. You have to use your common sense. Money is a powerful
12 motivating factor. The time that has elapsed has made it very
13 difficult for people to go back and reconstruct their memories,
14 and their memories are highly flawed. How easy is it for
15 someone to get on the stand and say, okay, well, I know I told
16 you many times that I don't remember her being in the room, but
17 now I do. It's pretty easy because no one is here to confront
18 her. Epstein is dead. No one can say that they're lying
19 except asking them questions and pointing out that their
20 stories are not accurate.

21 But Eva and Michelle came in and they told you they
22 absolutely did not participate in sexual orgies that Jane said
23 they did. That should make you hesitate about all of Jane's
24 story.

25 Carolyn swore many times to tell the truth, and all of

LCKCmax7

Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 her depositions and in her civil complaints and then she added
2 someone else decades later. That should make you hesitate.

3 They all changed their stories when the Epstein
4 Victims Compensation Fund was opened up. That should make you
5 hesitate.

6 Mr. Alessi turning and looking at you and saying he's
7 going to tell the truth and not telling the truth, that should
8 make you hesitate.

9 The lack of evidence should make you hesitate. Where
10 is one photograph of Ghislaine with any one of these accusers
11 or any underage girl? That should make you hesitate.

12 What are all the rest of those photographs show? That
13 should make you hesitate.

14 The absence of one other employee to come in here
15 should make you hesitate.

16 The absence of relatives who were living in the house,
17 mother and brothers who supposedly saw their sister go over to
18 a middle-aged man's house hundreds of times at the ages of 14,
19 15, and 16, they didn't come here, and that should make you
20 hesitate.

21 No phone records, no thank you notes, no proof that
22 Epstein gave money to Interlochen for Jane, that should make
23 you hesitate.

24 Certainly, if it was a matter of importance to
25 yourself, because I assure you this a matter of great

1 LCKCmax7

2 Summation - Ms. Menninger

1 importance to my client, Ghislaine Maxwell, that hesitation is
2 reasonable doubt.

3 As we have said from the beginning, Ghislaine Maxwell
4 is not Jeffrey Epstein. She's being tried here for being with
5 Jeffrey Epstein. Maybe that was the biggest mistake of her
6 life, but it was not a crime.

7 Please only consider the evidence against her, don't
8 be fooled by the government's smoke in mirrors and big fancy
9 houses and bank accounts. What was the evidence that pertains
10 to her? The evidence on the law, the burden of proof, justice,
11 demand that you acquit Ghislaine Maxwell of every single count
12 with which she is charged.

13 Thank you for your time.

14 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Menninger.

15 Members of the jury, we'll take our short break now,
16 and bearing in mind all my instructions, which continue to
17 apply. When we return, we'll have rebuttal by the government
18 and then I'll give you my charge. Enjoy your break.

19 (Continued on next page)

20

21

22

23

24

25

LCKCmax7

1 (Jury not present)

2 THE COURT: Matters to take up?

3 MR. PAGLIUCA: No, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Ms. Moe?

5 MS. MOE: Yes, your Honor. We would like to make an
6 application, if possible, could we do so when we return from
7 the break? I just want to confer with my colleagues on the
8 particulars of our application with respect to the defense
9 summation. We wanted to look at the transcript in particular.

10 THE COURT: Well, why don't you raise it and then
11 we'll take a short break?

12 MS. MOE: Yes, your Honor. There were a number of
13 points during defense counsel's summations where they referred
14 to facts which are not in evidence and testified to things
15 which are not in evidence. And for that reason, we would
16 respectfully request that the Court give a curative
17 instruction, essentially in reminding the jurors that it's
18 their recollection of the evidence that controls and not what
19 the lawyers say.

20 I think, in particular, there is one example, I
21 believe Ms. Menninger read into the record an email from Kate
22 which the Court expressly precluded and which is not in
23 evidence.

24 THE COURT: What exhibit?

25 MS. MOE: I don't have the number in front of me, but

LCKCmax7

1 they were the emails that Ms. Menninger referenced between Kate
2 and Epstein.

3 THE COURT: Those came in redacted?

4 MS. MOE: Yes, completely redacted without any
5 content.

6 MS. MENNINGER: Judge, it came in the testimony. The
7 emails themselves were redacted. The content was part of the
8 testimony.

9 THE COURT: I think that's right.

10 MS. MOE: I don't believe the emails -- were offered,
11 were offered for the truth. That's one of the reasons we
12 wanted to check the transcript.

13 THE COURT: The curative instruction that you're
14 asking for is in the charge, precisely the words that you just
15 indicated, that it's, what's in evidence and not counsel's
16 arguments. The jury is going to get that. It's in the charge.

17 MS. MOE: Yes, your Honor. Our concern was that there
18 were a number of items throughout the closing that were
19 mischaracterizations of testimony or inaccurate or references
20 to items that were not in evidence. That's why we're
21 requesting it now, although I understand the Court's point that
22 the jury will be charged this afternoon and that language is in
23 the charge.

24 The second issue that we wanted to raise is, as the
25 Court will recall from pretrial litigation, we moved to

LCKCmax7

1 preclude any arguments to the jury that the defendant was a
2 substitute for Jeffrey Epstein. That's the exact argument that
3 Ms. Menninger advanced to the jury in closing, which the Court
4 precluded.

5 THE COURT: Not with respect to motivation for the
6 witness's testimony. There is a reason I gave -- for both
7 sides, you've both now done this, which is basically to reargue
8 sort of precisely the line that I crafted and ruled on in my
9 pretrial rulings. I have maintained those lines throughout
10 trial with a couple of exceptions where there was a little bit
11 of door opening and the like, but I don't have in mind, yes,
12 they made the argument that Epstein's death is a factor in the
13 motivation for the changing the stories, which is what I said
14 was -- to the extent that arguments go to the credibility of
15 witnesses, that's where I drew the line.

16 MS. MOE: Yes, your Honor. I was referring to the
17 argument early in Ms. Menninger's summation about I took to
18 mean an argument that the government was substituting
19 Ms. Maxwell for Mr. Epstein. Again, we want to review the
20 transcript, but that's how we heard it.

21 There were also arguments throughout summation about
22 the victims doing that, which I understand the Court has ruled
23 on that there is a difference between those two, but we think
24 where that crosses the line is an argument where the government
25 is doing that.

LCKCmax7

1 THE COURT: I don't recall hearing a crossing of the
2 line, but I'll step down and you can point me to language and,
3 again, they're about to get the instruction, so I'm not going
4 to give an instruction that's a repetition about what I'm about
5 to instruct them. We'll break for five.

6 (Recess)

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. ROHRBACH: Your Honor, with the benefit of the
9 break, the government has honed its request and basis for it.
10 So, as Ms. Moe said, we think there was several assertions
11 which were not in the record, but the clearest one perhaps is
12 Ms. Menninger's arguments about the way interviews were
13 conducted in this case.

14 The Court will recall we had extended discussion about
15 that when Special Agent Young was on the stand on Friday,
16 Ms. Comey asked a question and the Court said that if
17 Ms. Comey, in fact, asked the question and got the answer, it
18 opened the door to evidence about how the interviews were
19 conducted.

20 THE COURT: It was referencing the cross examination
21 of the witnesses themselves regarding how they were questioned
22 in the interviews and the prior interviews. That was the
23 evidentiary basis for those comments. Overruled.

24 Anything else?

25 MR. ROHRBACH: Nothing else from the government, your

LCKCmax7

1 Honor.

2 THE COURT: Anything from the defense?

3 MS. STERNHEIM: No. Thank you.

4 THE COURT: You can set up and we'll bring in the
5 jury.

6 MS. COMEY: Thank you, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Counsel, you have ready the exhibits to go
8 back to the jury?

9 MR. ROHRBACH: Yes, your Honor. They've now been
10 reviewed by both sides, I assume, Mr. Everdell?

11 MR. EVERDELL: I just turned them over to the
12 government, so we've got everything ready.

13 THE COURT: I think you're still finalizing the
14 exhibit list, that's fine, because I want it to go being I'll
15 mark it as a Court Exhibit once you've finalized it.

16 MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor.

17 MR. ROHRBACH: Yes, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: 35, correct, Ms. Comey?

19 MS. COMEY: Yes, your Honor.

20 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 (Jury present)

2 THE COURT: Everyone may be seated. Thank you,
3 members of the jury. We'll now hear a short rebuttal argument
4 by the government.

5 Ms. Comey, you may proceed.

6 MS. COMEY: Thank you, your Honor.

7 I want to start off by making one thing very clear,
8 this case is about that woman, it's about the children that she
9 targeted, the steps that she took to serve those children up to
10 be abused. It's about her own participation in that abuse when
11 she touched Jane's breasts and Carolyn's breasts and Annie's
12 breasts. This case is about Ghislaine Maxwell, the crimes she
13 committed.

14 The defense just spent a whole lot of energy trying to
15 get you to think about anyone other than the defendant, trying
16 to get you to look away from the massive amount of evidence
17 that Ms. Moe walked you through this morning.

18 Now, to be clear, the defense doesn't have to do
19 anything at all at this trial. As Judge Nathan has instructed
20 you, the government bears the burden of proof and we embrace
21 that burden, but when the defense makes arguments like they
22 just did, it is perfectly appropriate for you to think about
23 whether those arguments make any sense at all and it is
24 perfectly appropriate for the government to respond to those
25 arguments. Here, the defense's theories just do not hold up.

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 I'm not going to address all the stuff you just heard, I'm
2 going to focus primarily on the core of the defense's arguments
3 here, that you can't believe the four women you heard from,
4 Jane, Kate, Carolyn, and Annie. Never mind that they
5 corroborate each other, never mind the mountain of evidence
6 that backs those four up, the defense is desperate for you not
7 to believe these women, so they're throwing up anything they
8 can think of at the wall to see if anything will stick, but if
9 you think about those arguments for just a little bit, you'll
10 see they don't hold any water.

11 Let's walk through them.

12 The defense tried to suggest that even if Jeffrey
13 Epstein did engage in sexual contact with Jane, Kate, Carolyn,
14 and Annie, Maxwell didn't know about it. She just had no idea
15 that her boyfriend and best friend for more than a decade had a
16 thing for teenage girls. Ladies and gentlemen, that suggestion
17 is borderline laughable. Of course she knew. The defendant
18 ran every aspect of Jeffrey Epstein's life for the better part
19 of a decade. She traveled with him constantly. She shared a
20 bed with him, inside a bedroom they can't get to without
21 walking past a photo of a young girl pulling down her
22 underwear. She had a bathroom off of that master bedroom. She
23 referred to her homes as his home. She was the lady of the
24 house. So of course she knew what was going on. Of course she
25 knew that her boyfriend, when he was spending time with teenage

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 girls like Jane, like Carolyn, like Annie, like Virginia
2 Roberts, she knew that he was doing it because he was attracted
3 to them, because he wanted to have sex with them. The
4 suggestion that she didn't know borders on the absurd.

5 Think about that Palm Beach house filled with
6 sexualized photos of girls around his desk, in the bedroom, in
7 the closet where the massage table was kept, and think about
8 that list of masseuses. Who needs that many masseuses? You
9 saw that list from Government Exhibit 52-G. And who needs that
10 many massages? Those were so obviously a ruse for sex. The
11 defendant clearly knew what was going on, and she was
12 complicit. She was in the room. So of course she knew. That
13 \$30 million is not just house-manager, hold-my-money-for-me
14 money, it is, we-molested-kids-together money. The defendant
15 was a crucial part of this scheme.

16 Now the defense talked a lot about what you don't have
17 here, what's not in evidence in this case. See that for what
18 it is, a distraction. It's a desperate attempt to get you to
19 think about anything other than the powerful testimony you
20 heard during this trial from Jane, Kate, Carolyn, and Annie.

21 Just a few points on this particular argument.

22 First, Ms. Menninger talked a lot about where are the
23 photographs or where are the hard drives. I expect that Judge
24 Nathan is going to instruct you that the government is not
25 required to use any particular investigative techniques. What

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 is before you is whether the evidence that you heard in this
2 courtroom proves the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable
3 doubt, and it absolutely does.

4 Second, I expect that Judge Nathan will instruct you
5 that any witnesses who did not testify here were equally
6 available or equally unavailable to both sides, the government
7 and the defense. So when Ms. Menninger stands up here and says
8 where is Virginia Roberts, where is Jane's mom, where are the
9 employees, keep that in mind, it's just a distraction.

10 Third, your common sense tells you that the sexual
11 abuse of children is not the kind of crime that leaves paper
12 evidence. The victims are the evidence. Their testimony,
13 their consistent accounts of Maxwell and Epstein and how they
14 operated, that's how you know they were in those massage rooms.
15 People who prey on children do not leave behind documents
16 admitting to what they did. The defendant was not walking out
17 of those massage rooms writing a memo to herself saying, today
18 I touched Jane's breasts. But you do have powerful
19 corroboration from three different victims who each told you
20 compellingly similar accounts of their experiences, three
21 different victims who remember the defendant touching their
22 breasts, three different victims describing how the defendant
23 used massage as a technique to move into sexual abuse.

24 And by the way, you do have documents to back up their
25 testimony. Ms. Moe walked you through them. You've got the

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 contact book, the message pads, the FedEx records, you have
2 evidence on top of the witnesses.

3 The defense spent most of their time attacking Jane,
4 Kate, Carolyn, and Annie, and now it's obvious why they did
5 that. Those four witnesses gave you the most damning testimony
6 in this trial. If you believe those women, then that's it, the
7 defendant is guilty. So of course the defendant is spewing out
8 anything they can think of to attack these women.

9 I'm not going to respond to every single sideshow that
10 Ms. Menninger tried to lead you down about each of these women,
11 but let me just address a few of the most obviously false ones.

12 First, there is literally no evidence in this record
13 of an age limit at the Epstein Victim Compensation Fund.
14 That's something Ms. Menninger just made up. There is nothing
15 in the record to say you have to be a particular age to put in
16 a claim to that fund.

17 Second, the suggestion that Annie was 17, not 16 when
18 she went to Santa Fe. Three different witnesses, Annie, her
19 mother, and her high school boyfriend all remember that Annie
20 took that Thailand trip the summer between her junior and her
21 senior year. David Mulligan remembered she had just gotten
22 back when they started dating and that she went after they met
23 at junior prom. They didn't just make that up.

24 And remember, this trip to Santa Fe with Annie took
25 place close in time to the trip around Christmas time to New

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 York. Epstein wanted to get her back in his clutches as
2 quickly as he could, and he wanted his right-hand woman there
3 to help groom her. That's how you know it happened in '96.

4 And this thing about flight records, also a
5 distraction. If you want to take a look for yourself, go to
6 Government Exhibit 662 at page 40, look at the flight on March
7 29th, 1996. You'll see Jeffrey Epstein flying to Santa Fe, New
8 Mexico. The next flight is more than a week later, April 8th,
9 1996. Maxwell is on that next flight with Epstein. That span
10 of time of more than a week covers a weekend. Take a look at
11 that, ladies and gentlemen.

12 And third, Ms. Menninger talked a lot about Jane's
13 testimony on cross examination. And to give you an example of
14 some supposedly "aha" moment, she showed you a question that
15 she asked Jane that was written like a riddle. Remember that
16 question, it was, you don't recall Maxwell and Epstein being in
17 the room, correct, and Jane answered no. What that means is,
18 no, that's not correct. Ms. Menninger was trying to mislead
19 you about what the answer to that riddle-like question meant
20 just like she was trying to mislead you about what nothing has
21 ever been difficult for me meant on that Interlochen
22 application. Don't be distracted by that nonsense.

23 I want to walk through the main arguments at the core
24 of what the defense has said to you today about these
25 witnesses.

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 First, the defense tries to argue that Jane, Kate,
2 Carolyn, and Annie are all misremembering what happened to
3 them. They concede that the sexual contact happened with
4 Epstein, but the theory is, Maxwell just had no part in it,
5 wasn't involved. So the theory is that all four of these women
6 had a massive false memory event that just happen to include
7 details of the defendant grooming them in the same way using
8 the same playbook. Your common sense tells you that didn't
9 happen. The defense's own expert, Professor Loftus, confirmed
10 that the core memories of trauma are solid. Peripheral details
11 make it a little fuzzy, but those main memories, those events
12 that are at the center are implanted. Some things you never
13 forget because they're seared into your brain forever.

14 You remember keen moments, moments that change your
15 life, like Jane remembering the defendant touching her breasts,
16 Carolyn remembering the defendant touching her breasts, Annie
17 remembering the defendant touching her breasts, Kate
18 remembering the defendant standing right next to her in the
19 doorway the first time she sees Jeffrey Epstein naked, frozen
20 with fear.

21 There was nothing peripheral about the defendant. She
22 was the core memory, she was essential to this scheme. And to
23 distract you from the remarkable clarity with which these women
24 remember those core details, the defense primarily points to
25 how Jane and Carolyn have described aspects of their

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 experiences differently over time. They mixed up details,
2 couldn't remember exactly when certain things took place. But
3 if you think about your own lives and your own memories, you
4 will see that difficulty remembering certain things doesn't
5 mean that they didn't happen, doesn't mean that you don't
6 remember the core. Jane and Carolyn were both abused many,
7 many times over a period of years. The abuse became routine,
8 the same sickening process each time, these were recurring
9 events.

10 Now imagine you were asked to recall a recurring event
11 from years ago in your own lives. Say something like a
12 holiday, like Thanksgiving. There is a routine you follow each
13 year, the same food, the same people attend. That event stands
14 out in your mind because it's significant, it's a holiday, but
15 you won't necessarily remember the specific dinner conversation
16 you had each year. You're sure you had turkey because that
17 happened every time, but some details are just not going to
18 stand out to you because essentially the same thing happens
19 every year.

20 Now what might stand out is when a routine gets
21 broken. Say one year a neighbor came over to join who was
22 unexpected or you switched up salt and sugar and a pie got
23 totally ruined. You'll remember that different thing, but you
24 might not be able to remember which Thanksgiving that thing
25 happened. Did the neighbor come when I was 14, or 15, or 16?

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 What year was it that I ruined that pie and it was salty? Just
2 because you can't remember exactly how old you were, which
3 Thanksgiving it was, does that mean it didn't happen? Of
4 course not.

5 The same is true of Jane and Carolyn. The abuse
6 stands out in their mind because it was formative, but it
7 happened so often that the details run together. They remember
8 certain things like when someone else was in the room or going
9 to get to see The Lion King during one of the trips, but those
10 can be hard to place in time because of how frequent and
11 similar their experiences were.

12 Now, by contrast, Annie had a much smaller window of
13 interactions with Maxwell and Epstein. So for her, many more
14 details stand out very vividly. It's less like Thanksgiving
15 for her and more like a sweet 16 party, something unique that
16 only happens once, so it stands out much more clearly in every
17 detail.

18 For Jane and Carolyn, even though some of those
19 peripheral details got jumbled, they have solid memories of the
20 core events. And you know from the defense's own expert that
21 when an event you're remembering is traumatic, not just some
22 holiday, the memory is going to be even stronger.

23 Like Jane, she remembers Maxwell being in the room.
24 The presence of a woman that she had looked up to like an older
25 sister during this horrifying sexual abuse is a traumatic core

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 event. And you know Jane was 14 when she met Maxwell and
2 Epstein. She vividly remembers being 14 years old when that
3 abuse began. It was within a year of her father dying. That's
4 an anchoring way for her to hold onto that memory and know she
5 was 14. It's also what she told Matt a decade ago long before
6 this trial. And there are documents to confirm she's right.
7 You saw the Interlochen records putting her and the defendant
8 and Epstein all at Interlochen the same summer of 1994. You
9 saw the flight records putting Maxwell and Epstein there that
10 summer. It is so clear that Jane was 14 when she met these
11 predators.

12 Now the defense tries to wiggle out of that by
13 suggesting that Jane's estimation that she was approximately 15
14 on Mike Wallace's birthday somehow means that she got that date
15 wrong. Ladies and gentlemen, which would stand out more in
16 your mind, how old you were on Mike Wallace's birthday or how
17 old you were the first time a middle-aged man molested you? It
18 is obvious that Jane remembers these core events clearly, and
19 that is what matters.

20 And hypothetically, let's just say the defense was
21 right. Let's just say that she got the timing of her first
22 meeting wrong, that it was actually that last summer when she
23 turned 16 in 1996, and you know that she knew them by the time
24 she was 16 because you saw the flight records putting her on
25 those planes with defendant and Epstein going to New York when

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 she was 16. So the best argument the defense has is she was
2 16, not 14, when the abuse happened. That is not a defense.
3 It is still illegal. It is not a defense to say, oh, no, no,
4 no, she was 16, not 14, when I touched her breasts. It's still
5 a crime.

6 Now Carolyn. Carolyn vividly remembers the first time
7 she met the defendant. You saw her correct defense counsel on
8 cross examination. Remember, he tried to skip over the part of
9 meeting her and she said no, no, you forgot about when I met
10 Maxwell. That first day was a scarring memory for Carolyn, and
11 she remembers Maxwell as a fixture in her experiences at the
12 Palm Beach house, like the stuffing at Thanksgiving, there
13 every time.

14 The defense is very focused on the peripheral details
15 that got mixed up for Carolyn between her earlier statements
16 and her trial testimony more than a decade later. And they
17 harped a lot on her memory of seeing a photo of Maxwell
18 pregnant in the massage room.

19 Ladies and gentlemen, I'd encourage you to take a look
20 at Government Exhibits 286 and 287. Those show pictures that
21 were on the wall in the closet where the massage table was kept
22 in the Palm Beach master bathroom. You look at those and
23 you'll see that there appear to be three different pictures
24 that appear to show a pregnant woman in a two-piece swimsuit.
25 So what if Carolyn mistakenly thought that one of those showed

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 Maxwell? What do you think stands out in her memory more, who
2 the pregnant person in that picture was or the middle-aged man
3 who was masturbating and touching her breasts inside that room?
4 That is the definition of a peripheral detail.

5 And why is the defense focusing so much on these
6 peripheral details? Because they desperately want you to
7 ignore the fact that Carolyn has consistently remembered
8 Maxwell as one of the people involved in her experiences at
9 that house. They want you to forget that she mentioned Maxwell
10 not once, but twice in her 2009 deposition. Without prompting,
11 Carolyn named Maxwell as one of the two people who would call
12 her to schedule these massages with Jeffrey Epstein. She named
13 her as one of the two people she would talk to when she called
14 herself begging to come over because she needed the money. And
15 Carolyn told Sean that she met a woman named Maxwell, whose
16 first name she couldn't pronounce.

17 Now, back then there was no reason for Carolyn to go
18 into more detail about what was happening with Maxwell,
19 especially not in a lawsuit that was about Sarah and Epstein.
20 But when she was asked more detailed questions, she remembered
21 the core events, and she'd already mentioned Maxwell, without
22 prompting, long before there could be anything to contaminate
23 her memory.

24 Next, the defense suggests that somehow these clear
25 memories of Maxwell got implanted into the brains of Jane and

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 Kate and Carolyn and Annie. The defense seems to suggest that
2 this implantation happened from the media, greedy civil
3 lawyers, and the FBI. None of those actually make sense and
4 not one has support in this record.

5 Starting with the media, you heard absolutely nothing
6 at this trial about any of these witnesses consuming media in
7 this case. You heard that Jane and Annie gave some interviews
8 themselves, you heard that Kate also gave an interview, but
9 there is no evidence that any of these different witnesses saw
10 each other's interviews, they weren't asked about it, they
11 didn't say they did. There is no evidence that any witness saw
12 each other's media or anything else about this case in the
13 news. This is a distraction.

14 Turning to the lawyers. There is not a shred of
15 evidence that a group of lawyers got together, made up a story
16 about Maxwell, and then implanted it into these witnesses'
17 minds. Remember, each witness had a different lawyer. So for
18 this theory to work, four different attorneys had to come up
19 with this story and they separately manipulate their clients
20 into perjuring themselves at a federal trial all so they could
21 get a cut of the Epstein Victim Compensation Fund. That makes
22 no sense for a bunch of different reasons.

23 For one thing, Annie told you that her lawyer is pro
24 bono, working for free. She doesn't get a cut of whatever
25 Annie gets from the fund, so why would she need to make up a

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 story about the defendant? And it makes no sense for the other
2 lawyers to push this supposedly made up story about the
3 defendant when they've already gotten paid. Remember, the
4 payments have all been made, the lawyers got their money long
5 before this trial started.

6 And you know these lawyers didn't just implant the
7 defendant into these victims' minds. Jane, Carolyn, and Annie
8 all talked about Maxwell, remembered her as part of their
9 experiences a long, long time ago. They all included her in
10 their accounts before there was some supposed incentive for a
11 payday. Annie mentioned it to Dave Mulligan, her high school
12 boyfriend, and the FBI in 2006. She told both of them how
13 Maxwell touched her breasts during a massage. Jane told Matt,
14 her boyfriend from a decade ago, about the woman who would make
15 her feel comfortable in the room. Carolyn mentioned meeting
16 the woman with short black hair and an accent to the FBI in
17 2007. She mentioned Maxwell twice in her deposition, and she
18 told Sean that she saw Maxwell at the house at that time, way
19 before there was a compensation fund or any incentive to add in
20 Maxwell if it wasn't true.

21 And that timing is crucial here because it completely
22 guts the whole defense theory. The defense suggests that some
23 lawyers made everything up about Maxwell to get money, but even
24 if adding Maxwell in to get money could get you money, which is
25 not true, there is no universe in which that was the case when

LCKCmax7

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 Epstein was alive. Before he died in 2019, Epstein was the big
2 fish, he's who you go after if you're going to make up a story.
3 So all of the things that these witnesses said about Maxwell
4 before 2019 were not part of some frame-job for the defendant.
5 Even under the defense theory there was zero reason to make up
6 her involvement when these disclosed years ago.

7 (Continued on next page)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 MS. COMEY: Finally, there is this, frankly, desperate
2 suggestion that the FBI manipulated these witnesses; asked
3 leading questions to fit a narrative.

4 Let me be very clear here. There is not one shred of
5 evidence to support that in this case. Ms. Menninger seemed to
6 improvise, ad-libbed, made up, some theoretical questions that
7 she'd like to think that the FBI asked some of these witnesses
8 in their meetings with the government. But you didn't hear
9 anything about that on the witness stand or the exhibits in
10 this case. What you did hear was Special Agent Young. She
11 told you how she values being ethical. She told you it matters
12 to her that a victim's memory is her memory.

13 Not a single witness at this trial suggested for even
14 a moment that the FBI told them what to say. Remember, every
15 single witness who was asked told you flat out, the only thing
16 the governed asked of them was to tell the truth. And you know
17 that's what they did here.

18 Really the whole memory thing makes no sense at all.
19 There is no way that Jane, Kate, Carolyn, and Annie just
20 misremembered the defendant's core role in abusing them. So
21 what does that leave the defense with? They are all liars. In
22 order for the defense to be right, for the defendant not to
23 have known about the abuse, for the defendant not to have
24 participated in it, witness after witness after witness must
25 have lied to you. Jane and Kate and Carolyn and Annie must

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 have just stacked lie on top of lie on top of lie. That
2 doesn't make any sense for a whole host of reasons.

3 First, if these women are lying, then that means all
4 of the witnesses who backed them up are lying too. The
5 ex-boyfriends, Matt, Dave, Shawn, they must be lying. Juan
6 Alessi must be lying. That's simply nonsensical for the
7 ex-boyfriends. Matt, Dave, and Shawn have nothing to gain from
8 testifying here. They have no reason whatsoever to lie. No
9 motive. Why would they come here and lie to you?

10 The same goes for Juan Alessi. The defense spent a
11 lot of time trying to dirty Alessi up. You know why they did
12 that? It's because his testimony is incredibly powerful
13 evidence that backs up so much of what these other witnesses
14 say. But their arguments about him are really just silly.
15 They spent time talking about the money and the gun.

16 Remember, Mr. Alessi told you himself he stole money
17 from Jeffrey Epstein and he paid it back. Some police report
18 says that he was also looking for a gun. So what? Does that
19 mean that he would make up an entire story about the defendant?
20 No. And you know he told you the truth because he was
21 corroborated by other evidence. Flight records confirmed that
22 Jane and Virginia flew on Epstein's planes when they were
23 minors, just like he told you. The pilots confirmed that Juan
24 Alessi drove passengers up to the tarmac, just like he told
25 you. The household manual showed you in black and white that

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 Maxwell was exactly who Alessi said she was. And just like the
2 ex-boyfriends, Alessi has no reason to lie to you.

3 Second. Jane, Kate, Carolyn, and Annie have no motive
4 to lie at this trial. The defense's only explanation for why
5 they would perjure themselves on that stand is money. But the
6 facts just do not support that. Remember, the victim
7 compensation fund is finished; the civil cases are done.
8 There's nothing pending. These women have already received
9 millions of dollars. They are not getting a penny more.

10 Now, the defense tried to point you to this
11 stipulation about Jane's lawyer. I'd encourage you to take a
12 look at it. Look at the whole stipulation. You'll see it
13 doesn't change anything I've said. Jane's lawyer told the
14 prosecutor that he remembered telling Jane that testifying
15 would be the morally right thing to do and that it could help
16 her case. But to be clear, that conversation with that
17 prosecutor occurred in 2021, long after Jane's civil case was
18 settled, long after she already received her award from the
19 fund. So there was no case to help. Whatever the lawyer meant
20 by that, there is absolutely no evidence that Jane had any
21 financial incentive to testify at this trial. There's no money
22 to be had. You heard Jane, Kate, Carolyn, and Annie each tell
23 you unequivocally they have no financial stake in the outcome
24 of this trial. This verdict will have zero impact on the money
25 they received.

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 Third. If Jane, Kate, Carolyn, and Annie really
2 believed that making up a story about Maxwell would somehow
3 benefit them, you better believe they would have told much
4 better lies. If they wanted to frame Maxwell, if they thought
5 doing so would somehow benefit her, they would put Maxwell in
6 way deeper. They would have said that Maxwell was in the room
7 every single time Jeffrey Epstein initiated sexual contact.
8 Jane, Carolyn, and Annie would have said that Maxwell
9 participated in all kinds of sex acts: Intercourse, oral sex,
10 you name it. That's not what they did.

11 Remember, Annie was so careful to make clear that the
12 defendant touched the tops of her breasts, but not her nipples.
13 Jane was careful to explain that Maxwell wasn't always in the
14 room, and that the only physical contact she remembers is the
15 defendant touching her breasts.

16 Carolyn described oral sex and intercourse involving
17 two other women, but with Maxwell it was just one time touching
18 her breasts. Her memory is that Maxwell mostly talked with
19 her, called to schedule appointments, invited her to travel.

20 And how about Kate? She never put Maxwell in the room
21 for a single sex act. She just remembered Maxwell walking her
22 to the door and leading her into that sexualized massage. And
23 remember, she said she was 17 when this happened. If she was
24 going to lie about her age, why wouldn't she make herself
25 younger? 16, 15, 14.

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 If these four witnesses wanted to dirty Maxwell up for
2 a huge payday, they would have told way better lies.

3 But, you know, let's just say the defense is right.
4 Let's say these women are lying. Given how consistent their
5 accounts are with each other and with the other witnesses in
6 this case, that would mean that at least eight people -- Jane,
7 Kate, Carolyn, Annie, Dave, Shawn, Matt, and Juan Alessi -- all
8 are part of a massive conspiracy to frame the defendant. And
9 then they just happened to be lucky enough that the FBI had
10 documents to back up what they said: Flight records, FedEx
11 records, message pads, contact book, household manual to back
12 up their lies.

13 Beyond how implausible such a massive conspiracy of
14 eight people to lie in a federal court is, the timing here
15 makes it impossible. Remember, the defense's theory is that
16 the incentive to frame Maxwell arose after Epstein died in
17 2019. So this supposed frame job was hatched in 2019, meaning
18 Carolyn had to get in a time machine, go back to 2009 and
19 sprinkle in a couple references to Maxwell in her deposition.
20 And then Annie had to borrow that time machine, go back to
21 2006, and tell the FBI about how Maxwell touched her breast
22 during a massage.

23 Ladies and gentlemen, that's fiction. You know that
24 did not happen. Annie told the FBI about Maxwell because it
25 was the truth. Carolyn described Maxwell in her deposition

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

1 because it was the truth. There is no massive conspiracy here
2 to frame Ghislaine Maxwell.

3 Finally, if these four witnesses really believed that
4 lying and framing Maxwell would get them more money with the
5 compensation, there is no way they would have testified at this
6 trial. No way. They got million-dollar payouts already. The
7 plan worked. They got the money. Why on earth would they then
8 take the huge risk of perjuring themselves in a federal trial?
9 If all of this was just lies, made up to get money, why would
10 they push their luck after they already reached their goal? If
11 money is all they wanted, they would have walked away as soon
12 as the check cleared.

13 That's not what happened. These women put themselves
14 through the hell of testifying at this trial, even though they
15 have nothing to financially gain. They exposed the darkest,
16 most traumatizing events of their lives to the world at this
17 trial. They sat on that stand and went through excruciating
18 and humiliating cross-examination. Did that look fun? Why
19 would they put themselves through that when they already got
20 millions of dollars? Why would they let themselves be attacked
21 like that? You know why. They told you themselves and you
22 could see it on their faces. They did it for justice, for the
23 hope that the defendant would be held accountable for her role
24 in shattering their lives.

25 The defendant never thought that those teenage girls

LCKVMAX8

Rebuttal - Ms. Comey

would have the strength to report what happened to them. In her eyes, they were just trash, beneath her. Those girls would never stand up to a power couple like Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell. And if they ever did, who would believe them? Who would believe Jane or Kate or Carolyn or Annie over Ghislaine Maxwell and Jeffrey Epstein, who rubbed shoulders with presidents and celebrities and business leaders?

But the defendant didn't count on those teenage girls growing up into the women who testified at this trial; women who would be willing to take that stand and tell the truth about what happened. The defendant didn't count on all four of them coming forward in an avalanche of evidence. And the defendant did not count on the witnesses -- Shawn, Matt, Dave Alessi -- who would come forward and back those women up. And she didn't count on you. She didn't count on a jury who would see past the nonsense that she tried to throw up, who would look at the evidence clear-eyed and see her for the predator that she is.

Ladies and gentlemen, you know what happened here. Four incredibly brave women came forward and told you what happened to them. They opened themselves up and shared their horrifying experiences. Jane, Kate, Carolyn, Annie, they each told you how the defendant played a pivotal role in the worst events of their lives. They corroborated each other and were further corroborated by the evidence in this case. There is no

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 reasonable doubt that the defendant participated in the sexual
2 abuse of underage girls.

3 Now it is time to hold her accountable. If you use
4 your common sense, stay focused on the evidence, and follow
5 Judge Nathan's instructions on the law, then you will reach the
6 only verdict that is consistent with the evidence, the verdict
7 that justice demands: The defendant is guilty.

8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Comey.

9 We will hand out the jury instructions to members of
10 the jury who may read along while I read it to you.

11 Please wait till I direct you.

12 All right. Counsel, are you ready for me to read the
13 charge?

14 MR. ROHRBACH: Yes, your Honor.

15 MS. STERNHEIM: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Members of the jury, you may read
17 along or not, as you like. I'm going to read you the jury
18 instructions. Instructions begin on page 5, which is after the
19 table of contents.

20 Instruction No. 1. Role of the Court.

21 You've now heard all of the evidence in the case, as
22 well as the final arguments of the lawyers for the parties. My
23 duty at this point is to instruct you as to the law. And it's
24 your duty to accept these instructions of law and apply them to
25 the facts as you determine them.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 On these legal matters, you must take the law as I
2 give it to you. Regardless of any opinion that you may have as
3 to what the law may be or ought to be, it would violate your
4 sworn duty to base a verdict upon any view of the law than that
5 which I give you. If an attorney or anyone else at trial has
6 stated a legal principle different from any that I state to you
7 my instructions, it's my instructions that you must follow.

8 You should not single out any instruction alone
9 stating the law, but you should consider my instructions as a
10 whole when you retire to deliberate in the jury room. You may
11 take a copy of these instructions with you into the jury room.

12 Instruction No. 2. Role of the jury.

13 Your role is to pass upon and decide the fact issues
14 that are in the case. You, the members of the jury, are the
15 sole and exclusive judges of the facts. You pass upon the
16 weight of the evidence or lack of evidence, you determine the
17 credibility of the witnesses, you resolve such conflicts as
18 there may be in the testimony, and you draw whatever reasonable
19 inferences you decide to draw solely based on the evidence and
20 from the facts as you've determined them. You must determine
21 the facts based solely on the evidence received in this trial.

22 In determining the facts, you must rely upon your own
23 recollections of the evidence. What the lawyers have said, for
24 instance, in opening statements, in closing arguments, in
25 objections, or in questions is not evidence. You should bear

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 in mind particularly that questions put to witnesses --
2 although they can provide the context -- the questions are not
3 themselves evidence. It's only the answers that are evidence.

4 I remind you also that nothing I have said during the
5 trial or will say during these instructions is evidence.
6 Similarly, the rulings I've made during the trial are not any
7 indication of my views of what your decision should be.

8 The evidence before you consists of the answers given
9 by the witnesses, and the exhibits and stipulations that were
10 received into evidence. If I have sustained an objection to a
11 question or told you to disregard testimony, the answers given
12 by a witness are no longer part of the evidence and may not be
13 considered by you. I'll instruct you at the end of these
14 charges about your ability to request to have testimony read
15 back and your access to other evidence admitted during the
16 trial.

17 Instruction No. 3. Contact with others, social media.
18 During your deliberations, you must not communicate
19 with or provide any information to anyone by any means about
20 this case. You may not use any electronic devices or media
21 such as a telephone, cell phone, smartphone, iPhone,
22 BlackBerry, or computer, the internet or any internet service
23 or any text or instant messaging service or any internet chat
24 room, blog, or website such as Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn,
25 YouTube, Twitter, or Snapchat to communicate to anyone any

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 information about this case or to conduct any research about
2 this case until I accept your verdict. In other words, you
3 cannot talk to anyone on the phone or in person, correspond
4 with anyone or electronically communicate with anyone about
5 this case. You can only discuss the case in the jury room with
6 your fellow jurors during deliberations.

7 Along the same lines, you may not try to access any
8 information about the case or do research on any issue that
9 arose during the trial from any outside source, including
10 dictionaries, reference books, or anything on the internet. In
11 our judicial system, it is important that you are not
12 influenced by anything or anyone outside of this courtroom.
13 Your sworn duty is to decide the case solely and wholly on the
14 evidence that was presented to you in the courtroom.

15 Instruction No. 4. Statements of counsel and Court
16 not evidence; jury's recollection controls.

17 You must determine the facts by relying upon your own
18 recollection of the evidence. The case is not to be decided on
19 the rhetoric of either the attorneys for the government or the
20 attorneys for the defendant. The lawyers' arguments are
21 intended to convince you to draw certain conclusions from the
22 evidence or lack of evidence, and those arguments are
23 important. You should weigh and evaluate them carefully; but
24 you must not confuse them with the evidence. If your
25 recollection of the evidence differs from the statements of the

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 lawyers, follow your recollection.

2 You should draw no inference or conclusion for or
3 against any party by reason of lawyers making objections or my
4 rulings on such objections. Counsel have not only the right,
5 but the duty to make legal objections that they think are
6 appropriate. You should not be swayed against the government
7 or the defendant simply because counsel for either side has
8 chosen to make an objection. Similarly, statements made by
9 counsel when arguing the admissibility of evidence are not to
10 be considered as evidence.

11 If I comment on the evidence during my instructions,
12 do not accept my statements in place of your recollection.
13 Again, it is your recollection that governs. Do not concern
14 yourself with what was said at sidebar conferences or during my
15 discussions with counsel. Those discussions related to rulings
16 of law, which are my duty, and not to matters of fact, which
17 are your duty to determine.

18 At times I may have admonished a witness or directed a
19 witness to be responsive to questions or to keep his or her
20 voice up or to repeat an answer. My instructions were intended
21 only to clarify the presentation of evidence. You should draw
22 no inference or conclusion of any kind, favorable or
23 unfavorable, with respect to any witness or party in the case
24 by reason of any comment, question, or instruction of mine.
25 Nor should you infer that I have any views as to the

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 credibility of any witness, as to the weight of the evidence,
2 or as to how you should decide any issue that is before you.
3 That is entirely your role.

4 Instruction No. 5. Improper considerations.

5 Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence or
6 the lack of evidence; and it is important that you discharge
7 your duties without discrimination. Thus, it would be improper
8 for you to consider any personal feelings you may have about
9 Ms. Maxwell's race, color, religious beliefs, national
10 ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, economic
11 circumstances, or any other such factor. Similarly, it would
12 be improper for you to consider any personal feelings you may
13 have about the race, color religious beliefs, national
14 ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, economic
15 circumstances, or any other similar factor of any other
16 witnesses or anyone else involved in this case.

17 Do not allow yourself to be influenced by personal
18 likes or dislikes, sympathy, prejudice, fear, public opinion,
19 or biases, including unconscious biases. Unconscious biases
20 are stereotypes, attitudes, or preferences that people may
21 consciously reject, but may be expressed without conscious
22 awareness, control, or intention. Like conscious bias,
23 unconscious bias can affect how we evaluate information and
24 make decisions.

25 Finally, it also would be improper for you to allow

LCKVMAX8

Charge

any feelings you might have about the nature of the crimes charged to interfere with your decision-making process. Ms. Maxwell is entitled to a trial free from prejudice; and our judicial system cannot work unless you reach your verdict through a fair and impartial consideration of the evidence.

Instruction No. 6. All parties are equal before the law.

You are to perform the duty of finding the facts without bias or prejudice as to any party. You are to perform your final duty in an attitude of complete fairness and impartiality. The fact that the prosecution is brought in the name of the United States of America entitles the government to no greater consideration than that given to any other party to this litigation. By the same token, the government is entitled to no less consideration. All parties stand as equals at the bar of justice.

Instruction No. 7. Presumption of innocence and burden of proof.

The law presumes the defendant to be innocent of all charges against her. Ms. Maxwell has pleaded not guilty to the charges in the indictment. As a result, the burden is on the government to prove Ms. Maxwell's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as to each charge. This burden never shifts to the defendant for the simple reason that the law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden or duty of

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 testifying, of calling any witness, or locating or producing
2 any evidence. In other words, Ms. Maxwell does not have to
3 prove her innocence. The presumption of innocence was with Ms.
4 Maxwell when the trial began, and remains with Ms. Maxwell
5 unless and until you're convinced that the government has
6 proven her guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as to each charge.

7 Even though Ms. Maxwell has presented evidence in her
8 defense, the presumption of innocence remains with her, and it
9 is not her burden to prove that she is innocent. It's always
10 the government's burden to prove each of the elements of the
11 crimes charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

12 Instruction No. 8. Reasonable doubt.

13 The question that naturally arises is what is a
14 reasonable doubt? What does that phrase mean? The words
15 almost define themselves.

16 A reasonable doubt is a doubt based in reason and
17 arising out of the evidence in the case or the lack of
18 evidence. It is a doubt that a reasonable person has after
19 carefully weighing all of the evidence in the case. Reasonable
20 doubt is a doubt that appeals to your reason, your judgment,
21 your experience, and your common sense. Reasonable doubt is
22 not whim or speculation; it's not an excuse to avoid an
23 unpleasant duty, nor is it sympathy for the defendant.

24 The law in a criminal case is that it is sufficient if
25 the guilt of the defendant is established beyond a reasonable

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 doubt, not beyond all possible doubt. Therefore, if, after a
2 fair and impartial consideration of all of the evidence, you
3 can candidly and honestly say that you do have an abiding
4 belief of Ms. Maxwell's guilt as to any crime charged in this
5 case, such a belief as a prudent person would be willing to act
6 upon in important matters in the personal affairs of his or her
7 own life, then you have no reasonable doubt and, under such
8 circumstances, it is your duty to convict Ms. Maxwell of the
9 particular crime in question.

10 On the other hand, if, after a fair and impartial
11 consideration of all of the evidence, you can candidly and
12 honestly say that you are not satisfied with Ms. Maxwell's
13 guilt as to any charge, that you do not have an abiding belief
14 of her guilt as to that charge, in other words, if you have
15 such doubt as would reasonably cause a prudent person to
16 hesitate in acting in matters of importance in his or her own
17 affairs, then you have a reasonable doubt and, in that
18 circumstance, it is your duty to acquit Ms. Maxwell of that
19 charge.

20 Instruction No. 9. The indictment.

21 The defendant, Ghislaine Maxwell, has been formally
22 charged in what is called an indictment. As I instructed you
23 at the outset of the trial, the indictment is simply a charge
24 or accusation. It's not evidence; it's not proof of Ms.
25 Maxwell's guilt. It creates no presumption and it permits no

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 inference that Ms. Maxwell is guilty. Ms. Maxwell begins trial
2 with an absolutely clean slate and without any evidence against
3 her. You must give no weight to the fact that an indictment
4 has been returned against Ms. Maxwell.

5 I will not read the entire indictment to you at this
6 time; rather, I will first summarize the offenses charged in
7 the indictment, and then explain in detail the elements of each
8 of the offenses.

9 Instruction No. 10. Summary of indictment.

10 The indictment contains six counts or charges against
11 the defendant. Each count constitutes a separate offense or
12 crime. You must consider each count of the indictment
13 separately and you must return a separate verdict on each
14 count. I am briefly going to summarize each count and then
15 I'll give you the law in greater detail.

16 Count One of the indictment charges Ghislaine Maxwell,
17 the defendant, with conspiring -- that is, agreeing, with
18 others -- to entice an individual to travel in interstate
19 commerce to engage in sexual activity for which a person can be
20 charged with a criminal offense. Count One relates to multiple
21 alleged victims and the time period 1994 to 2004.

22 Count Two of the indictment charges the defendant with
23 enticing an individual to travel in interstate commerce to
24 engage in sexual activity for which a person can be charged
25 with a criminal offense. Count Two relates solely to Jane and

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 the time period 1994 to 1997.

2 Count Three of the indictment charges the defendant
3 with conspiring with others to transport an individual under
4 the age of 17 in interstate commerce with intent that the
5 individual engaged in sexual activity for which a person can be
6 charged with a criminal offense. Count Three relates to
7 multiple alleged victims and the time period 1994 to 2004.

8 Count Four of the indictment charges the defendant
9 with transporting an individual under the age of 17 in
10 interstate commerce, with the intent that the individual engage
11 in sexual activity for which a person could be charged with a
12 criminal offense. Count Four relates solely to Jane and the
13 time period 1994 to 1997.

14 Count Five of the indictment charges the defendant
15 with conspiring to engage in sex trafficking of individuals
16 under the age of 18. Count Five relates to multiple alleged
17 victims in the time period 2001 to 2004.

18 Count Six of the indictment charges the defendant with
19 sex trafficking of an individual under the age of 18. Count
20 Six relates solely to Carolyn and the time period 2001 to 2004.

21 Instruction No. 11. Multiple counts.

22 As I just explained, the indictment contains six
23 counts. Each count charges Ms. Maxwell with a different crime.
24 You must consider each count separately and return a separate
25 verdict of guilty or not guilty for each. Whether you find Ms.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 Maxwell guilty or not guilty as to one offense should not
2 affect your verdict as to any other offense charged unless you
3 are instructed otherwise.

4 You may only find Ms. Maxwell guilty of a particular
5 count if the government has proven each element of the offense
6 charged with respect to that count beyond a reasonable doubt.

7 Instruction No. 12. Conspiracy and substantive
8 counts.

9 As I've just described, there are certain counts in
10 the indictment that are conspiracy counts, while others are
11 what are referred to as substantive counts. Unlike the
12 conspiracy charges which allege agreements to commit certain
13 offenses, the substantive counts are based on the actual
14 commission of offenses or aiding others to actually commit
15 offenses.

16 A conspiracy to commit a crime is an entirely separate
17 and different offense from the substantive crime which may be
18 the object of the conspiracy. Congress has deemed it
19 appropriate to make conspiracy standing alone a separate crime,
20 even if the object of the conspiracy is not achieved. The
21 essence of the crime of conspiracy is an agreement or
22 understanding to violate other laws. Thus, if a conspiracy
23 exists, even if it fails, it's still punishable as a crime.
24 Consequently, in a conspiracy charge, there's no need to prove
25 that the crime that was the objective of the conspiracy was

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 actually committed.

2 By contrast, the substantive counts require proof that
3 the crime charge was actually committed, but do not require
4 proof of an agreement. Of course, if a defendant both
5 participates in a conspiracy to commit a crime and then
6 actually commits that crime, that defendant may be guilty of
7 both the conspiracy and the substantive crime, as I'll instruct
8 you shortly.

9 We will turn first to the substantive charges in the
10 indictment, which are more convenient to consider before the
11 conspiracy charges. Therefore, I'll instruct you first on
12 Counts Two, Four, and Six, and then I'll instruct you on Counts
13 One, Three, and Five.

14 Instruction No. 13. Count Two, enticement to engage
15 in an illegal sexual activity, the statute.

16 The relevant statute for Count Two is Title 18, United
17 States Code, Section 2422, which provides that: "Whoever
18 knowingly persuades, induces, entices, or coerces any
19 individual to travel in interstate commerce or in any territory
20 or possession of the United States to engage in any sexual
21 activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal
22 offense" is guilty of a federal crime.

23 Instruction No. 14. Count Two, enticement to engage
24 in illegal sexual activity, the elements.

25 To prove the defendant guilty of Count Two, the

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 government must prove each of the following three elements
2 beyond a reasonable doubt:

3 First, that the defendant knowingly persuaded or
4 induced or enticed or coerced an individual to travel in
5 interstate commerce as alleged in the indictment.

6 Second, that the individual traveled in interstate
7 commerce.

8 And third, that the defendant acted with the intent
9 that the individual would engage in sexual activity for which
10 any person could be charged with a criminal offense under New
11 York law as alleged in the indictment.

12 Count Two relates solely to Jane during the time
13 period 1994 to 1997.

14 Instruction No. 15. Count Two, enticement to engage
15 in illegal sexual activity. First element.

16 The first element of Count Two which the government
17 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell
18 knowingly persuaded or induced or enticed or coerced an
19 individual to travel in interstate commerce as alleged in the
20 indictment. The terms "persuaded, induced, enticed, and
21 coerced" have their ordinary everyday meanings. The term
22 "interstate commerce" simply means movement from one state to
23 another. The term "state" includes a state of the United
24 States and the District of Columbia.

25 "Knowingly" defined.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 Ms. Maxwell must have acted knowingly. An act is done
2 knowingly when it's done voluntarily and intentionally and not
3 because of accident, mistake, or some other innocent reason.
4 Now, knowledge is a matter of inference from the proven facts.
5 Science has not yet devised a manner of looking into a person's
6 mind and knowing what that person is thinking. Whether Ms.
7 Maxwell acted knowingly may be proven by Ms. Maxwell's conduct
8 and by all of the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

9 Instruction No. 16. Count Two. Enticement to engage
10 in illegal sexual activity. Second element.

11 The second element of Count Two which the government
12 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the individual
13 traveled in interstate commerce as alleged in the indictment.
14 As I just stated, "interstate commerce" simply means movement
15 between one state and another.

16 Instruction No. 17. Count Two. Enticement to engage
17 in illegal sexual activity. Third element.

18 The third element of Count Two which the government
19 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell acted
20 with the intent that the individual would engage in sexual
21 activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal
22 offense under New York law.

23 "Intentionally" defined.

24 A person acts intentionally when the act is the
25 product of her conscious objective; that is, when she acts

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 deliberately and purposely and not because of mistake or
2 accident. Direct proof of a person's intent is almost never
3 available; it would be a rare case where it could be shown that
4 a person wrote or stated that as of a given time, she committed
5 an act with a particular intent. Such direct proof is not
6 required. The ultimate fact of intent, though subjective, may
7 be established by circumstantial evidence based upon the
8 defendant's outward manifestations, her words, her conduct, her
9 acts, and all the surrounding circumstances disclosed by the
10 evidence and the rational or logical inferences that may be
11 drawn from them.

12 Significant or motivating purpose.

13 In order to establish this element, it's not necessary
14 for the government to prove that the illegal sexual activity
15 was Ms. Maxwell's sole purpose for encouraging Jane to travel
16 across state lines. A person may have several different
17 purposes or motives for such conduct, and each may prompt in
18 varying degree the person's actions. The government must prove
19 beyond a reasonable doubt, however, that a significant or
20 motivating purpose of encouraging Jane to travel across state
21 lines was that she would engage in illegal sexual activity. In
22 other words, the illegal sexual activity must not have been
23 merely incidental to the trip.

24 Violation of New York criminal law.

25 Count Two alleges that Ms. Maxwell enticed Jane to

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 travel across state lines with the intent that she would engage
2 in sexual activity for which a person could be charged with a
3 crime under the penal law of New York State, namely, New York
4 Penal Law Section 130.55. I instruct you as a matter of law
5 that sexual abuse in the third degree -- the offense set forth
6 in Count Two of the indictment -- was a violation of New York
7 State Penal Law from in or about 1994, up to and including in
8 or about 1997, at the time the acts are alleged to have been
9 committed.

10 A person violates New York State Penal Law Section
11 130.55, sexual abuse in the third degree, when he or she
12 subjects another person to sexual contact without the latter's
13 consent. Under New York law, "sexual contact" means any
14 touching of the sexual or other intimate parts of a person for
15 the purpose of gratifying the sexual desire of either party.
16 It includes the touching of the victim by the actor, whether
17 directly or through clothing; as well as the emission of
18 ejaculate by the actor upon any part of the victim, clothed or
19 unclothed.

20 Also under New York law, lack of consent can result
21 from incapacity to consent. A person less than 17 years old is
22 deemed incapable of consenting to sexual contact under New York
23 law. Thus, the law deems sexual contact with a person less
24 than 17 years old to be without that person's consent even if,
25 in fact, that person did consent. However, in order to find

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 that the intended acts were nonconsensual solely because of the
2 victim's age, you must find that Ms. Maxwell knew that Jane was
3 less than 17 years old.

4 Instruction No. 18. Count Four. Transportation of an
5 individual under the age of 17 to engage in illegal sexual
6 activity. The statute.

7 The relevant statute for Count Four is Title 18,
8 United States Code, Section 2423(a), which provides that a
9 person who "knowingly transports any individual under the age
10 of 17 years in interstate commerce, with the intent that such
11 individual engage in any sexual activity for which any person
12 can be charged with a criminal offense" is guilty of a federal
13 crime.

14 Instruction No. 19. Count Four. Transportation of an
15 individual under the age of 17 to engage in illegal sexual
16 activity. The elements.

17 In order to prove the defendant guilty of Count Four,
18 the government must establish each of the following three
19 elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt:

20 First, that the defendant knowingly transported an
21 individual in interstate commerce as alleged in the indictment.

22 Second, that the defendant transported the individual
23 with the intent that the individual would engage in sexual
24 activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal
25 offense under New York law as alleged in the indictment.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 And third, that the defendant knew that the individual
2 was less than 17 years old at the time of the acts alleged in
3 Count Four of the indictment. Count Four also relates solely
4 to Jane during the time period 1994 to 1997.

5 Instruction No. 20. Count Four. Transportation of an
6 individual under the age of 17 to engage in illegal sexual
7 activity. First element.

8 The first element of Count Four which the government
9 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell
10 knowingly transported Jane in interstate commerce as alleged in
11 the indictment. The phrase "to transport an individual in
12 interstate commerce" means to move or carry or cause someone to
13 be moved or carried from one state to another.

14 The government does not have to prove that Ms. Maxwell
15 personally transported Jane across a state line; it is
16 sufficient to satisfy the element that Ms. Maxwell was actively
17 engaged, either personally or through an agent, in the making
18 of the travel arrangements such as by purchasing tickets
19 necessary for Jane to travel as planned. Ms. Maxwell must have
20 knowingly transported or caused the transportation of Jane in
21 interstate commerce. That means that the government must prove
22 that Ms. Maxwell knew both that she was causing Jane to be
23 transported and that Jane was being transported in interstate
24 commerce. As I've explained, an act is done knowingly when
25 it's done voluntarily and intentionally and not because of

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 accident, mistake, or some innocent reason.

2 It is the defendant's intent that matters here. If
3 the government establishes each of the elements of the crime
4 beyond a reasonable doubt, then the defendant is guilty of this
5 charge whether or not the individual agreed or consented to
6 cross state lines.

7 Instruction No. 21. Count Four. Transportation of an
8 individual under the age of 17 to engage in illegal sexual
9 activity. Second element.

10 The second element of Count Four which the government
11 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell
12 knowingly transported Jane in interstate commerce with the
13 intent that Jane engage in sexual activity for which any person
14 can be charged with a criminal offense in violation of New York
15 law.

16 Like Count Two, Count Four alleges sexual activity for
17 which an individual could be charged with a violation of New
18 York Penal Law, Section 130.55, sexual abuse in the third
19 degree. I've already instructed you regarding that crime, and
20 those instructions apply equally here.

21 In order to establish this element, it's not necessary
22 for the government to prove that the illegal sexual activity
23 was Ms. Maxwell's sole purpose for transporting Jane across
24 state lines. A person may have several different purposes or
25 motives for such conduct, and each may prompt in varying degree

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 the person's actions.

2 The government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt,
3 however, that a significant or motivating purpose of Jane's
4 travel across state lines was that she would engage in illegal
5 sexual activity; in other words, the illegal sexual activity
6 must not have been merely incidental to the trip.

7 Instruction No. 22. Count Four. Transportation of an
8 individual under the age of 17 to engage in illegal sexual
9 activity. Third element.

10 The third element of Count Four which the government
11 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell knew
12 that Jane was less than 17 years old at the time of the acts
13 alleged in Count Four of the indictment.

14 Instruction No. 23. Counts Two and Four. Failure to
15 accomplish intended activity is immaterial.

16 Now, with respect to Counts Two and Four, it is not a
17 defense that the sexual activity which may have been intended
18 by the defendant was not accomplished. In other words, it's
19 not necessary for the government to prove that anyone, in fact,
20 engaged in any sexual activity for which any person can be
21 charged with a criminal offense with the individual after she
22 was enticed for Count Two or transported for Count Four across
23 state lines. It is enough if the defendant has the requisite
24 intent at the time of the enticement or transportation.

25 Instruction No. 24. Count Six. Sex trafficking of an

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 individual under the age of 18. Statute.

2 The relevant statute for Count Six is Title 18, United
3 States Code, Section 1591, which provides, in pertinent part,
4 that: "Whoever knowingly, in or affecting interstate commerce,
5 recruits, entices, harbors, transports, provides, or obtains by
6 any means a person, knowing that the person has not attained
7 the age of 18 years and will be caused to engage in a
8 commercial sex act" is guilty of a crime.

9 Instruction No. 25. Count Six. Sex trafficking of an
10 individual under the age of 18. The elements.

11 To find the defendant guilty of Count Six, the
12 government must prove each of the following four elements
13 beyond a reasonable doubt:

14 First, the defendant knowingly recruited, enticed,
15 harbored, transported, provided, or obtained a person.

16 Second, the defendant knew that the person was under
17 the age of 18 years.

18 Third, the defendant knew that the person would be
19 caused to engage in a commercial sex act.

20 And fourth, the defendant's acts were in or affecting
21 interstate commerce.

22 The count relates solely to Carolyn during the time
23 period 2001 to 2004.

24 Instruction No. 26. Count Six. Sex trafficking of an
25 individual under the age of 18. First element.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 The first element of Count Six which the government
2 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell
3 knowingly recruited, enticed, harbored, transported, provided,
4 or obtained a person, Carolyn. The terms "recruited, enticed,
5 harbored, transported, provided, and obtained" have their
6 ordinary everyday meanings. And considering whether Ms.
7 Maxwell has acted knowingly, please apply the definition of
8 "knowingly" previously provided to you.

9 Instruction No. 27. Count Six. Sex trafficking of an
10 individual under the age of 18. Second element.

11 The second element of Count Six which the government
12 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell knew
13 that Carolyn was under the age of 18. In considering whether
14 Ms. Maxwell knew that Carolyn had not attained the age of 18,
15 please apply the definition of "knowingly" previously provided
16 to you.

17 Instruction No. 28. Count Six. Sex trafficking of an
18 individual under the age of 18. Third element.

19 The third element of Count Six which the government
20 must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms. Maxwell knew
21 that the person Carolyn would be caused to engage in a
22 commercial sex act. The term "commercial sex act" means any
23 sex act on account of which anything of value is given to or
24 received by any person. The thing of value may be money or any
25 other tangible or intangible thing of value that may be given

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 to or received by any person, regardless of whether the person
2 who receives it is the person performing the commercial sex
3 act. It's not relevant whether or not Carolyn was a willing
4 participant in performing commercial sex acts when she was
5 under the age of 18 years old.

6 Consent by the person is not a defense to the charge
7 in Count Six of the indictment if Carolyn was under the age of
8 18 at the time the commercial sex acts took place. It's also
9 not required that the person actually performed a commercial
10 sex act, so long as the government has proved that Ms. Maxwell
11 recruited, enticed, harbored, transported, provided, or
12 obtained the person for the purpose of engaging in commercial
13 sex acts.

14 Instruction No. 29. Count Six. Sex trafficking of an
15 individual under the age of 18. Fourth element.

16 The fourth and final element of Count Six which the
17 government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Ms.
18 Maxwell's conduct was in interstate commerce or affected
19 interstate commerce. "Interstate commerce" simply means the
20 movement of goods, services, money, and individuals between any
21 two or more states.

22 I instruct you that acts and transactions that cross
23 state lines or which affect the flow of money in the stream of
24 commerce to any degree, however minimal, are acts and
25 transactions affecting interstate commerce. For instance, it

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 affects interstate commerce to use products that traveled in
2 interstate commerce. It's not necessary for the government to
3 prove that Ms. Maxwell specifically knew or intended that her
4 conduct would affect interstate commerce; it's only necessary
5 that the natural consequences of such conduct would affect
6 interstate commerce in some way, even if minor.

7 If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the
8 recruitment, enticement, harboring, transportation, providing,
9 or obtaining of a person for the purpose of engaging in
10 commercial sex acts was economic in nature and involved the
11 crossing of state lines or was economic in nature and otherwise
12 affected the flow of money to any degree, however minimal, you
13 may find that the interstate commerce requirement of the
14 offense of sex trafficking of an individual under the age of 18
15 has been satisfied.

16 I further instruct you that to find this element has
17 been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, it's not necessary for
18 you to find that any interstate travel occurred. Proof of
19 actual travel is not required.

20 Instruction No. 30. Counts Two, Four, and Six.
21 Aiding and abetting.

22 In connection with the crimes charged in Counts Two,
23 Four, and Six, the defendant is also charged with aiding and
24 abetting the commission of those crimes. Aiding and abetting
25 liability is its own theory of criminal liability. In effect,

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 it's a theory of liability that permits a defendant to be
2 convicted of a specified crime if the defendant, while not
3 herself committing the crime, assisted another person or
4 persons in committing the crime. As to Counts Two, Four, and
5 Six, therefore, the defendant can be convicted either if she
6 committed the crime herself or if another person committed the
7 crime and the defendant aided and abetted that person to commit
8 that crime.

9 Under the federal aiding and abetting statute, whoever
10 "aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces, or procures" the
11 commission of an offense is punishable as a principle. You
12 should give those words their ordinary meaning. A person aids
13 or abets a crime if she knowingly does some act for the purpose
14 of aiding or encouraging the commission of that crime with the
15 intention of causing the crime charged to be committed.

16 "To counsel" means to give advice or recommend. "To
17 induce" means to lead or move by persuasion or influence as to
18 some action or state of mind. "To procure" means to bring
19 about by unscrupulous or indirect means. "To cause" means to
20 bring something about to effect something. In other words,
21 it's not necessary for the government to show that Ms. Maxwell
22 herself physically committed the crime charged in order for you
23 to find her guilty. This is because a person who aids, abets,
24 counsels, commands, induces, or procures the commission of a
25 crime is just as guilty of that offense as if she committed it

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 herself. Accordingly, you may find Ms. Maxwell guilty of the
2 offenses charged in Counts Two, Four, and Six if you find
3 beyond a reasonable doubt that the government has proven that
4 another person actually committed the offense with which Ms.
5 Maxwell is charged, and that Ms. Maxwell aided, abetted,
6 counseled, commanded, induced, or procured that person to
7 commit the crime.

8 As you can see, the first requirement is that another
9 person has committed the crime charged. Obviously, no one can
10 be convicted of aided and abetting the criminal acts of another
11 if no crime was committed by the other person. But if you find
12 that a crime was committed, then you must consider whether Ms.
13 Maxwell aided or abetted the commission of the crime.

14 To aid or abet another to commit a crime, it is
15 necessary that the government prove that the defendant
16 willfully and knowingly associated herself in some way with the
17 crime committed by the other person, and willfully and
18 knowingly sought by some act to help commit the crime succeed.

19 However, let me caution you that the mere presence of
20 the defendant where a crime is being committed, even coupled
21 with knowledge by the defendant that a crime is being
22 committed, or the mere acquiescence by a defendant in the
23 criminal conduct of others, even with guilty knowledge, is not
24 sufficient to make the defendant guilty under this approach of
25 aiding and abetting. Such a defendant would be guilty under

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 this approach of aiding and abetting only if, in addition to
2 knowing of the criminal activity, she actually took actions
3 intended to help it succeed. An aider and abettor must know
4 that the crime is being committed and act in a way that is
5 intended to bring about the success of a criminal venture.

6 To determine whether Ms. Maxwell aided or abetted the
7 commission of the crime with which she is charged, ask yourself
8 these questions:

9 One. Did the defendant participate in the crime
10 charged as something she wished to bring about?

11 Two. Did the defendant knowingly and willfully
12 associate herself with the criminal venture?

13 Three. Did the defendant seek by her actions to make
14 the criminal venture succeed?

15 If she did, then Ms. Maxwell is an aider and abettor
16 and, therefore, guilty of the offense. If, on the other hand,
17 your answer to any of these questions is no, then Ms. Maxwell
18 is not an aider and abettor, and you must find her not guilty
19 under that theory.

20 Instruction No. 31. Counts One, Three, and Five.
21 Conspiracy to violate federal laws. Conspiracy and substantive
22 counts.

23 Counts One, Three, and Five of the indictment each
24 charge Ms. Maxwell with participating in a "conspiracy." The
25 statute for Counts One, Three, and Five is Title 18, United

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 States Code, Section 371, which provides that: "If two or more
2 people conspire to commit any offense against the United
3 States, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect
4 the object of the conspiracy, each person is guilty of a
5 federal crime."

6 As I'll explain, a conspiracy is a kind of criminal
7 partnership, an agreement of two or more people, to join
8 together to accomplish some unlawful purpose. The crime of
9 conspiracy to violate federal laws is an independent offense;
10 it is separate and distinct from the actual violation of any
11 specific federal laws which the law refers to as "substantive
12 crimes."

13 Indeed, you may find Ms. Maxwell guilty of conspiring
14 to violate federal laws, even if you find that the crime which
15 was the object of the conspiracy was never actually committed.
16 As I'll explain, the three different conspiracy counts are
17 separate offenses, and each conspiracy alleges a different
18 purpose, which I'll describe to you shortly.

19 Instruction No. 32. Counts One, Three, and Five.
20 Conspiracy to violate federal law. The elements.

21 To prove the defendant guilty of the crime of
22 conspiracy, the government must prove each of the following
23 four elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

24 First, that two or more persons entered the unlawful
25 agreement charged in the particular count of the indictment.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully
2 became a member of that conspiracy.

3 Third, that one of the members of the conspiracy
4 knowingly committed at least one overt act.

5 And fourth, that the overt act which you find to have
6 been committed was committed to further some objective of that
7 conspiracy.

8 Each of these elements must be satisfied beyond a
9 reasonable doubt.

10 Now, let us separately consider each of these
11 elements.

12 Instruction No. 33. Counts One, Three, and Five.
13 Conspiracy to violate federal law. First element.

14 Starting with the first element, what is a conspiracy?

15 A conspiracy is an agreement or an understanding
16 between two or more persons to accomplish by joint action a
17 criminal or unlawful purpose. The essence of the crime of
18 conspiracy is the unlawful agreement between two or more people
19 to violate the law.

20 As I mentioned earlier, the ultimate success of the
21 conspiracy, meaning the actual commission of the crime that is
22 the object of the conspiracy, is not an element of the crime of
23 conspiracy. In order to show that a conspiracy existed, the
24 evidence must show that two or more people in some way or
25 manner, through any contrivance, explicitly or implicitly --

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 that is, spoken or unspoken -- came to a mutual understanding
2 to violate the law and to accomplish an unlawful plan.

3 Express language or specific words are not required to
4 indicate assent or attachment to a conspiracy. If you find
5 beyond a reasonable doubt that two or more persons came to an
6 understanding, express or implied, to violate the law and to
7 accomplish an unlawful plan, then the government will have
8 sustained its burden of proof as to this element.

9 To show that a conspiracy existed, the government is
10 not required to show that two or more people sat around a table
11 and entered into a solemn pact orally or in writing stating
12 that they had formed a conspiracy to violate the law, spelling
13 out all of the details. Common sense tells you that when
14 people, in fact, agree to enter into a criminal conspiracy,
15 much is left to the unexpressed understanding. It is rare that
16 a conspiracy can be proven by direct evidence of an explicit
17 agreement. Conspirators do not usually reduce their agreements
18 to writing or acknowledge them before a notary public, nor do
19 they publicly broadcast their plans.

20 In determining whether an agreement existed, you may
21 consider direct as well as circumstantial evidence. The old
22 adage "actions speak louder than words" applies here. Often
23 the only evidence that is available with respect to the
24 existence of a conspiracy is that of disconnected acts and
25 conduct on the part of the alleged individual co-conspirators.

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 When taken all together and considered as whole, however, these
2 acts and conduct may warrant the inference that a conspiracy
3 existed as conclusively as would direct proof, such as evidence
4 of an express agreement.

5 In short, as far as the first element of the
6 conspiracy is concerned, the government must prove beyond a
7 reasonable doubt that at least two alleged conspirators came to
8 a mutual understanding, either spoken or unspoken, to violate
9 the law in the manner charged in Counts One, Three, and Five of
10 the indictment.

11 Instruction No. 34. Counts One, Three, and Five.
12 Conspiracy to violate federal law. First element. Object of
13 the conspiracy.

14 Count One charges Ms. Maxwell with participating in a
15 conspiracy from at least in or about 1994, up to and including
16 in or about 2004, to entice individuals under the age of 17 to
17 travel to engage in sexual activity for which any person can be
18 charged with a criminal offense. The object of the conspiracy
19 charged in Count One of the indictment is to entice individuals
20 under the age of 17 to travel to engage in sexual activity for
21 which any person could be charged with a criminal offense.

22 I have already reviewed the elements of that offense
23 in connection with Count Two. If you find beyond a reasonable
24 doubt that the defendant agreed with at least one other person
25 that those elements be done, then the enticement of individuals

LCKVMAX8

Charge

1 under the age of 17 to travel to engage in sexual activity for
2 which any person could be charged with a criminal offense
3 objective would be proved.

4 Count Three charges the defendant with participating
5 in a conspiracy from at least in or about 1994, up to and
6 including in or about 2004, to transport individuals under the
7 age of 17 with the intent to engage in sexual activity for
8 which any person can be charged with a criminal offense.

9 The object of the conspiracy charged in Count Three of
10 the indictment is to transport individuals under the age of 17
11 with the intent to engage in sexual activity for which any
12 person can be charged with a criminal offense. I've already
13 reviewed the elements of that offense in connection with Count
14 Four. If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant
15 agreed with at least one other person that those elements be
16 done, then the transportation of individuals under the age of
17 17 with the intent to engage in sexual activity for which any
18 person can be charged with a criminal offense objective would
19 be proved.

20 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 THE COURT: Finally, Count Five charges Ms. Maxwell
2 with participating in a conspiracy from at least in or about
3 2001 up to and including in or about 2004 to commit sex
4 trafficking of individuals under the age of 18.

5 The object of the conspiracy charged in Count Five of
6 the indictment is to commit sex trafficking of individuals
7 under the age of 18. I've already reviewed the elements of
8 that offense in connection with Count Six.

9 If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that Ms. Maxwell
10 agreed with at least one other person that those elements be
11 done, then the sex trafficking of individuals under the age of
12 18 objective would be proved.

13 Instruction No. 35, Counts One, Three, and Five:
14 Conspiracy to Violate Federal Law – Second Element: Membership
15 in the Conspiracy.

16 With respect to each of Counts One, Three, and Five,
17 if you conclude that the government has proven beyond a
18 reasonable doubt that the relevant conspiracy existed and that
19 the conspiracy had the object I just mentioned, then you
20 must -- you must next consider the second element, namely,
21 whether Ms. Maxwell knowingly and willfully participated in the
22 conspiracy knowing its unlawful purpose and intending to
23 further its unlawful objectives.

24 In order to satisfy the second elements of Counts One,
25 Three, or Five, the government must prove beyond a reasonable

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 doubt that Ms. Maxwell knowingly and willfully entered into the
2 conspiracy charged in the particular count with a criminal
3 intent, that is with a purpose to violate the law, and that she
4 agreed to take part in the conspiracy to further promote and
5 cooperate in its unlawful objective.

6 Willfully and Knowingly.

7 An act it is done knowingly and willfully if it's done
8 deliberately and purposefully. That is, Ms. Maxwell's actions
9 must have been her conscious objective rather than a product of
10 a mistake or accident, mere negligence or some other innocent
11 reason.

12 To satisfy its burden of proof that Ms. Maxwell
13 willfully and knowingly became a member of a conspiracy to
14 accomplish an unlawful purpose, the government must prove
15 beyond a reasonable doubt Ms. Maxwell knew that she was a
16 member of an operation or conspiracy to accomplish that
17 unlawful purpose and that her action of joining such an
18 operation or conspiracy was not due to carelessness,
19 negligence, or mistake.

20 Now, as I've said, knowledge is a matter of inference
21 from the proven facts. Science has not yet devised a manner of
22 looking into a person's mind and knowing what that person is
23 thinking. However, you do have before you the evidence of
24 certain acts of conversations alleged to have taken place
25 involving Ms. Maxwell or in her presence. You may consider

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 this evidence in determining whether the government has proven
2 beyond a reasonable doubt Ms. Maxwell's knowledge of the
3 unlawful purposes of the conspiracy.

4 It is for you to determine whether the government has
5 established beyond a reasonable doubt that such knowledge and
6 intent on the part of Ms. Maxwell existed. It is important for
7 you to know that Ms. Maxwell's participation in the conspiracy
8 must be established by independent evidence of her own acts or
9 statements, as well as those of the alleged coconspirators and
10 the reasonable inferences that may be drawn from that evidence.

11 It's not necessary for government to show that
12 Ms. Maxwell was fully informed of all the details of the
13 conspiracy in order for you to infer knowledge on her part. To
14 have guilty knowledge, Ms. Maxwell need not have known the full
15 extent of the conspiracy or all of the activities of all of its
16 participants. It's not even necessary for a defendant to know
17 every other member of the conspiracy.

18 In addition, the duration and extent of Ms. Maxwell's
19 participation has no bearing on the issue of her guilt. She
20 need not have joined the conspiracy at the outset. Ms. Maxwell
21 may have joined it for any purpose at any time in its progress
22 and she will be held responsible for all that was done before
23 she joined and all that was done during the conspiracy's
24 existence while she was a member. Each member of a conspiracy
25 may perform separate and distinct acts and may perform them at

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 different times. Indeed, a single act may be enough to bring
2 one within the membership of the conspiracy, provided that
3 Ms. Maxwell was aware of the conspiracy, and knowingly
4 associated herself with its criminal aims. It does not matter
5 whether Ms. Maxwell's role in the conspiracy may have been more
6 limited than or different in nature or the length of time from
7 the roles of her coconspirators, provided she was, herself, a
8 participant.

9 I want to caution you, however, that Ms. Maxwell's
10 mere presence at the scene of the alleged crime does not by
11 itself make her a member of the conspiracy. Similarly, a
12 person may know, assemble with, or be friendly with one or more
13 members of a conspiracy without being a conspirator herself. I
14 want to caution you that mere knowledge or acquiescence,
15 without participation in the unlawful plan, is not sufficient.
16 In other words, knowledge without agreement and participation
17 is not sufficient. What is necessary is that Ms. Maxwell -- is
18 that Ms. Maxwell participate in the conspiracy with knowledge
19 of its unlawful purposes and with an intent to aid in the
20 accomplishment of its unlawful objectives.

21 It's also not necessary that Ms. Maxwell receive or
22 even anticipate any financial benefit from participating in the
23 conspiracy as long as she participated in it in the way I've
24 explained. That said, while proof of a financial interest in
25 the outcome of a scheme is not essential, if you find that

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 Ms. Maxwell had such an interest, that is a factor which you
2 may properly consider in determining whether or not she was a
3 member of the conspiracy charged in the indictment.

4 Once a conspiracy is formed, it is presumed to
5 continue until either its objective is accomplished or there is
6 some affirmative act of termination by the members. So too,
7 once a person is found to be a member of a conspiracy, she is
8 presumed to continue as a member in that conspiracy until the
9 conspiracy is terminated unless it's shown by some affirmative
10 proof that the person withdrew and disassociated herself
11 prosecute it.

12 In sum, the defendant, with an understanding of the
13 unlawful nature of the conspiracy, may have intentionally
14 engaged, advised, or assisted in the conspiracy for the purpose
15 of furthering an illegal undertaking. The defendant thereby
16 becomes a knowing and willful participant in the unlawful
17 agreement, that is to say, she becomes a conspirator.

18 Instruction No. 36: Counts One, Three, and Five:
19 Conspiracy to Violate Federal Law – Third Element.

20 The third element that the government must prove
21 beyond a reasonable doubt to establish the offense of
22 conspiracy is that at least one overt act was knowingly
23 committed by at least one of the conspirators. The overt act
24 element requires the government to show something more than
25 mere agreement. Some overt step or action must have been taken

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 by at least one of the conspirators in furtherance of that
2 conspiracy. In other words, the government must show that the
3 agreement went beyond the mere talking stage. It must show
4 that at least one the conspirators in furtherance of the
5 conspiracy.

6 With respect to the overt acts for Count One, the
7 indictment alleges as follows:

8 One, between in or about 1994 and in or about 1997,
9 when Jane was under the age of 17, Maxwell participated in
10 multiple group sexual encounters with Epstein and Jane in New
11 York and Florida.

12 Two, in or about 1996, when Jane was under the age of
13 17, Jane was enticed to travel from Florida to New York for
14 purposes of sexually abusing her at the New York residence in
15 violation of New York Penal Law, Section 130.55.

16 Three, in or about 1996, Maxwell provided Annie with
17 an unsolicited massage in New Mexico during which Annie was
18 topless.

19 Four, between in or about 2001 and in or about 2002,
20 when Carolyn was under the age of 17, Maxwell and Epstein
21 invited Carolyn to travel from Florida to a place outside of
22 Florida with Epstein.

23 With respect to the overt acts to Count Three, the
24 indictment alleges as follows:

25 Between in or about 1994 and in or about 1997 when

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 Jane was under the age of 17, Maxwell participated in multiple
2 group sexual encounters with Epstein and Jane in New York and
3 Florida.

4 Two, in or about 1996 when Jane was under the age of
5 17, Jane was enticed to travel from Florida to New York for
6 purposes of sexually abusing her at the New York residence in
7 violation of New York Penal Law, Section 130.55.

8 Three, in or about 1996, Maxwell provided Annie with
9 an unsolicited massage in New Mexico during which Annie was
10 topless.

11 Four, between in or about 2001 and in or about 2002
12 when Carolyn was under the age of 17, Maxwell and Epstein
13 invited Carolyn to travel from Florida to a place outside of
14 Florida with Epstein.

15 With respect to the overt acts for Count Five, the
16 indictment alleges as follows:

17 One, between in or about 2001 and in or about 2004,
18 Epstein and Maxwell recruited Carolyn to engage in sex acts
19 with Epstein at the Palm Beach residence after which Epstein
20 and, at times, Maxwell provided Carolyn with hundreds of
21 dollars in cash for each encounter. Carolyn truthfully told
22 both Epstein and Maxwell her age.

23 Two, between in or about 2001 and in or about 2004,
24 Epstein and Maxwell both encouraged and enticed Carolyn to
25 recruit other girls to engage in paid sex acts with Epstein,

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 which she did.

2 Three, between in or about 2001 and in or about 2004,
3 Epstein's employees sent Carolyn gifts, including lingerie from
4 an address in the Southern District of New York to Carolyn's
5 residence in Florida. For example, on one occasion, in or
6 about October of 2002, Epstein caused a package to be sent by
7 Federal Express from an address in Manhattan to Carolyn in
8 Florida.

9 Four, on multiple occasions between in or about 2001
10 and in or about 2004, Epstein, Maxwell, or one of Epstein's
11 other employees called Carolyn to schedule an appointment for
12 Carolyn to massage Epstein. For example, in or about April of
13 2004 or May of 2004, another employee of Epstein's called
14 Carolyn to schedule such appointments.

15 In order for government to satisfy this element, it's
16 not necessary for the government to prove that Ms. Maxwell
17 committed the overt act. It is sufficient for the government
18 to show that any of the members of the conspiracy knowingly
19 committed some overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

20 Further, the overt act need not be one that is alleged
21 in the indictment. Rather, it can be any overt act that is
22 substantially similar to those acts alleged in the indictment,
23 if you are convinced that the act occurred while the conspiracy
24 was still in existence and that it was done in furtherance of
25 the conspiracy as described in the indictment.

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 In addition, you need not be unanimous as to which
2 overt act you find to have been committed. It is sufficient as
3 long as all of you find that at least one overt act was
4 committed by one of the conspirators.

5 As to Counts One and Three, the government has to
6 prove that at least one of the overt acts in furtherance of
7 that conspiracy involved a witness other than Kate. Put
8 simply, you may not convict Ms. Maxwell on Counts One or Three
9 solely on the basis of Kate's testimony or an overt act
10 involving Kate.

11 You are further instructed that the overt act need not
12 have been committed at precisely at the time alleged in the
13 indictment. It is sufficient if you are convinced beyond a
14 reasonable doubt that it occurred at or about the time and
15 place stated.

16 Instruction No. 37: Counts One, Three, and Five:
17 Conspiracy to Violate Federal Law – Fourth Element.

18 The fourth and final element which the government must
19 prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the overt act was
20 committed for the purpose of carrying out the unlawful
21 agreement.

22 In order for the government to satisfy this element,
23 it must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one overt
24 act was knowingly and willfully done by at least one
25 coconspirator in furtherance of some object or purpose of the

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 conspiracy as charged in the indictment.

2 In this regard, you should bear in mind that the overt
3 act, standing alone, may be an innocent, lawful act.

4 Frequently, however, an apparently innocent act sheds its
5 harmless character if it is a step in carrying out, promoting,
6 aiding, or assisting the conspiratorial scheme. You are
7 therefore instructed that the overt act does not have to be an
8 act which, in and of itself, is criminal or constitutes an
9 objective of the conspiracy.

10 Instruction No. 38: Counts One, Three, and Five:
11 Liability for Acts and Declarations of Coconspirators.

12 When people enter into a conspiracy to accomplish an
13 unlawful end, they become agents or partners of one another in
14 carrying out the conspiracy. Accordingly, the reasonably
15 foreseeable acts, declarations, statements, and omissions of
16 any member of the conspiracy and in furtherance of the common
17 purse purpose of the conspiracy are deemed under the law to be
18 acts of all of the members. All of the members are responsible
19 for such acts, declarations, and statements, and omissions.

20 If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the
21 defendant knowingly and willfully participated in the
22 conspiracy charged in the indictment, then any acts done or
23 statements made in furtherance of the conspiracy by persons
24 also found by you to have been members of that conspiracy may
25 be considered against the defendant. That is so even if such

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 acts were done and statements were made in the defendant's
2 absence and without her knowledge. However, before you may
3 consider the statements or act of a coconspirator in deciding
4 the issue of the defendant's guilt, you must first determine
5 that the acts and statements were made during the existence and
6 if in furtherance of the unlawful scheme. If the acts were
7 done or the statements made by someone whom you do not find to
8 have been a member of the conspiracy at the time of the acts or
9 statements or if they were not done or said in furtherance of
10 the conspiracy, they may not be considered by you as evidence
11 against the defendant.

12 Instruction No. 39: Conscious Avoidance.

13 This includes my instruction on the crimes charged in
14 the indictment, but before I move on to my remaining
15 instructions, I want to instruct you on the instruction of
16 conscious avoidance.

17 Each of the counts charged in the indictment requires
18 the government to prove the defendant acted knowingly. As I've
19 already defined that term, if a person is actually aware of a
20 fact, then she knows that fact, but in determining whether the
21 defendant acted knowingly, you may also consider whether the
22 defendant deliberately closed her eyes to what otherwise would
23 have been obvious.

24 To be clear, the necessary knowledge on the part of
25 the defendant with respect to any particular charge cannot be

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 established by a showing that the defendant was careless,
2 negligent, or foolish. However, one may not willfully and
3 intentionally remain ignorant of a material fact and important
4 to her conduct in order to escape the consequences of criminal
5 law. The law calls this conscious avoidance or willful
6 blindness.

7 An argument by the government of conscious avoidance
8 is not a substitute for proof, it is simply another factor that
9 you, the jury, may consider in deciding what the defendant
10 knew. Thus, if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the
11 defendant was aware that there was a high probability a crime
12 was being committed but that the defendant deliberately and
13 consciously avoided confirming this fact such as by
14 purposefully closing her eyes to it or intentionally failing to
15 investigate it, then you may treat this deliberate avoidance of
16 positive knowledge as the equivalent of knowledge, unless you
17 find that defendant actually believed that she was not engaged
18 in such unlawful behavior. In other words, a defendant cannot
19 avoid criminal responsibility for her own conduct by
20 deliberately closing her eyes or remaining purposefully
21 ignorant of facts which would confirm to her that she was
22 engaged in unlawful conduct.

23 With respect to the conspiracy counts, you must also
24 keep in mind that there is an important difference between
25 knowingly and intentionally participating in a conspiracy, on

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 the one hand – and which I just explained to you – and knowing
2 the specific objective of the conspiracy on the other. You may
3 consider conscious avoidance in deciding whether the defendant
4 knew the objective of a conspiracy, that is whether she
5 reasonably believed that there was a high probability that a
6 goal of the conspiracy was to commit the crime charged as
7 objects of the conspiracy and took deliberate and conscious
8 action to avoid confirming that fact, but participated in the
9 conspiracy anyway. But conscious avoidance cannot be used as a
10 substitute for finding that the defendant knowingly and
11 intentionally joined the conspiracy in the first place. It is
12 logically impossible for a defendant to intend to agree to join
13 a conspiracy if she does not actually know it exists.

14 In sum, if you find the defendant believed there was a
15 high probability that a fact was so and that the defendant took
16 deliberate and conscious action to avoid learning the truth of
17 the fact, you may find that the defendant acted knowingly with
18 respect to that fact. However, if you find that the defendant
19 actually believed the fact was not so, then you may not find
20 that she has acted knowingly with respect to that fact.

21 Instruction No. 40: Venue.

22 With respect to each of the counts of the indictment,
23 you must also consider the issue of venue, namely whether any
24 act in furtherance of the unlawful activity charged in that
25 count occurred within the Southern District of New York. The

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 Southern District of New York encompasses the following
2 counties New York County, i.e., Manhattan, Bronx, Westchester,
3 Rockland, Putnam, Dutchess, Orange, and Sullivan Counties.
4 Anything that occurs in any of those places occurs in the
5 Southern District of New York.

6 Venue must be examined separately for each count in
7 the indictment. Venue on one count does not establish venue on
8 another. If applicable, you may rely on the same evidence to
9 establish evidence on multiple counts.

10 The government need not prove venue beyond a
11 reasonable doubt, but only by a mere preponderance of the
12 evidence. A preponderance of the evidence means more likely
13 than not. Thus, the government, which does bear the burden of
14 proving venue, has satisfied that if you conclude that it is
15 more likely than not that some furtherance of each charged
16 offense occurred in the Southern District of New York. If, on
17 the other hand, you find that the government has failed to
18 prove the venue requirement as to a particular offense, then
19 you must acquit Ms. Maxwell of that offense even if all the
20 other elements of the offense are proven.

21 Instruction No. 41: Time of Offense.

22 The indictment alleges that certain conduct occurred
23 on or about various dates or during various time periods. It's
24 not necessary, however, for the government to prove that any
25 conduct alleged occurred exactly on such dates or throughout

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 any such time periods. As long as the conduct occurred around
2 any dates or within any time periods the indictment alleges it
3 occurred, that is sufficient.

4 Instruction No. 42: Direct and Circumstantial
5 Evidence.

6 I turn now to some general instructions.

7 There are two types of evidence that you may use in
8 reaching your verdict. One type of evidence is direct
9 evidence. One kind of direct evidence is a witness's testimony
10 about something that the witness knows by virtue of his or her
11 own senses, something that the witness has seen, smelled,
12 touched, or heard. Direct evidence may also be in the form of
13 an exhibit.

14 The other type of evidence is circumstantial evidence.
15 Circumstantial evidence is evidence that tends to prove one
16 fact by proof of other facts.

17 There a simple example of circumstantial evidence,
18 it's often used in the courthouse. Assume that when you came
19 into the courthouse this morning, the sun was shining and it
20 was a nice day. Assume that there are blinds on the courtroom
21 windows that are drawn and you can't look outside. As you're
22 sitting here, someone walks in with an umbrella that's dripping
23 wet. Someone else then walks in with a raincoat that's also
24 dripping wet. Now you can't look outside to courtroom and you
25 can't see whether or not it's raining, so you have no direct

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 evidence of that fact, but on the combination of the facts that
2 I've asked you to assume, it would be reasonable and logical
3 for you to conclude that between the time you arrived at the
4 courthouse and the time these people walked in it had started
5 to rain.

6 That's all there is to circumstantial evidence. You
7 infer based on reason, experience, and common sense from an
8 established fact the existence or the nonexistence of some
9 other fact. Many facts, such as a person's state of mind, can
10 only rarely be proved by direct evidence.

11 Circumstantial evidence is of no less value than of
12 direct evidence. It is a general rule that the law makes no
13 distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence, but
14 simply requires that, before convicting Ms. Maxwell, you, the
15 jury, must be satisfied of her guilt beyond a reasonable doubt
16 from all of the evidence in the case.

17 Instruction No. 43: Inferences.

18 During the trial, and as I give you these
19 instructions, you've heard and will hear the term inference.
20 For instance, if, in their closing arguments, attorneys have
21 asked you to infer based on your reason, experience, and common
22 sense from one or more established facts the existence of some
23 other fact. I've instructed you on circumstantial evidence in
24 that it involves inferring a fact based on other facts, your
25 reason, and common sense.

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 What is an inference? What does it mean to infer
2 something? An inference is not a suspicion or a guess. It is
3 a reasoned, logical decision to conclude that a disputed fact
4 exists based on another fact that you are satisfied exists.

5 There are times when different inferences may be drawn
6 from different facts, whether proved by direct or
7 circumstantial evidence. The government asks you to draw one
8 set of inferences while the defense asks you to draw another.
9 It is for you and you alone to decide what inferences you will
10 draw. The process of drawing inferences from facts in evidence
11 is not a matter of guesswork or speculation. An inference is a
12 deduction or a conclusion that you, the jury, are permitted but
13 not required to draw from the facts that have been established
14 by either direct or circumstantial evidence.

15 In drawing inferences, you should exercise your common
16 sense. Therefore, while you're considering the evidence
17 presented to you, you may draw from the facts that you find to
18 be proven such reasonable inferences as would be justified in
19 light of your experience. Some inferences, however, are
20 impermissible. You may not infer that Ms. Maxwell is guilty of
21 participating in criminal conduct if you find merely that she
22 was present at the time the crime was being committed and had
23 knowledge that it was being committed. Nor may you use
24 evidence that I instructed you was admitted for a limited
25 purpose for any inference beyond that limited purpose.

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 In addition, you may not infer that Ms. Maxwell is
2 guilty of participating in criminal conduct merely from the
3 fact that she associated with other people who were guilty of
4 wrongdoing or merely because she had has or had knowledge of
5 the wrongdoing of others.

6 Here again, let me remind you that, whether based upon
7 direct or circumstantial evidence or upon logical reasonable
8 inferences drawn from such evidence, you must be satisfied of
9 the guilt of Ms. Maxwell as to each count charged before you
10 may convict her as to that count.

11 Instruction No. 44: Credibility of Witnesses.

12 You've had the opportunity to observe the witnesses.
13 It is your job to decide how believable each witness was in his
14 or her testimony. You are the sole judges of the credibility
15 of the witnesses.

16 How do you evaluate the credibility or believability
17 of the witness? The answer is that you use your common sense,
18 judgment, and experience. Common sense is your greatest asset
19 as a juror. You should ask yourself, did the witness impress
20 to you as honest, open, and candid? Or did the witness appear
21 evasive as though the witness was trying to hide something?
22 How responsive was the witness to the questions asked on direct
23 examination and on cross examination? Consider the witness's
24 demeanor, manner of testifying, and accuracy of the witness's
25 recollection. In addition, consider how well the witness

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 recounted what was heard or observed as the witness may be
2 honest but mistaken.

3 If you find that a witness is intentionally telling a
4 falsehood, that is always a matter of importance that you
5 should weigh carefully. If you find that any witness has lied
6 under oath at this trial, you should view the testimony of such
7 a witness cautiously and weigh it with great care. You may
8 reject the entirety of the witness's testimony, part of it, or
9 none of it. It's for you to decide how much of any witness's
10 testimony, if any, you wish to credit. A witness may be
11 inaccurate, contradictory, or even untruthful in some respects,
12 and yet entirely believable and truthful in other respects.
13 It's for you to determine whether such untruths or
14 inconsistencies are significant or inconsequential and whether
15 to accept or reject all or to accept some and reject the
16 balance of the testimony of any witness.

17 In evaluating the credibility of the witnesses, you
18 should take into account any evidence that the witness who
19 testified may benefit in some way from the outcome of this
20 case. If you find that any witness whose testimony you're
21 considering may have an interest in the outcome of the trial,
22 then you should bear that factor in mind when evaluating the
23 credibility of his or her testimony and accept it with great
24 care. This is not to suggest that any witness who has an
25 interest in the outcome of the case would testify falsely. It

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 is for you to decide to what extent, if at all, the witness's
2 interest has affected or colored his or her testimony.

3 You have heard the testimony of a witness who was
4 previously convicted of a crime punishable by more than one
5 year in jail. This prior conviction was put into evidence for
6 you to consider in evaluating the witness's credibility. You
7 may consider the fact that the witness who testified is a
8 convicted felon in deciding how much of his or her testimony to
9 accept and what weight, if any, it should be given. You're not
10 required to accept testimony even though the testimony is not
11 contradicted and the witness's testimony is not challenged.
12 You may decide because of the witness's bearing or demeanor or
13 because of the inherent improbability of the testimony or for
14 other reasons sufficient to yourselves that the testimony is
15 not worthy of belief. On the other hand, you may find because
16 of a witness's bearing and demeanor and based upon your
17 consideration of all of the other evidence in the case that the
18 witness is truthful.

19 Thus, there is no magic formula by which you can
20 evaluate testimony. You bring to this courtroom all your
21 experience and common sense. You determine for yourselves in
22 many circumstances the reliability of statements that are made
23 by others to you and upon which you are asked to rely and act.
24 You may use the same tests here that you use in your everyday
25 lives. You may consider the interest of any witness in the

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 outcome of this case and any bias or prejudice of any such
2 witness, and this is true regardless of who called or
3 questioned the witness.

4 Finally, as you know, I have permitted certain
5 witnesses to be referred to in open court either by their first
6 name or a pseudonym. As I explained to you in my preliminary
7 instructions before opening statements, this process is to
8 protect the privacy of witnesses as this case has received
9 significant attention in the media. I instruct you again that
10 this process should not bear in any way on your evaluation of
11 the evidence or credibility of any witness in this case.

12 Instruction No. 45: Credibility of Witnesses –
13 Impeachment by Prior Inconsistent Statement.

14 You have heard evidence that a witness made a
15 statement on an earlier occasion which counsel argues is
16 inconsistent with the witness's trial testimony. Evidence of a
17 prior inconsistent statement is not to be considered by you as
18 affirmative evidence bearing on Ms. Maxwell's guilt. Evidence
19 of the prior inconsistent statement was placed before you for
20 the more limited purpose of helping you decide whether to
21 believe the trial testimony of the witness who contradicted him
22 or herself. If you find that the witness made an earlier
23 statement that conflicts with his or her trial testimony, you
24 may consider that fact in deciding how much of the trial
25 testimony, if any, to believe.

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 In making this determination, you may consider whether
2 the witness purposefully made a false statement or whether it
3 was an innocent mistake, whether the inconsistency concerns an
4 important fact or whether it had to do with a small detail,
5 whether the witness had an explanation for the inconsistency
6 and whether the explanation appealed to your common sense.

7 It is exclusively your duty based on all the evidence
8 and your own good judgment to determine whether the prior
9 statement was inconsistent and, if so, how much, if any, weight
10 to be given to the inconsistent statement in determining
11 whether to believe all or part of the witness's testimony.

12 Instruction No. 46: Law Enforcement and Government
13 Employee Witnesses.

14 You have heard testimony from law enforcement
15 officials and employees of the government. The fact that a
16 witness may be employed by the federal government as a law
17 enforcement official or employee does not mean that his or her
18 testimony is necessarily deserving of more or less
19 consideration or greater or less weight than that of an
20 ordinary witness. In this context, defense counsel is allowed
21 to try to attack the credibility of such a witness on the
22 ground that his or her testimony may be colored by a personal
23 or professional interest in the outcome of the case. It is
24 your decision after reviewing all the evidence whether to
25 accept the testimony of the law enforcement or government

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 employee witness and to give to that testimony the weight you
2 find it deserves.

3 Instruction No. 47: Expert Testimony.

4 You have heard what is called expert testimony. An
5 expert is allowed to express his or her opinion -- his or her
6 opinion on those matters about which he or she has special
7 knowledge and training. Expert testimony is presented to you
8 on the theory that someone who is experienced in the field can
9 assist you in understanding the evidence or in reaching an
10 independent decision on the facts.

11 In weighing an expert's testimony, you may consider
12 the expert's qualifications, opinions, reasons for testifying,
13 as well as all of the other considerations that ordinarily
14 apply when you are deciding whether or not to believe a
15 witness's testimony. You may give the expert testimony
16 whatever weight, if any, you find it deserves in light of all
17 of the evidence in this case.

18 You should not, however, accept a witness's testimony
19 merely because he or she is an expert. Nor should you
20 substitute it for your own reason, judgment, and common sense.
21 The determination of the facts in this case rests solely with
22 you.

23 Instruction No. 48: Limiting Instructions – Similar
24 Act Evidence.

25 The government has offered evidence which it argues

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 shows on different occasions Ms. Maxwell engaged in conduct
2 similar to the charges in the indictment. It is for you to
3 decide whether Ms. Maxwell engaged in the other conduct.

4 Let me remind you that Ms. Maxwell is on trial only
5 for committing acts alleged in the indictment. Accordingly,
6 you may consider this evidence of similar acts as a
7 substitute -- you may not consider this evidence -- let me
8 start that sentence again. Accordingly, you may not consider
9 this evidence of similar acts as a substitute for proof that
10 Ms. Maxwell committed the crimes charged nor may you consider
11 this evidence as proof that Ms. Maxwell has a criminal
12 personality or bad character. The evidence of the other
13 similar acts was admitted for a much more limited purpose and
14 you may consider it only for that limited purpose.

15 If you determine that Ms. Maxwell committed the acts
16 charged in the indictment and the similar acts, as well, then
17 you may, but you need not draw an inference that in doing the
18 acts charged in the indictment, that Ms. Maxwell acted
19 knowingly and intentionally and not because of some mistake,
20 accident, or other innocent reasons. You may also consider
21 this evidence in determining whether Ms. Maxwell utilized a
22 scheme or common plan in committing both the crimes charged in
23 the indictment and the similar acts introduced by the
24 government.

25 Evidence of similar acts may not be considered by you

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 for any other purpose. Specifically, you may not consider it
2 as evidence that Ms. Maxwell is of bad character or has the
3 propensity to commit crimes.

4 Instruction No. 49: Defendant's Right Not to Testify.

5 The defendant did not testify in this case. Under our
6 constitution, a defendant has no obligation to testify or to
7 present any evidence, because it is the government's burden to
8 prove a defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That
9 burden remains with the government throughout the entire trial,
10 never shifts to a defendant. A defendant is never required to
11 prove that she is innocent.

12 You may not attach any significance to the fact that
13 Ms. Maxwell did not testify.

14 No adverse inference against Ms. Maxwell may be drawn
15 by you because she did not take the witness stand. You may not
16 consider this against Ms. Maxwell in any way in your
17 deliberations in the jury room.

18 Instruction No. 50: Uncalled Witnesses – Equally
19 Available to Both Sides.

20 There are people whose names you heard during the
21 course of the trial that did not appear to testify. One or
22 more of the attorneys has referred to their absence from the
23 trial. I instruct you that each party had an equal opportunity
24 or lack of opportunity to call any of these witnesses.
25 Therefore, you should not draw any inference or reach any

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 conclusion as to what they would have testified to had they
2 been called. Their absence should not affect your judgment in
3 any way.

4 You should remember my instruction, however, that the
5 law does not impose on the defendant in a criminal case the
6 burden or duty of calling any witness or producing any
7 evidence.

8 Instruction No. 51: Particular Investigative
9 Techniques Not Required.

10 You have heard reference, in the arguments of defense
11 counsel in this case, to the fact that certain investigative
12 techniques were used or not used by the government. There is
13 no legal requirement, however, that the government prove its
14 case through any particular means. Your concern is to
15 determine whether or not on the evidence or lack of evidence
16 the defendant's guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable
17 doubt.

18 Instruction No. 52: Use of Evidence From Searches.

19 You have heard testimony about evidence seized in
20 connection with certain searches conducted by law enforcement
21 officers. Evidence obtained from these searches was properly
22 admitted in this case and may be properly considered by you.
23 Such searches were appropriate law enforcement actions.
24 Whether you approve or disapprove of how the evidence was
25 obtained should not enter into your deliberations because I

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 instruct you that the government's use of the evidence is
2 entirely lawful. You must therefore, regardless of your
3 personal opinions, give this evidence full consideration along
4 with all the other evidence in the case in determining whether
5 the government has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a
6 reasonable doubt. As with all evidence, it's for you to
7 determine that -- to determine what weight, if any, to give
8 such evidence.

9 Instruction No. 53: Use of Electronic Communications.

10 Some of the evidence in this case has consisted of
11 electronic communications seized from computers or electronic
12 accounts. There is nothing illegal about the government's use
13 in such electronic communications in this case and you may
14 consider them along with all the other evidence in the case.
15 Whether you approve or disapprove of the seizure of these
16 communications may not enter your deliberations.

17 You may, therefore, regardless of any personal
18 opinions, consider this evidence along with all the other
19 evidence in the case in determining whether the government has
20 proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
21 However, as with the other evidence, it is for you to determine
22 what weight, if any, to give such evidence.

23 Instruction No. 54: Persons Not on Trial.

24 You may not draw any inference, favorable or
25 unfavorable, towards the government or the defendant on trial

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 from the fact that any person, in addition to the defendant, is
2 not on trial here. You also may not speculate as to the
3 reasons why other persons are not on trial. Those matters are
4 wholly outside your concern and have no bearing on your
5 function as jurors in deciding the case before you.

6 Instruction No. 55: Preparation of Witnesses.

7 You've heard evidence during the trial that witnesses
8 have discussed the facts of the case and the testimony with the
9 government lawyers, the defense lawyers, their own lawyers
10 before the witnesses appeared in court. Although you may
11 consider that fact while you're evaluating witness's
12 credibility, I should tell you there is nothing either unusual
13 or improper about a witness meeting with lawyers before
14 testifying so that the witness can be aware of the subjects he
15 or she will be questioned about, focus on those subjects, and
16 have the opportunity to review relevant exhibits before being
17 questioned about them. Such consultation helps conserve your
18 time and the Court's time. It would be unusual for a lawyer to
19 call a witness without such consultation. The weight you give
20 to the witness's preparation for his or her testimony and what
21 inferences you draw from such preparation are matters
22 completely within your discretion.

23 Instruction No. 56: Redaction of Evidentiary Items.

24 We have, among the exhibits received in evidence, some
25 documents that are redacted. Redacted means that part of a

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 document has been taken out. Material may be redacted for any
2 number of reasons, including that it's not relevant to the
3 issues you must decide in this case among other reasons. You
4 are to concern yourself only with a part of the item that's
5 been admitted into evidence and you should not consider any
6 possible reason for the redactions.

7 Instruction No. 57: Stipulations.

8 In this case, you've heard evidence in the form of
9 stipulations. A stipulation of testimony is an agreement among
10 the parties that, if called, a witness would have given certain
11 testimony. You must accept as true the fact that the witness
12 would have given the testimony. However, it is for you to
13 determine the effect or weight to be given -- to give to that
14 testimony.

15 You've also heard evidence in the form of stipulations
16 that contain facts that were agreed to be true. In such cases,
17 you must accept those facts as true.

18 Instruction No. 58: Punishment Not to be Considered
19 by the Jury.

20 Under your oath as jurors, you cannot allow a
21 consideration of possible punishment that may be imposed upon a
22 defendant if convicted to influence you in any way or in any
23 sense to enter into your deliberations. The duty of imposing
24 sentence is mine and mine alone.

25 Your function is to weigh the evidence in the case and

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 to determine whether or not the government has proved that
2 Ms. Maxwell is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, solely upon
3 the basis of such evidence.

4 Therefore, I instruct you not to consider punishment
5 or possible punishment in any way in your deliberations in this
6 case.

7 Instruction No. 59: Right to Hear Testimony; Election
8 of Foreperson; Communications with the Court; Juror
9 Note-Taking.

10 You're about to go into the jury room and begin your
11 deliberations. The documentary evidence will be sent back with
12 you. If you want any of the testimony read to you, that can be
13 arranged, but please remember that it's not always easy to
14 locate or you might want to be as specific as you possibly can
15 in requesting portions of the testimony that you might want.

16 Your first task as a jury will be to choose your
17 foreperson. The foreperson has no greater voice or authority
18 than any other juror, but is the person who will communicate
19 with the Court through written note when questions arise and to
20 indicate when you've reached your verdict.

21 Your request for testimony – in fact, any
22 communications with the Court – should be made to me in
23 writing, signed by your foreperson, by number, and given to one
24 of the marshals outside the jury room. I'll respond to any
25 questions or requests you have as promptly as possible, either

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 in writing or by having you return to the courtroom so I can
2 speak with you in person. In any communication, please do not
3 tell me or anyone else how the jury stands on the issue of the
4 jury's verdict until after a unanimous verdict is reached.

5 For those of you who took notes during the course of
6 the trial, you should not show your notes to or discuss your
7 notes with any other juror during your deliberations. Any
8 notes you have taken are to assist you and you alone. The fact
9 that a particular juror has taken notes entitles that juror's
10 views to no greater weight than those of any other juror.

11 Finally, your notes are not to substitute for your
12 recollection of the evidence in this case. If you have any
13 doubt as to any testimony, you may request that the official
14 transcript that has been made of these proceedings be read or
15 otherwise provided to you.

16 Concluding Remarks.

17 Members of the jury, that about concludes my
18 instructions to you. The most important part of this case,
19 members of the jury, is the part that you, as jurors, are now
20 about to play as you deliberate on the issues of fact. It's
21 for you and you alone to weigh the evidence in this case and
22 determine whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable
23 doubt each of the essential elements of the crime with which
24 Ms. Maxwell is charged. If the government has succeeded, your
25 verdict should be guilty as to that charge. If it has failed,

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 your verdict should be not guilty as to that charge.

2 You must base your verdict solely on the evidence or
3 lack of evidence and these instructions as to the law, and
4 you're obliged under your oath as jurors to follow the law as
5 I've instructed you, whether you agree or disagree with the
6 particular law in question.

7 Under your oath as jurors, you're not to be swayed by
8 sympathy. You should be guided solely by the evidence
9 presented during the trial and the law as I gave it to you
10 without regard to the consequences of your decision. You have
11 been chosen to try the issues of fact and reach a verdict on
12 the basis of the evidence or lack of evidence. If you let
13 sympathy interfere with your clear thinking, there is a risk
14 that you'll not arrive at a just verdict.

15 As you deliberate, please listen to the opinions of
16 your fellow jurors and ask for an opportunity to express your
17 own views. Every juror should be heard, no one juror should
18 hold center stage in the jury room, and no one juror should
19 control or monopolize the deliberations. If, after listening
20 to your fellow jurors, and if, after stating your own view, you
21 become convinced that your view is wrong, do not hesitate
22 because of stubbornness or pride to change your view. On the
23 other hand, do not surrender your honest convictions and
24 beliefs solely because of the opinions of your fellow jurors or
25 because you are outnumbered. Your final vote must reflect your

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 conscientious belief as to how the issues should be decided.

2 Thus, the verdict must represent the considered
3 judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict, it is
4 necessary that each juror agree to it. Your verdict must be
5 unanimous.

6 If at any time you are divided, do not report how the
7 vote stands, and if you have reached a verdict, do not report
8 what it is until you are asked in open court.

9 A verdict form has been prepared for your convenience.
10 After you've reached your decision, your foreperson will fill
11 in the form. At that point, the foreperson should advise the
12 marshal outside your door that you are ready to return to the
13 courtroom.

14 Finally, I say this not because I think it necessary,
15 but because it is the custom in this courthouse to say this:
16 You should treat each other with courtesy and respect during
17 your deliberations.

18 In conclusion, ladies and gentlemen, I am sure that if
19 you listen to the views of your fellow jurors and if you apply
20 your own common sense, you will deliberate fairly.

21 Members of the jury, I ask your patience for a few
22 minutes longer. It's necessary for me to spend a few moments
23 with counsel and the reporter at sidebar. I'll ask you to
24 remain patiently in the jury box without speaking to each other
25 and we will return in just a moment to submit the case to you.

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 Thank you. And I ask all members -- all people in the
2 courtroom to make -- remain quiet while we have this final
3 sidebar thank you.

4 (Continued on next page)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 (At the sidebar)

2 THE COURT: Counsel, anything to raise in my reading
3 of the charge?

4 MS. MOE: No, your Honor.

5 MS. MENNINGER: No, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Two things. One, I will indicate the
7 alternate jurors, I will tell them they will not take part in
8 the deliberations, but they are not excused and they are under
9 my rules until they hear from Ms. Williams. I'm going to put
10 extra emphasis on that because we're in a pandemic and we might
11 need a juror to return. I'll could say what I normally say,
12 but just say this could happen.13 MS. STERNHEIM: Judge, are you requiring that they be
14 here or just on call?15 THE COURT: I mean, I've always just done on call, but
16 I'm happy to take a suggestion otherwise.

17 MR. PAGLIUCA: There is no need for them to stay here.

18 MS. STERNHEIM: That's fine.

19 THE COURT: We'll bring them in if we need to.

20 Just so everybody agrees, the alternates are 125, 149,
21 151, 152, and 170.

22 MS. STERNHEIM: We're all too tired. That's right.

23 THE COURT: I'm going to tell the jurors, I just want
24 to make sure everybody agrees with the wording, you may
25 deliberate as long this evening as you all agree to. Just let

LCKCmax9

Charge

1 me know by a note when you wish to be -- when you wish to break
2 for the evening. I'll bring you into the courtroom for
3 directions.

4 MS. STERNHEIM: Are you allowing them to set the start
5 time or is the Court --

6 THE COURT: I will set it. So, assuming we don't get
7 a verdict tonight, when they say they're ready to break, I'll
8 bring them in and I'll direct them to resume deliberations at
9 9:00 a.m., that they should go straight to the jury room and
10 begin deliberations once all 12 of them are there.

11 Anything else?

12 MR. PAGLIUCA: During the morning when they get here,
13 we will be here in the courthouse, but we're not in the
14 courtroom necessarily, and then when they break in the evening,
15 you just let them go and we're not in the courtroom?

16 THE COURT: Right. And to be clear, they can take the
17 instructions back with them and then my deputy will hand one
18 copy of the verdict form to someone as they're going in.

19 MR. EVERDELL: Do they need to request the exhibits or
20 does that go back automatically?

21 THE COURT: That's automatic. So you give back to
22 Ms. Williams to send back what you need and hopefully we've got
23 the person -- she can show them how to technologically use it.

24 Last point is, we'll have the marshal come forward to
25 be sworn before they go back.

LCKCmax9

1 (In open court)

2 THE COURT: Thank you so much for your patience,
3 members of the jury. I am going to send you back in a moment
4 to begin your deliberations.

5 There are five of you who are alternate jurors, and
6 I'm going to tell you, you're the higher number five. They are
7 juror number 125, juror number 149, 151, 152, and 170.

8 The alternate jurors were not to participate in the
9 deliberations, however, it is possible, and it does happen,
10 that we need to bring an alternate juror back in order to
11 participate in the deliberations. So, I am going to release
12 the alternate jurors.

13 You can't participate in the deliberations unless and
14 until you hear from Ms. Williams that you're being brought back
15 into the deliberations. However, because you could be brought
16 back into the deliberations, and because it does happen, all of
17 my rules continue to apply.

18 So, for my alternate jurors, no communications with
19 anyone through any means about the case, no discussions, no
20 consuming of any information through any means about the case
21 until you hear from Ms. Williams either asking you to come back
22 because we need you for the deliberations or telling you that
23 the process is over, and that is very important.

24 So, again, the alternates are 125, 149, 151, 152, and
25 170.

LCKCmax9

1 So when I send you back in a moment, the alternates
2 will gather their belongings, no communications with each other
3 about the case, gather their belongings, quick wave goodbye and
4 then you may head home until you hear from Ms. Williams about
5 next steps.

6 With respect to the 12 jurors who will be
7 deliberating, it's 4:48. You may deliberate as long as this
8 evening as you all agree to. So just let me know by a note
9 when you wish to break for the evening. At that point, I'll
10 bring you into the courtroom for instructions.

11 I'll ask the U.S. Marshal, the court security officer,
12 who will safeguard the jury's deliberations, to please come
13 forward and be sworn by Ms. Williams.

14 (Marshal sworn)

15 With that, you'll take your instructions back to the
16 jury room with you.

17 For the alternates, Ms. Williams will collect the
18 instructions from you.

19 We will send you back with a copy of the verdict form,
20 and Ms. Williams will show you how to access the admitted
21 exhibits should you wish to access them.

22 Members of the jury, you may begin your deliberations.

23 (At 4:49 p.m., the jury retired to deliberate)

24 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax9

1 (Jury not present)

2 THE COURT: Matters to take up?

3 MS. MOE: Not from the government, your Honor. Thank
4 you.

5 MS. MENNINGER: Your Honor, I believe at the outset
6 you said you would provide counsel a copy of a juror seating
7 chart. I don't think we ever got one, but is it possible to
8 get one to refresh our recollection?

9 THE COURT: Yes. We have that prepared. I had
10 thought you gotten it, but we will get you each a copy.

11 MS. MENNINGER: Thank you, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Anything else?

13 MS. MOE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

14 THE COURT: All right. With that, we will wait until
15 we hear from the jury.

16 Let me just thank counsel for your zealous advocacy.
17 The eight of you performed your duties with professionalism and
18 excellence and I learned a lot from watching the eight of you.
19 Thank you.

20 (Recess)

21 THE COURT: We received a note that says. "We're
22 leaving at 5:30. Thanks." Which we'll mark as a court
23 exhibit. We'll bring in the jury, I'll give them instructions
24 for resuming deliberations in the morning.

25 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax9

1 (Jury present)

2 THE COURT: I did get your note saying you're ready to
3 leave at 5:30. Sorry you're a little delayed getting you out
4 of here, I know it's a long day. Thank you for your diligence
5 and your attention.

6 I want to of course remind you, bear all of my
7 instructions in mind, even though we're at the deliberations,
8 especially because and in addition we're at the deliberation
9 stage of the case.

10 So just to reiterate, no communications with each
11 other or -- no communications outside of the jury room with
12 each other or anyone else regarding the case, no consuming any
13 information regarding the case in any way.

14 I'm going to ask that you resume your deliberations
15 tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. You come straight into the jury
16 room. Once all 12 of you are there, you begin your
17 deliberations, not before, but once all 12 of you are there.
18 Just start right away again with your deliberations, you don't
19 have to wait for further instruction for me. If you have
20 questions, you put them in a note and I'll get you a response.
21 Ms. Williams will get your lunch order. Even though we're at
22 this phase in the case, please continue to keep an open mind
23 until you're together deliberating in the jury room.

24 Have a goodnight. Thank you.

25 (Continued on next page)

LCKCmax9

1 (Jury not present)

2 THE COURT: Any matters to take up, counsel?

3 MS. MOE: Not from the government, your Honor. Thank
4 you.

5 MS. STERNHEIM: No. Thank you.

6 THE COURT: Please be ready to pick up any notes
7 beginning at 9:00. Have a goodnight, everyone.

8 (Adjourned to December 21, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.)

9 * * *

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25